

MINUTES OF THE CITY COUNCIL / SUCCESSOR AGENCY / HOUSING AUTHORITY  
OF THE CITY OF STANTON  
SPECIAL & JOINT REGULAR MEETING OCTOBER 26, 2021

SPECIAL CITY COUNCIL / SUCCESSOR AGENCY / HOUSING AUTHORITY MEETING  
(5:00 PM)  
JOINT REGULAR CITY COUNCIL / SUCCESSOR AGENCY / HOUSING AUTHORITY  
MEETING (6:30 PM)

**1. CALL TO ORDER / CLOSED SESSION**

The City Council / Successor Agency / Housing Authority meeting was called to order at 5:01 p.m. by Mayor / Chairman Shawver.

**2. ROLL CALL**

Present: Council/Agency/Authority Member Ramirez, Council/Agency/Authority Member Warren, Mayor Pro Tem/Vice Chairman Taylor, and Mayor/Chairman Shawver.

Absent: Council/Agency/Authority Member Van.

Excused: None.

**3. PUBLIC COMMENT ON CLOSED SESSION ITEMS** None.

**4. CLOSED SESSION**

The members of the City Council / Successor Agency / Housing Authority of the City of Stanton proceeded to closed session at 5:02 p.m. for discussion regarding:

Council/Agency/Authority Member Van arrived at 5:03 p.m.

**4A. CONFERENCE WITH REAL PROPERTY NEGOTIATOR  
(Pursuant to Government Code Section 54956.8)**

Property: 10692 Beach Boulevard, CA (APN 126-434-12)

Negotiating Parties: Jarad L. Hildenbrand, City Manager, City of Stanton  
Hyuncho Park, Owner

Under Negotiation: Instruction to negotiator will concern price and terms of payment.

**4B. CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL-ANTICIPATED LITIGATION**  
**Significant exposure to litigation pursuant to Government Code Section 54956.9 (d) (2)**

Number of Potential Cases: 2

**5. CALL TO ORDER / SUCCESSOR AGENCY / STANTON HOUSING AUTHORITY MEETING**

The meetings were called to order at 6:30 p.m. by Mayor / Chairman Shawver.

**6. ROLL CALL**

Present: Council/Agency/Authority Member Ramirez, Council/Agency/Authority Member Van, Council/Agency/Authority Member Warren, Mayor Pro Tem/Vice Chairman Taylor, and Mayor/Chairman Shawver.

Absent: None.

Excused: None.

**7. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

Led by Mr. Keith Gifford.

The City Attorney / Agency Counsel reported that the Stanton City Council / Successor Agency / Housing Authority met in closed session from 5:02 to 6:30 p.m.

The City Attorney / Agency Counsel reported that there was no reportable action.

**8. SPECIAL PRESENTATIONS AND AWARDS**

A. The City Council along with representatives from both Senator Josh Newman and Assemblywoman Sharon Quirk-Silva's office presented certificates of recognition honoring Stanton's 2020 Women of Distinction awardees.

B. The City Council along with representatives from both Senator Josh Newman and Assemblywoman Sharon Quirk-Silva's office presented certificates of recognition to Stanton's 2020 Service award recipients.

**9. CONSENT CALENDAR**

Motion/Second: Ramirez/Taylor

ROLL CALL VOTE:	Council/Agency/Authority Member Ramirez	AYE
	Council/Agency/Authority Member Van	AYE
	Council/Agency/Authority Member Warren	AYE
	Mayor Pro Tem/Vice Chairman Taylor	AYE
	Mayor/Chairman Shawver	AYE

Motion unanimously carried:

**CONSENT CALENDAR**

**9A. MOTION TO APPROVE THE READING BY TITLE OF ALL ORDINANCES AND RESOLUTIONS. SAID ORDINANCES AND RESOLUTIONS THAT APPEAR ON THE PUBLIC AGENDA SHALL BE READ BY TITLE ONLY AND FURTHER READING WAIVED**

The City Council/Agency Board/Authority Board waived reading of Ordinances and Resolutions.

**9B. APPROVAL OF WARRANTS**

The City Council approved demand warrants dated September 24, 2021 – October 7, 2021, in the amount of \$1,541,807.45.

