

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA )  
 )  
COUNTY OF STOKES )  
 )

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONERS  
STOKES COUNTY GOVERNMENT  
DANBURY, NORTH CAROLINA  
DECEMBER 6, 2011

The Board of Commissioners of the County of Stokes, State of North Carolina, met for regular session (Planning) in the Commissioners' Chambers of the Ronald Wilson Reagan Memorial Building (Administration Building) located in Danbury, North Carolina on Tuesday, December 6, 2011 at 7:00 pm with the following members present:

Chairman Ernest Lankford  
Vice-Chairman J. Leon Inman  
Commissioner Jimmy Walker  
Commissioner Ronda Jones  
Commissioner James D. Booth

County Personnel in Attendance:  
County Manager Richard D. Morris  
Clerk to the Board Darlene Bullins  
Planning Director David Sudderth

Chairman Ernest Lankford called the meeting to order.

Commissioner Jones delivered the invocation.

**GENERAL GOVERNMENT-GOVERNING BODY-PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

Chairman Lankford opened the meeting by inviting the citizens in attendance to join the Board in the Pledge of Allegiance.

**GENERAL GOVERNMENT – GOVERNING BODY – APPROVAL OF AGENDA**

Chairman Lankford entertained a motion to approve or amend the December 6, 2011 Agenda.

Vice Chairman Inman moved to approve the December 6, 2011 Agenda as presented.

Commissioner Booth seconded and the motion carried unanimously.

## **PUBLIC COMMENTS**

There were no public comments.

## **PUBLIC HEARING/PRESENTATION/DISCUSSION/ACTION AGENDAS**

### **Zoning Text Amendment**

#### **Public Hearing**

Chairman Lankford called to order the Public Hearing regarding the zoning text amendment for adding Dedicated Soil Treatment Facility – Land Farming/Bioremediation #227.

The following spoke during the Public Hearing:

#### **Ms. Pam Fulp**

1533 Forrest Road

Westfield, NC 27053

Re: **Zoning Text Amendment**

Ms. Fulp noted the following:

- Appreciate the opportunity to speak, on behalf of the Fulp family, to the Board of Commissioners regarding Land Farming/Bioremediation
- With the approval of the text amendment, hope to start a new business in Stokes County
- Hope to have a new business for family and others to work here in Stokes County
- My husband, Kent Fulp, and I were both raised on farms in Stokes County
- Have been trying to carry on our parents' heritage – farming/tobacco
- Have recently been faced with several obstacles with tobacco farming and it is not very easy to start over
- Have watched friends having to sell their farms and this is something that our family does not want to do
- Have children that are depending on us
- Have been exploring other options for our farm land
- Own and operate a towing service in Stokes County - William Fulp's Towing and Recovery –Hazmat Cleanup – Towing Service started out 35 years ago
- Have seen an increase of spills occurring that have to be cleaned up, crews must be called in to do the cleanup
- Became very interested when spills with items such as milk (classified as non-hazardous) had to have a cleanup crew; very hard to believe that cleanup crews had to be called in for cleaning up milk
- Became apparent it was time to get more education in this area
- Began researching this issue
- Husband, son, and father-in-law are all certified in hazardous material cleanup

- All are trained, qualified, and certified in hazardous and non-hazardous contaminated cleanup, transport, disposal, as well as, remediation of any contaminated sites
- Explored different options in these related area
- Became very interested in the concept of land farming which could be a good solution in combining the farm with the Towing Company and being able to keep our farm
- This would expand and build our existing towing business
- Have received a lot of good feedback
- Request the Board research this concept
- Welcome the opportunity for any questions or concerns from the Board
- Have an expert (field service coordinator for A&D Environmental) in the field that planned to be here tonight, but was called away on his job
- Field service coordinator would be happy to speak to any member of the Board
- Want to continue to learn about the concept
- Intentions are to work only with non- hazardous pollutants such as milk, certain fuels, apple juice, etc.
- Land farming is a natural process
- Definition of land farming is a simple technique in which contaminated soil is excavated and spread over a prepared bed and periodically tilled until the pollutants are degraded by the sun, tilling, etc.
- My husband and I have chosen to stay in Stokes County and raise a family; we want to continue to raise our family in Stokes County; we want to retire in Stokes County
- Stokes County is a place where neighbors are willing to help one another, a place where honesty and integrity mean something
- Have taught our children to give back to the community
- Employment opportunities have declined across North Carolina
- Hope that our children will be successful and can remain in Stokes County
- Hope to start this new business and pass it on to our children
- Believe this business will create new jobs, help the environment, help economical growth in Stokes County
- Please take into consideration the proposed text amendment; approving the proposed text amendment is only one step in the process with several more to follow
- Request the Board vote yes to adding the proposed zoning text amendment
- Our future depends on us to lead the way by creating new opportunities and jobs
- Don't close the door on this new opportunity
- Appreciate the opportunity to speak tonight

Chairman Lankford closed the Public Hearing.

