## ISLE OF WIGHT COUNTY: WATER QUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

# Water-Dependent Structures Application Date: \_\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_ Scheduled for Wetlands Board, if applicable on: \_\_\_\_/\_\_\_/ Is project in Wetlands Board jurisdiction? Yes No Non-vegetated (between MLW and MHW) Vegetated (1.5 times mean tide range) Is project exempt from Wetlands Board hearing? What reason for exemption? (private single-user piers; private recreation; grazing, having, forestry; research by VMRC, VIMS, DGIF; aids to navigation authorized by government; emergency measures to protect public health; maintenance/repair or addition to pre-existing roads, highways, RR beds or facilities PROVIDED no waterway is altered or additional wetlands are covered; government activities in wetlands; normal maintenance of pre-existing man-made drainage ditches PROVIDED no additional wetlands are covered) SECTION FOR APPLICANTS Applicant Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant Signature: Project Type: (Shoreline stabilization, marina, boat launch, etc.) Project Address: Tax Map/Parcel #: \_\_\_\_\_ VMRC JPA # (if applicable): \_\_\_\_\_ Project Description (Note: The project description as given in the Joint Permit Application can be used in this section):

#### **Purpose Statement:**

The purpose of the Water Quality Impact Assessment (WQIA) is to evaluate the environmental impacts of any proposed development activity, within the Resource Protection Areas (RPA), on water quality and determine specific measures for mitigation of those impacts.

## **Proposed Environmental Impacts:**

Square footage of impervious cover within RPA:
Area of existing woody vegetation to be disturbed:
Specify mitigation for the environmental impacts. Refer to the state <i>Riparian Buffers Modification and Mitigation Guidance Manual</i> for planting requirements. Please be aware lawn grass is not acceptable as planting mitigation in the RPA:

## **Submittal Requirements:**

In order to adequately review the project, the applicant must provide one (1) copy of a site plan that includes the information listed below. A Joint Permit Application and its drawings can also be used to satisfy this section.

- Scaled drawing including the project study limits,
- Plan view of proposed development footprint, including proposed material types and locations;
- Field-delineated location of the Resource Protection Area (RPA)- water bodies with perennial flow determination, wetland delineation confirmed by Army Corps of Engineers, and identification of the landward limits of the RPA including the 100-foot buffer area;
- Survey of existing vegetation on site (natural, forested community or the extent of remaining vegetation identified);
- Vegetation to be removed or impacted;
- · Limits of land clearing; and,
- · Erosion and sediment control measures to be employed.

# SECTION FOR LOCALITY

## **Project Evaluation:**

## Water dependent facility:

1. Is the proposed development within the buffer a "water	er dependent" activity:	
<ul><li>PortYesNo</li><li>Stormwater inflow/outfall structure</li></ul>	Voc. No.	
- Power plant intake or outfall structure		
- Water treatment plant intake or out		
37		NO
	Vog No	
TS 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		og No
- Fisheries or other marine resource fa		
- Other water dependent structure		
- If yes, please list the structure		
<ul> <li>2. Are all non-water dependent components located outsing (These may include, but are not limited to, associated parking facilities, treatment facilities, fuel storage tanks. NOTE: Area re-use existing impervious areas within the buffer.)</li> <li>If no, then the proposed development must apply for the exercise of Indigenous Vegetation Minim NOTE: If buffer encroachment is necessary, areas without exists.</li> </ul>	g, dry dock storage, resta s involving redevelopme for an exception.  nization of Land D sting woody vegetation of	urants, repair nt sites may isturbance: or areas
consisting of a degraded buffer should be first utilized for acce natural areas outside the limits of construction, if practicable.	<del>-</del> '	aving healthy
Size of parcel or lot?ft²		
Total area of RPA buffer onsite? ft. <sup>2</sup>		
Area of RPA buffer with existing woody vegetation: ft.	2	
Area of existing woody vegetation proposed to be disturbed for	r: impervious area access/ grading area	
Area of degraded buffer or redevelopment to be disturbed:		-ft2
1	Total area of disturbar	
Is the impervious area the minimum necessary for the propos	ed use?Yes	_No
Has the RPA encroachment for water dependent components required by the water dependent activity?YesNo		imal level

## Mitigation plantings proposed:

NOTES:

- -A forested buffer is suggested by the Virginia Department of Forestry to be >320 woody stems/acre, not including herbaceous vegetation.
- Lawn grasses are not appropriate for buffer plantings. Please refer to the Buffer Guidance Manual for assistance.
- -Mitigation must satisfy all buffer functions, including retarding runoff, preventing erosion and filtering non-point source pollution from runoff. Mitigation species should be native to the local

environment and reference sites should be consulted where pos		e to the local
Vegetation to be planted: ft.² plants/ acre		
Will the proposed mitigation reduce non-point source pollution ation and allow for infiltration?YesNo	runoff, reduce erosi	on and sediment-
Does the buffer mitigation meet water quality calculations?	YesNo	
Comprehensive plan consistency:		
List consistent or inconsistent comprehensive plan policies (if a	pplicable):	
(Example Policies: A public boat access should be located along area of the reservoir should be preserved as an environmentall	=	ne southeastern
Policy	Regulatory Citation	Consistent (Y/N)?
Erosion and Sediment Control:		
Has applicant demonstrated compliance with the local E&S con	ntrol regulations?	YesNo
If No, additional comments stating that compliance will be requdisturbing permits.	uired prior to issuan	ce of any land-

# $\underline{\textbf{Consistency Checklist}}$

REQUIRED CONDITION	Y/N	If no, list the conditions that must be addressed for consistency
Does the proposed development minimize land disturbance within the RPA?		
Has indigenous vegetation been preserved to the maximum extent practicable?		
Have the proposed land disturbance (limits of construction) been minimized to the extent practicable?		
Have the proposed land disturbances been minimized?		
Have appropriate mitigation plantings been proposed that will provide the required buffer area functions? (Please refer to the Buffer Guidance Manual for assistance. Lawn grass species are not appropriate as a replacement planting.)		
Does the project comply with all erosion and sediment control requirements?		
Have all required wetlands permits and/or a confirmed wetland delineation been submitted for compliance?		
Does the project comply with all stormwater management requirements?		

## **Final Determination**

The proposed water dep	endent facility is:			
CONSISTENT Ordinance.	INCONSISTENT with the Chesapeake Bay Preservation Area			
If inconsistent, the following conditions must be addressed prior to issuance of any permits:				