**9C. APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

1. The City Council/Successor Agency/Housing Authority approved Minutes of Joint Regular Meeting – September 28, 2021; and
2. The City Council/Successor Agency/Housing Authority approved Minutes of Joint Regular Meeting – October 12, 2021.

**9D. AMENDMENT NUMBER ONE TO THE AGREEMENT TO TRANSFER FUNDS FOR THE 2020 EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT PERFORMANCE GRANT PROGRAM**

On May 11, 2021, City Council approved the City's acceptance of the Emergency Management Performance Grant (EMPG) FY20, from the California Governor's Office of Emergency Services (CalOES). Included in this grant is a sub award for the City in the amount of \$5,333.00. CalOES is seeking approval of an amendment to the Agreement to Transfer Funds.

1. The City Council declared that the project is exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") under Section 15061(b)(3) as the activity is covered by the general rule that CEQA applies only to projects which have the potential for causing significant effect on the environment. Where it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity in question may have a significant effect on the environment, the activity is not subject to CEQA, and
2. Authorized the City Manager to execute the Amendment Number One to the Agreement to Transfer Funds for the 2020 Emergency Management Performance Grant Program and other documents required by the County of Orange for participation in the EMPG program on behalf of the City Council.

**9E. APPROVAL OF FIRST AMENDMENT TO THE OPTION TO LEASE AGREEMENT OF 11870 BEACH BOULEVARD WITH JAMBOREE HOUSING CORPORATION FOR USE AS PART OF THE TAHITI MOTEL PERMANENT SUPPORTIVE HOUSING PROJECT**

Consideration of the First Amendment to the Option to Lease Agreement of 11870 Beach Boulevard with the Jamboree Housing Corporation (JHC), extending the option's expiration date to April 18, 2022. The property is adjacent to JHC's Tahiti Motel Permanent Supportive Housing Project and would be used to provide additional space for a community building on the campus.

1. The City Council declared that this project is exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") under Section 15061(b)(3); and
2. Approved the First Amendment to the Option to Lease Agreement with JHC; and
3. Authorized the City Manager to execute the First Amendment with JHC.

**9F. RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING VIRTUAL PUBLIC MEETINGS PURSUANT TO AB 361**

Adoption of a Resolution of authorizing City Staff to conduct remote teleconference meetings of the City Council, Committees, and Commissions under the provisions of AB 361.

1. The City Council declared that this item is not subject to the California Environmental Quality Act (“CEQA”) pursuant to Sections 15060(c)(2) and 15060(c)(3); and
2. Adopted Resolution No. 2021-34, entitled:

**“A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF STANTON, CALIFORNIA, AUTHORIZING VIRTUAL PUBLIC MEETINGS PURSUANT TO AB 361”.**

**END OF CONSENT CALENDAR**

**10. PUBLIC HEARINGS** None.

**11. UNFINISHED BUSINESS**

**11A. CONSIDERATION OF ORDINANCE NO. 1113, AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF STANTON, CALIFORNIA, AMENDING SECTION 10.08.010 OF THE STANTON MUNICIPAL CODE AUTHORIZING ANGLED PARKING ON ALL ROADWAYS WITH CONDITIONS OF IMPLEMENTATION, SPECIFICALLY AUTHORIZING ANGLED PARKING ON VILLAGE CENTER DRIVE FROM THE SOUTHERLY CITY LIMIT TO BEACH BOULEVARD, AND FINDING SAME EXEMPT FROM THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT**

On September 28, 2021, City Council continued this item to the October 26, 2021 City Council meeting with the direction to City staff to develop and present a “traffic study” of existing conditions on Village Center Drive and the impact(s) proposed angled parking plan would have on Village Center Drive traffic. Based upon the scope given, the City Engineer determined the request more closely aligned with the scope of an “engineering analysis” akin to the language in the proposed ordinance rather than a traffic study. Consequently, the engineering analysis has been prepared in a memorandum format and is summarized below. The engineering analysis is also attached to this agenda report.

Based upon the results of the engineering analysis, the City Engineer is recommending a revised recommended action for consideration by City Council and, therefore, the City Engineer is changing the original recommended action to “alternative action no. 2.” The City Engineer is also proposing another alternative action, alternative action no. 1, for consideration by City Council.