### **Presentation Agenda**

**Request for Zoning Text Amendment: Amend Article VIII (Residential Agricultural), Section 80.3 (Special Uses). Add "Dedicated Soil Treatment Facility" - Land Farming/Bioremediation**

Planning Director David Sudderth presented the following information regarding the Proposed Zoning Text Amendment:

December 6, 2011 - Planning

**Dedicated Soil Treatment Facility - Land Farming/Bioremediation**

1. Located on tracts of land 10 acres or greater.
2. Subject to regulations as stated in (NCDENR) North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources, Division of Waste Management (DWM) UST 70 Non-Discharge Permit Application for Soil Remediation Projects as they now exist and as amended in the future
3. Subject to all Federal Regulations
4. Vegetated or other buffers as deemed necessary to adjacent properties.

**Add to Article IV, Section 41(Definitions of Specific Terms and Words)**

**Bioremediation;** The natural process in which microorganisms (bacteria) break down petroleum products in the soil.

**Enhanced Bioremediation:** The addition of microorganisms or chemicals to speed up the natural rate of breakdown of petroleum products in the soil.

**Dedicated Soil Treatment Facility:** Land properly permitted to be used for the treatment of petroleum contaminated soils.

**Land Farming:** A method of removing petroleum compounds from soils. Contaminated soils are removed from the ground, spread over a given area, and periodically tilled to speed up the release of volatile organic compounds and breakdown of the contaminants.

**Remediation:** The process of cleaning up contamination.

A **Dedicated Soil Treatment Facility** is utilizing the processes of Land Farming and Bioremediation to remove petroleum contaminated compounds from soil by spreading the soil over a given acreage and tilling the soil periodically to allow microorganism's (bacteria) to speed up the natural process of breaking down the contaminants and releasing the volatile organic compounds. Volatile organic compounds (VOC) are defined as carbon containing compounds that readily vaporize, changing from liquid to a gas at normal temperatures and pressures. The process defined as "Land Farming" is aptly named because it mimics traditional farming practices of preparing land for crops. The soil can be tilled or a cover crop can be grown on it to assist in the remediation process. Once the soil has gone through the treatment process, it is tested and can be used again or sold as clean soil. The Soil Treatment facility and the process of Land Farming and Bioremediation is regulated by the State of North Carolina under (NCDENR) North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources, Division of Waste Management (DWM) specifically in UST (Underground Storage Tank) 70 Non-Discharge Permit Application for Soil Remediation Projects. The State of North Carolina will oversee the development and permitting of a site as well as monitoring the site to make sure it is in compliance with the applicable state rules. From a local level, in this case the Stokes County Zoning Ordinance there would be acreage requirements and buffers to the site if needed.

The state requirements for setbacks for a facility are shown below.

The soil remediation facility must conform to the following buffers:

Any habitable residence or place of public assembly under separate ownership or not to be maintained as part of the project site	100
Any well with the exception of a Division approved groundwater monitoring well	100

Surface waters -	
(streams – intermittent and perennial, perennial water bodies, and wetlands)	100
Surface water diversions (ephemeral streams, waterways, ditches)	25
Groundwater lowering ditches (where the bottom of the ditch intersects the SHWT)	25
Subsurface groundwater lowering drainage systems	25
Any building foundation except treatment facilities	15
Any basement	15
Any property line	50
Any water line	10
Any swimming pool	100
Rock outcrops	25
Public right-of-ways	50

The reason for this text amendment proposal is due to a request from a citizen to add this use to the list of Special Uses in the RA (Residential Agricultural) zoning district.

The Special Use requirements in the RA (Residential Agricultural) District require the development of a site plan that goes in front of the Stokes County Planning Board and requires approval from the Stokes County Board of Commissioners. Other similar uses permitted under the Special Use section in the RA (Residential Agricultural) Zoning District are Land Clearing/Inert Debris Landfills, Minor and Major.

At the end of the September 22, 2011 the Planning Board requested that more information be provided to them concerning a “Dedicated Land Treatment Facility”. The Board stated that they would not vote on the proposed amendment and would move this request to the November Planning Board meeting after more information was available.

During a called work session meeting on October 27, 2011, the Planning Board was able to ask questions to Mr. Michael Rogers with the NCDENR, UST Division and Mr. Steve Flinchum with A&D Environmental located in High Point, NC.

**Below is an excerpt of the minutes of that meeting:**

Mr. Flinchum works for a company that is involved with the clean up and disposal of contaminated soils. His employer is based in High Point, NC and cleans up contaminated soils in the area. He stated that the company disposes of most of its petroleum contaminated soils at a facility, Earth Tech in Bear Creek, NC. The other nearest facility is located in Haw River, and that there was a facility in Winston-Salem off of Vargrave Street. Mr. Flinchum stated the main cost of the disposal of contaminated soils for the company was the transportation costs.

In a good year for the company, they would move up to one (1) million tons of soil. He also stated that each facility charged a different amount for accepting the petroleum contaminated soils. The Board asked Mr. Flinchum various other questions concerning the clean up and disposal of these type soils. Mr. Rogers, with NCDENR stated that there are various methods and ways to remediate the soil. He stated there are “one time applications” that usually take place at the site of the spill. These are usually one acre or less and remediate about 50 cubic yards of soil. These petroleum contaminated soils are basically remediated on site, “in situ”. A dedicated soil treatment facility is operated as a business and will move contaminated soils in and out of the facility.

Mr. Rogers stated that the only soil that either of these remediation processes can take is that of petroleum contaminated soils, no hazardous waste materials. He explained that petroleum based products; gas, diesel, and fuel oil are not considered hazardous materials. Mr. Rogers also explained that the remediation process was a natural process of microorganisms in the soil that essentially eat the petroleum. Catalysts such as fertilizer may be added to speed up the remediation process. A dedicated facility requires a completed application, site plan, and evaluation of the proposed site by a licensed soil scientist. Specific topographic requirements, monitoring wells, and local government approval are also required.

The Board asked how long it usually took to remediate the soils to below detectable levels of contamination. Mr. Rogers and Mr. Flinchum agreed that it could take anywhere from six (6) months to a year to get contaminated soils ready to be moved off the site. The Board asked about the depth of coverage and the maximum slope of the land used in the application process. Mr. Rogers stated that the soil layer was six (6) inches or less with a minimum application rate of three (3) inches. The slope should be no greater than 8% and the site should have good drainage. He stated that a cover crop on the contaminated soil was preferred over actually tilling the soil. The Board then asked about how often the sites were visited to check for compliance with the regulations. Mr. Rogers stated that he was the only person in this district tasked with the job of monitoring the facilities and that the visits were performed on a random basis.

The Planning Board discussed the possibility of increasing the setbacks to residential structures. Mr. Rogers stated that the jurisdiction could increase the setback distance required. The state rules do not allow for a reduction in the setbacks.

Director Sudderth discussed the following:

- The facility being discussed is dedicated for petroleum contaminated soils only
- Diesel fuel is not considered hazardous waste by the federal government; therefore, this process can take place
- It is heavily regulated
- Have done a lot of internet reading about the process
- Nothing that the county has or can put in the text amendments can override what the State has in place for this type of facility
- There is one facility in Winston Salem that is not the same as the proposed text amendment
- One facility like the proposed text amendment is located in Haw River, in Alamance County and another one is located in Bear Creek, in Chatham County
- When this came before the Planning Board, tried to schedule a site visit, did not have any luck setting up a site visit
- At first thought, this didn't sound like what you would want in the county, but after research, found it to be a natural process
- Planning Board at their October meeting requested more information before voting on the proposed amendment
- Scheduled a work session in November regarding this topic for the Planning Board
- Very expensive application process with the State