The revised recommended action consists of modifying the “no parking” hours posted on Village Center Drive to allow the existing parallel parking to be better utilized and to evaluate the effect of that change prior to authorizing additional angled parking on Village Center Drive. The recommended action would change the existing No Parking hours from 11:00 p.m. – 7:00 a.m. to 2:00 a.m. – 6:00 a.m. on Village Center Drive.

Two alternative actions are also presented to City Council for consideration:

Alternative action no. 1 is the same as the recommended action, except that the existing No Parking hours would only occur on the designated street sweeping day (Friday) and during the necessary time window to allow for street sweeping as determined by the City Engineer.

Alternative action no. 2 is the original recommended action presented at the September 28, 2021, City Council meeting, which was to amend Section 10.08.010 of the Stanton Municipal Code to allow angled parking on any public roadway after the City Engineer performs an engineering analysis and with the concurrence of City Council and to implement angled parking on Village Center Drive as the initial implementation of this Ordinance. If the City Council directs angled parking be designated for installation by Frontier on Village Center Drive, the City Engineer recommends any angled parking stalls be “back-in” or “reverse” angled parking.

**RECOMMENDED ACTION:**

1. City Council modify the existing “No Parking” hours on Village Center Drive from the southerly City limit to Beach Boulevard from 11:00 p.m. - 7:00 a.m. to 2:00 a.m. – 6:00 a.m.; and
2. Adopt Resolution No. 2021-35, entitled:

**“A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF STANTON, CALIFORNIA, TO RESTRICT OVERNIGHT PARKING ON VILLAGE CENTER DRIVE FROM THE SOUTHERLY CITY LIMIT TO BEACH BOULEVARD”;** and

3. Declare that this Resolution will not have a significant effect, adverse or otherwise, on the environment pursuant to the California Environment Quality Act (“CEQA”) Guidelines Section 15061(b)(3); therefore, this resolution is found and determined to be not subject to analysis under the California Environment Quality Act of 1970, as amended, or the State CEQA Guidelines; and
4. Direct the City Engineer to perform a subsequent engineering analysis in approximately six (6) months to ascertain the effects of the modified No Parking hours on the use of the existing parallel parking spaces.

**ALTERNATIVE ACTION NO. 1:**

1. City Council modify the existing “No Parking” hours from 11:00 p.m. - 7:00 a.m. to “No Parking for street sweeping” on Village Center Drive from the southerly limit to Beach Boulevard on the designated street sweeping day and time window determined by the City Engineer; and
2. Declare this action will not have a significant effect, adverse or otherwise, on the environment pursuant to the California Environment Quality Act (“CEQA”) Guidelines Section 15061(b)(3); therefore, this action is found and determined to be not subject to analysis under the California Environment Quality Act of 1970, as amended, or the State CEQA Guidelines; and
3. Direct the City Engineer to perform a subsequent engineering analysis in approximately six (6) months to ascertain the effects of the modified No Parking hours on the use of the existing parallel parking spaces.

**ALTERNATIVE ACTION NO. 2:**

1. City Council introduce Ordinance No. 1113, entitled:

**“AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF STANTON, CALIFORNIA, AMENDING SECTION 10.08.010 OF THE STANTON MUNICIPAL CODE AUTHORIZING ANGLED PARKING ON ALL ROADWAYS WITH CONDITIONS OF IMPLEMENTATION, SPECIFICALLY AUTHORIZING ANGLED PARKING ON VILLAGE CENTER DRIVE FROM THE SOUTHERLY CITY LIMIT TO BEACH BOULEVARD, AND FINDING SAME EXEMPT FROM THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT;”** and

2. Declare that this Ordinance will not have a significant effect, adverse or otherwise, on the environment pursuant to the California Environment Quality Act (“CEQA”) Guidelines Section 15061(b)(3); therefore, this Ordinance, and all parking configurations authorized hereby, are found and determined to be not subject to analysis under the California Environment Quality Act of 1970, as amended, or the State CEQA Guidelines; and

3. Set said Ordinance for adoption at the November 9th regularly scheduled City Council meeting; and
4. Approve the initial implementation of the Ordinance by expressly authorizing angled parking on Village Center Drive; and
5. Authorize the City Engineer to work with Frontier to develop and implement a revised angled parking plan which includes reverse/back-in angled parking; and
6. Upon the City Engineer's approval of a revised angled parking plan, authorize the City Engineer to issue an encroachment permit in conformance with the Stanton Municipal Code for the proposed work and to collect a surety bond or cash bond in the amount of \$50,000 to guarantee completion of construction.