- The Board of Commissioners can't change any of the State regulations but can increase or add to the State regulations
- Catalysts such as fertilizer can be spread across the contaminated soil
- It is not a fast process, it takes time for remediation
- Soil must be State tested before soil can be moved or used
- Proposed amendment does not include hazardous waste
- There are still concerns with possible runoffs
- There are not a lot of these facilities around the State
- Text amendment could have been considered as an industrial usage and zoned accordingly, but felt the adding to Special Uses was a better option
- The facility in Chatham County is in an un-zoned area of the county; Chatham County doesn't have zoning
- Have not been able to schedule a site visit
- Planning Board had some concerns about the environmental impacts that this type of land use might have
- Reiterated that he learned a lot about the natural process

Director Sudderth noted the following Planning Board Recommendation:

- After a lengthy discussion, the Planning Board voted 5 to 2 against recommending the zoning text amendment "Dedicated Soil Treatment Facility" - Land Farming/Bioremediation. The Board expressed concerns about the environmental impacts that this type of land use might have as well as if a facility of this type would have any economic benefit for the county. The majority of the Board finally concluded the disadvantages outweighed the advantages of allowing this type of activity in the county.

### **Discussion Agenda**

Chairman Lankford opened the floor for discussion.

The Board discussed the proposed Zoning Text Amendment with Planning Director Sudderth.

Commissioner Booth noted the following:

- Confirmed with Director Sudderth that these types of facilities are regulated by the State
- Understand this could be a good opportunity for a new business in Stokes County
- Understand this could be economic growth by creating new jobs
- Would like to see a facility if possible before voting on the proposed text amendment

Commissioner Walker noted the following:

- Started researching the concept after receiving the Agenda
- This is very much in connection with things being done today
- Saving a job in the county is just as important as creating a job

- Did not see anything over alarming about the process
- Feels the landowner should be able to do what they choose to do with their land as long as it does not have an overly adverse impact to their neighbors
- Feels the project is worthwhile
- Do not feel that I would personally be alarmed if it was beside my own personal property if it was properly regulated
- Biggest question for me is the traffic

Commissioner Walker questioned if there was any environmental issue that he had missed and if there was any opposition to the proposed text amendment.

Director Sudderth responded that during his research, he did not see any environmental issues, but would be concerned about the traffic.

Director Sudderth noted that there was only one phone call from Sandy Ridge; the individual had no issues when he found out that it would not affect his property.

Director Sudderth reiterated the state regulations are very strict and very regulated by the State.

Director Sudderth noted that he would like to see a facility to get answers to some of the unknowns such as if the facility has an odor.

Commissioner Walker stated he would like some more information about the concept and more information regarding whether or not there are any environmental issues.

Commissioner Walker confirmed with Director Sudderth that this request is only for amending the zoning text.

Director Sudderth noted that after the text amendments are approved, the interested party would have to have the correct acreage, submit a site plan, complete application process, take the request to the Planning Board and then come to the Board of Commissioners.

Vice Chairman Inman noted the following:

- Need to educate myself on the different types of facilities and the process in order to make an informed decision
- Would like to personally visit a site before making a decision



- Need to be able to defend my decision
- Currently see no real issues, but would definitely want to see a facility if possible

Commissioner Jones noted the following:

- Have known about the process for many years and feel comfortable with the process
- Commend the Fulps for thinking ahead and trying to build a future for their children
- Need creativity to keep children in the county
- Love the concept of taking trash and making it into a treasure
- Feels this would be good for Stokes County in leading the way in this type of process
- Definitely want to make sure the process would not do anything to harm the soil in the County or citizens
- Agree with Vice Chairman Inman and Commissioner Booth, for due diligence purposes, need to visit a site before making a decision
- Main thing to do is educate the public in a positive way about the process

Chairman Lankford noted the following:

- Appreciated the information provided by Director Sudderth
- Have also done research and feel confident about the process
- Would definitely like to see a site before making a decision
- Seeing a site would enable the Board to be able to explain the process to the public

Director Sudderth stated that he had called Earthtec in Bear Creek and the manager was out but would return his call this week. Director Sudderth noted that he would try getting back in touch with the manager if he did not return the call to try to schedule a site visit.

Chairman Lankford noted that it was the consensus of the Board to have more information if possible and to schedule a site visit.

Commissioner Walker noted that he would accept a video from Planning Director Sudderth instead visiting a site.

Commissioner Booth reiterated that he would like to visit a facility, but videos would work if a site visit is not possible.

Chairman Lankford, with full consensus of the Board, noted the item would be held over to the next Commissioners' Planning Board meeting.

**PUBLIC HEARING/PRESENTATION/DISCUSSION/ACTION AGENDAS**  
**Adam Stewart – RA to H-B # 228**

**Public Hearing**

Chairman Lankford called to order the Public Hearing for the Rezoning Request #228 – Adam Stewart – RA to H-B.

The following spoke during the Public Hearing:

**Adam Stewart**  
1206 Friendship Road  
Germanton, NC 27019

Mr. Stewart stated that he wanted to put a landscaping business on the property. Mr. Stewart noted there was no kind of landscaping business in the Germanton area.

**Ramona Stewart**  
1259-S Friendship Road  
Germanton, NC 27019

Ms. Stewart reiterated that there is no other landscaping business in the area and just like Ms. Fulp, Adam Stewart wanted to create a business that he can pass on to his son.

Chairman Lankford closed the Public Hearing.

**Presentation Agenda**

Director Sudderth presented the following information regarding the Rezoning Request:

**REQUEST:** Rezone approximately 4.755 acres from RA (Residential Agricultural) to H-B (Highway Business).

**SITE OWNER:** Sadie M. Hartgrove

**APPLICANT:** Adam Stewart

**SITE LOCATION:** The property is located on the north side of NC Hwy 8 & 65 across from the intersection with Red Bank Rd. SR# 1953 in Germanton, NC.

**Map:** 6931    **Parcels:** 3664 & 5121    **PIN #:** 6931-08-87-3664 & 6931-08-88-5121

**Deed Book:** 393 & 224    **Pages:** 966 & 40    **Township:** Meadows

**SITE INFORMATION:**

**PARCEL SIZE:** Total tract 4.755 acres

**CURRENT USE:** The property is currently vacant.

**ZONING DISTRICT:** RA (Residential Agricultural)

**PROPOSED DISTRICT:** H-B (Highway Business)

Minimum lot size is 20,000 sq. ft. (.459 acre)

**FLOOD HAZARD AREA:** Not located in flood hazard area.

**FIRM MAP #:** 3710693100 J

**FIRM MAP ZONE:** Zone X - Area outside 500-year floodplain.

**WATERSHED DISTRICT:** No

**SEPTIC/WATER APPROVAL:** Stokes County Environmental Health section has evaluated and approved a site for a septic system on the property.

**SCHOOL DISTRICTS:** N/A

**EMERGENCY SERVICES:** Rural Hall VFD, EMS - Station # 101 Walnut Cove.

**EROSION CONTROL:** N/A until construction begins.

**ACCESS:** The site will have to have an approved commercial driveway off of NC Hwy 8 & 65 permit from NCDOT.

**SURROUNDING LAND USE:** The adjacent surrounding properties are zoned RA (Residential Agricultural). There are commercial districts located on NC Hwy 8 & 65 from the county line to the intersection where NC Hwy 8 and NC Hwy 65 split. The mixed use of residential and commercial property extends on into Walnut Cove. The closest commercial districts to the proposed site are the Germanton Gallery & Winery, Ricky Joe's Restaurant (approved 08-01-06), Germanton Autos L.L.C (approved 06-05-07), Andy's Mart and the Germanton Shell station.

**ISSUES TO CONSIDER:** As with all general use rezoning petitions the Board should consider all the impacts both positive and negative that a commercial development brings with it.

- Impact on surrounding development.
- Consistency with surrounding development
- Impact on community infrastructure, roads, public services etc.
- Increase in commercial tax base.
- Community acceptance
- Traffic

**STAFF COMMENTS:** The Planning staff does not see a problem with the request. There are multiple commercial zones within a mile of the site. There are mixed residential and commercial uses along the length of NC Hwy 8 & 65 in the Germanton community. The addition of a commercially zoned property in this area should not have an adverse effect on the community and should benefit the commercial tax base of the county.

Director Sudderth noted the following Planning Board recommendation:

- The Planning voted 7 to 0 to recommend approval of rezoning petition # 228. The Board felt that the request and proposed site were consistent with the current development pattern in the area.

### **Discussion Agenda**

Chairman Lankford opened the floor for discussion.

Commissioner Walker questioned Director Sudderth if he had any concerns with the

request.

Planning Director Sudderth responded that he had no issues with the request.

The Board had no issues with the Rezoning Request.

### **Action Agenda**

Chairman Lankford entertained a motion.