Staff report by Mr. Joe Ames, Public Works Director / City Engineer.

City Council questioned staff regarding inclusion of a crosswalk, availability of staff to enforce parking hours, retaining of existing trees, parking hours, staff only parking, angled parking, valet parking, crime statistics in the area prior to the current development, current parking plan underutilized, maintaining the current parallel parking design, homeless activity, current plan / steps in addressing homeless activity, traffic study results, medians, left turn lanes, occupancy rate, and replacement of trees should any be removed (mature trees).

**The public hearing was opened.**

Written Communication:

- Mr. Alan Dominguez, resident, spoke in opposition of the proposed ordinance and alternative recommendations, citing safety concerns for pedestrians and cyclist, traffic congestion, and traffic safety.
- Ms. Catherine Levu, resident, spoke in opposition of the proposed ordinance and alternative recommendations, citing public safety concerns, traffic congestion, traffic safety, and request that the City Council consider the needs of the community who reside within the area.
- Ms. Carol Ngo, resident, spoke in opposition of the proposed ordinance and alternative recommendations.
- Ms. Christi Winslow, resident, spoke in opposition of the proposed ordinance and alternative recommendations, citing traffic safety concerns.
- Mr. Daniel Kendrick, resident, spoke in opposition of the proposed ordinance and alternative recommendations, citing public safety concerns, traffic congestion, traffic safety, loitering, increase in littering, and increase in crime.



- Mr. Robert Kordich and Mrs. Dona Kordich, resident, spoke in opposition of the proposed ordinance and alternative recommendations citing public safety concerns, traffic congestion, and traffic safety.
- Ms. Emily Ruiz, resident, spoke in opposition of the proposed ordinance and alternative recommendations citing public safety concerns, traffic congestion, traffic safety, and an increase in loitering and crime.
- Ms. Judy Fanslow, resident, spoke in opposition of the proposed ordinance and alternative recommendations citing public safety concerns, traffic congestion, traffic safety, and an increase in loitering and crime.
- Ms. Jennifer McDonald, resident, spoke in opposition of the proposed ordinance and alternative recommendations citing public safety concerns, traffic congestion, traffic safety, an increase in loitering and crime, and request that the City Council consider the needs of the community who reside within the area.
- Ms. Jennifer Woodward, resident, spoke in opposition of the proposed ordinance and alternative recommendations citing an increase in traffic impediments.
- Ms. Kathy Black, resident, spoke in opposition of the proposed ordinance and alternative recommendations.
- Ms. Lisa Glenn, resident, spoke in opposition of the proposed ordinance and alternative recommendations citing public safety concerns, traffic congestion, traffic safety, and request traffic calming measures.
- Mr. Mark Haddad, Business Owner, spoke in favor of the proposed ordinance and alternative recommendations.
- Mr. Mike Montgomery, resident, spoke in opposition of the proposed ordinance and alternative recommendations citing public safety concerns, traffic and pedestrian safety concerns, and spoke regarding the distrust that the community has in the City Council.
- Ms. Marisa Patterson, resident, spoke in opposition of the proposed ordinance and alternative recommendations.
- Mr. Robert Kordich and Mrs. Dona Kordich, resident, spoke in opposition of the proposed ordinance and alternative recommendations citing public safety concerns, traffic congestion, and traffic safety.
- Ms. Ron Beighle, resident, spoke in opposition of the proposed ordinance and alternative recommendations.

- Mr. Robert Kordich and Mrs. Dona Kordich, resident, spoke in opposition of the proposed ordinance and alternative recommendations citing public safety concerns, traffic congestion, and traffic safety.
- Mr. Robie Oelkers, resident, spoke in opposition of the proposed ordinance and alternative recommendations citing public safety concerns, and traffic safety concerns and also spoke in favor of changing the parking hours.
- Ms. Rhonda, resident, spoke in opposition of the proposed ordinance and alternative recommendations citing public safety concerns, traffic safety concerns, request that the City Council consider the needs of the community who reside within the area, and also spoke in favor of changing the parking hours.
- Ms. Robin (RJP) Ray, resident, spoke in opposition of the proposed ordinance and alternative recommendations citing public safety concerns, traffic congestion, and traffic safety concerns.
- Mr. Stuart Levu, resident, spoke in opposition of the proposed ordinance and alternative recommendations citing public safety concerns, traffic congestion, traffic safety concerns, and increased crime.
- Ms. Tammy Tran, resident, spoke in opposition of the proposed ordinance and alternative recommendations.

In Person Public Comment:

- Mr. Jeffrey Jones, resident, spoke in opposition of the proposed ordinance and alternative recommendations citing concerns with the proposed hours being too high, public safety concerns, and creation of hazardous conditions.
- Ms. Lori Harmon, resident, spoke in opposition of the proposed ordinance and alternative recommendations citing public safety concerns, increase in homeless activity, and questioned how City funding is being utilized to address the homeless population.
- Mr. Austin Rilles, business owner, spoke in favor of the proposed ordinance and alternative recommendations stating that they work late into the evenings and extending the parking hours would assist his team and clientele.
- Ms. Karen Bethell, resident, spoke in opposition of the proposed ordinance and alternative recommendations citing public safety concerns, increase in homeless activity, request that the City host a community clean up event to encourage community involvement, and questioned why City Council meetings were not televised.

- Mr. Harold Walters, business owner, spoke in favor of the proposed ordinance and alternative recommendations stating that one of the biggest concerns that his business is experiencing is the lack of parking especially during peak hours for both his employees and patrons.
- Mr. Matthew Sandoval, resident, spoke in opposition of the proposed ordinance and alternative recommendations stating that all parties are in agreement that there is not enough parking within the development, that he believes this issue falls on the developer and that the developer should be seeking alternative parking options to resolve the issue, and further spoke regarding traffic congestion, and traffic safety.
- Mr. Chase Villafana, representative for Planet Fitness, spoke in favor of the proposed ordinance and alternative recommendations citing that the developers have placed themselves in a position to benefit the community by providing them with a place to eat and enjoy entertainment.
- Ms. Anne Marie Damaso, business owner, spoke in favor of the proposed ordinance and alternative recommendations and cited safety concerns for her staff and patrons.
- Mr. Paul Chi, Rodeo 39 employee, spoke in favor of the proposed ordinance and alternative recommendations stating that the lack of parking has given a bad experience for both staff and patrons.
- Mr. Roger Chan, business owner, spoke in favor of the proposed ordinance and alternative recommendations citing that the lack of parking has been a negative experience for both staff and patrons, that his business has been impacted, he is losing members due to the lack of parking, and he asks for approval of additional parking for prosperity of business and the safety of his patrons and staff.
- Mr. Pastor Lee, business owner, spoke in favor of the proposed ordinance and alternative recommendations citing that the goal is to bring the Stanton community, neighboring community, and more together, and further stated that it is difficult to serve patrons when his staff is out looking for parking, and that by authorizing additional parking spaces would be necessary for his business to thrive.
- Ms. Amy Chuang, business owner, spoke in favor of the proposed ordinance and alternative recommendations citing that it has been a tough year dealing with the effects of COVID-19 and that they are trying to maintain their employees and patrons, which is crucial especially on the weekends when parking is needed.
- Mr. Tom Carpenter, Frontier Development, spoke in favor of the proposed ordinance and alternative recommendations stating that they want to be good neighbors, that parking is a major issue, the lack of use of the back parking area

during the weekday hours, use during the nights and weekends is when the back parking area needed for staff and patrons which is crucial, addressed concerns with the possibility of increased crime and loitering created by increased parking, that one of the major goals of theirs was to clean up the area and continue to clean up the corner, that he is proud of what they have developed and that he to believes that it is valid that 2 am is too late and that they would agree with 12 am.