Vice Chairman Inman moved to approve Rezoning Request #228 – Adam Stewart – RA to H-B. Commissioner Jones seconded and the motion carried unanimously

### **PUBLIC HEARING/PRESENTATION/DISCUSSION/ACTION AGENDAS** **Frank Bullins – RA to H-B # 229**

#### **Public Hearing**

Chairman Lankford called to order the Public Hearing for the Rezoning Request #229 – Frank Bullins – RA to H-B.

There were no public comments.

Chairman Lankford closed the Public Hearing.

#### **Presentation Agenda**

Director Sudderth presented the following information regarding Rezoning Request:

**REQUEST:** Rezone approximately 2.023 acres from RA (Residential Agricultural) to H-B (Highway Business).

**SITE OWNER:** Frank S. Bullins

**APPLICANT:** Frank S. Bullins

**SITE LOCATION:** The property is located at the corner of NC Hwy 704 and Poore Rd. SR# 1613.

**Map:** 6060    **Parcels:** 3585    **PIN #:** 6060-00-09-3585

**Deed Book:** 620    **Pages:** 2289    **Township:** Snow Creek Township

#### **SITE INFORMATION:**

**PARCEL SIZE:** Total tract 2.023 acres

**CURRENT USE:** The property has a one story metal building located on it.

**ZONING DISTRICT:** RA (Residential Agricultural)

**PROPOSED DISTRICT:** H-B (Highway Business)

Minimum lot size is 20,000 sq. ft. (.459 acre)

**FLOOD HAZARD AREA:** Not located in flood hazard area.

**FIRM MAP #:** 3710606000 J

**FIRM MAP ZONE:** Zone X - Area outside 500-year floodplain.

**WATERSHED DISTRICT:** No

**SEPTIC/WATER APPROVAL:** The property has an existing septic system that has been approved by Stokes County Environmental Health.

**SCHOOL DISTRICTS:** N/A

**EMERGENCY SERVICES:** Northeast VFD, EMS - Station # 102 Lawsonville.

**EROSION CONTROL:** N/A unless there is new construction.

**ACCESS:** The site will have to have an approved commercial driveway access off of NC Hwy 704 from NCDOT.

**SURROUNDING LAND USE:** Most of the adjacent surrounding property is zoned RA (Residential Agricultural). The closest commercial district is located next to the property and is zoned H-B (Highway Business) and operates as Pinto's Restaurant.

**ISSUES TO CONSIDER:** As with all general use rezoning petitions the Board should consider all the impacts both positive and negative that a commercial development brings with it.

- Impact on surrounding development.
- Consistency with surrounding development
- Impact on community infrastructure, roads, public services etc.
- Increase in commercial tax base.
- Community acceptance
- Traffic

**STAFF COMMENTS:** The Planning staff does not see a problem with the request. There is an existing commercial zone next to the proposed site. There are mixed residential and commercial uses along NC Hwy 704. The addition of a commercially zoned property in this area should not have an adverse effect on the community and should benefit the commercial tax base of the county.

Director Sudderth noted the following Planning Board recommendation:

- The Planning voted 7 to 0 to recommend approval of rezoning petition # 229. The Board felt that this request was appropriate for the area, and made sense due to the existing H-B district, (Pinto's Restaurant) adjacent to the proposed site.

### **Discussion Agenda**

Chairman Lankford opened the floor for discussion.

Commissioner Walker confirmed with Director Sudderth that he was comfortable with the rezoning request.

Commissioner Walker reiterated that a landowner should be able to do what they choose to do

with their land as long as it does not have an overly adverse impact to their neighbors

Commissioner Walker discussed Highway Business Zoning with Director Sudderth, the pros and cons.

The Board had no issues with the Rezoning Request.

\*Vice Chairman Inman excused himself from the meeting due to having to be at another meeting.

### **Action Agenda**

Chairman Lankford entertained a motion.

Commissioner Booth moved to approve Rezoning Request #229 - Frank Bullins - RA to H-B.

Commissioner Jones seconded and the motion carried (4-0) with Vice Chairman Inman absent.

### **Adjournment**

There being no further business to come before the Board, Chairman Lankford entertained a motion to adjourn the meeting.

Commissioner Jones moved to adjourn the meeting. Commissioner Booth seconded and the motion carried (4-0) with Vice Chairman Inman absent.

---

**Darlene M. Bullins**  
**Clerk to the Board**

---

**Ernest Lankford**  
**Chairman**