- Ms. Robin, resident, spoke in opposition of the proposed ordinance and alternative recommendations and stated that alternative options need to be researched and considered, that this current situation was created by the developer not the residents and that taking Village Center Drive is not going to fix the issues, and that there is a better solution out there.
- Ms. Rachel Crist, resident, spoke in opposition of the proposed ordinance and alternative recommendations citing public safety concerns, traffic safety concerns, loss of multiple lanes, possibility of losing turn lanes, neighboring developments not be losing turn lanes, that the City and developer knew that parking was going to be an issue, questioned how this situation is going to be addressed, reported that similar developments such as the Anaheim Packing District utilizes a valet parking system to maximize their space, and suggested that the developer follow a similar model.
- Mr. Jason Latrell, resident, spoke in opposition of the proposed ordinance and alternative recommendations citing pedestrian safety concerns, public safety concerns, and the lack of security.

**No one else appearing to speak, the public hearing was closed.**

**Motion (Shawver):**

Front-in angled parking plan, modify parking hours as proposed (no parking 12:00 AM to 6:00 AM), include a crosswalk, and perform a subsequent engineering analysis in approximately six (6) months for review by the City Council.

Motion/Second: Shawver/Ramirez

**Request for Substitute Motion (Van):**

Proceed with Alternative Action No. 1, modify parking hours as proposed (no parking 12:00 AM to 6:00 AM), include a crosswalk, and perform a subsequent engineering analysis in approximately six (6) months for review by the City Council.

Motion/Second: Van/

Substitute motion failed due to the lack of a second.

**Call for the Question (Shawver):**

Front-in angled parking plan, modify parking hours as proposed (no parking 12:00 AM to 6:00 AM), include a crosswalk, and perform a subsequent engineering analysis in approximately six (6) months for review by the City Council.

**Second Request for Substitute Motion (Van):**

Back-in angled parking plan, modify parking hours as proposed (no parking 12:00 AM to 6:00 AM), require Frontier Development to replace any existing trees removed for this proposed parking plan with mature (10 foot+) trees, obtain voluntary donation from Frontier Development for the design and installation of the crosswalk, and perform a subsequent engineering analysis in approximately six (6) months for review by the City Council.

Motion/Second: Van/

Substitute motion failed due to the lack of a second.

**Final Motion:**

Motion/Second: Shawver/Ramirez

ROLL CALL VOTE:	Council Member Ramirez	AYE
	Council Member Van	NO
	Council Member Warren	AYE
	Mayor Pro Tem Taylor	AYE
	Mayor Shawver	AYE

Motion carried:

1. The City Council adopted Resolution No. 2021-35 modifying the existing “No Parking” hours on Village Center Drive from the southerly City limit to Beach Boulevard from 11:00 p.m. - 7:00 a.m. to 12:00 a.m. – 6:00 a.m., entitled:

**“A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF STANTON, CALIFORNIA, TO RESTRICT OVERNIGHT PARKING ON VILLAGE CENTER DRIVE FROM THE SOUTHERLY CITY LIMIT TO BEACH BOULEVARD”;** and

2. Declared that this Resolution will not have a significant effect, adverse or otherwise, on the environment pursuant to the California Environment Quality Act (“CEQA”) Guidelines Section 15061(b)(3); therefore, this resolution is found and determined to be not subject to analysis under the California Environment Quality Act of 1970, as amended, or the State CEQA Guidelines; and
3. Directed the City Engineer to perform a subsequent engineering analysis in approximately six (6) months to ascertain the effects of the modified No Parking hours on the use of the angled parking spaces; and

4. Introduced Ordinance No. 1113, entitled:

**“AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF STANTON, CALIFORNIA, AMENDING SECTION 10.08.010 OF THE STANTON MUNICIPAL CODE AUTHORIZING ANGLED PARKING ON ALL ROADWAYS WITH CONDITIONS OF IMPLEMENTATION, SPECIFICALLY AUTHORIZING ANGLED PARKING ON VILLAGE CENTER DRIVE FROM THE SOUTHERLY CITY LIMIT TO BEACH BOULEVARD, AND FINDING SAME EXEMPT FROM THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT”**; and

5. Declared that this Ordinance will not have a significant effect, adverse or otherwise, on the environment pursuant to the California Environment Quality Act (“CEQA”) Guidelines Section 15061(b)(3); therefore, this Ordinance, and all parking configurations authorized hereby, are found and determined to be not subject to analysis under the California Environment Quality Act of 1970, as amended, or the State CEQA Guidelines; and
6. Set said Ordinance for second reading and adoption at the November 9, 2021 regularly scheduled City Council meeting; and
7. Authorized the City Engineer to work with Frontier Development to further develop and implement a front-in angled parking plan; and
8. Upon the City Engineer’s approval of an angled parking plan, authorize the City Engineer to issue an encroachment permit in conformance with the Stanton Municipal Code for the proposed work and to collect a surety bond or cash bond in the amount of \$50,000 to guarantee completion of construction; and
9. Directed the City Engineer to work with Frontier Development and Tait & Associates to proceed with the design and installation of a crosswalk on Village Center Drive (Note: Including a \$5,000 voluntary donation from Frontier Development for the design and installation of the crosswalk on Village Center Drive); and
10. Directed the City Engineer to require Frontier Development to replace any existing trees removed for this proposed parking plan with mature (10 foot+) trees.

## **12. NEW BUSINESS**

### **12A. PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT TO PROVIDE SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT CONSULTING SERVICES**

In September, City staff released a “Request for Proposal” (RFP) soliciting proposals to provide professional, solid waste management consulting services in accordance with the City’s Purchasing Policy and Procedures. City staff pre-qualified and sent the RFP to three firms. City staff recommends entering into a three (3) year agreement with MSW Consultants through June 30, 2024, in the amount of \$284,060, excluding CPI adjustments as provided for in the City’s standard agreement. The total cost to the City will be dependent on the tasks needed during the life of the agreement.

Staff report by Mr. Joe Ames, Public Works Director / City Engineer.

Motion/Second: Taylor/Van

Motion carried by the following vote:

AYES: 5 (Ramirez, Shawver, Taylor, Van, and Warren)

NOES: None

ABSTAIN: None

ABSENT: None

Motion unanimously carried.

1. The City Council declared this action to be categorically exempt under the California Environmental Quality Act, since the action herein does not constitute a “project” as defined by section 15378 of the CEQA guidelines; and
2. Awarded a contract for professional civil engineering services to MSW Consultants to provide professional solid waste management consulting services for a maximum contract amount of \$284,060, excluding CPI adjustments as provided for in the City’s standard agreement; and
3. Authorized the City Manager, with the concurrence of the City Attorney, to make minor modifications to the Professional Services Agreement and to bind the City of Stanton.

**12B. BEAUTIFICATION AWARDS PROGRAM**

Consideration of a Beautification Awards Program to recognize residents and businesses that demonstrate community pride in living in the City of Stanton. The City would like to recognize homes and businesses that are well kept, display beautiful landscapes, and/or exhibit unique features that enhance neighborhoods within the City.

Staff report by Mr. Jarad L. Hildenbrand, City Manager.

1. The City Council declared that this item is not subject to the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") pursuant to Sections 15378(b)(5); and
2. Authorized City Staff to create and advertise a Beautification Awards Program; and
3. Directed Staff to proceed with the Parks, Recreation and Community Services Commission and Mayor Pro Tem Taylor to serve as the Beautification Contest judging panel for residential homes; and
4. Directed Staff to proceed with the Planning Commission and Council Member Ramirez to serve as the Beautification Contest judging panel for the business community.

**13. ORAL COMMUNICATIONS – PUBLIC**

Ms. Rachel Crist, resident, spoke regarding parking along Village Center Drive and the lack of use of the current parallel parking spaces, lack of City enforcement, and questioned how the City is going to address and assure that parking hours are being enforced.

**14. WRITTEN COMMUNICATIONS** None.

**15. MAYOR/CHAIRMAN/COUNCIL/AGENCY/AUTHORITY INITIATED BUSINESS**

**15A. COMMITTEE REPORTS/COUNCIL/AGENCY/AUTHORITY ANNOUNCEMENTS**

None.

**15B. COUNCIL/AGENCY/AUTHORITY INITIATED ITEMS FOR A FUTURE COUNCIL MEETING**

Council Member Taylor requested to agendaize for discussion creation of an 800 hotline to assist in reporting criminal activity within the City.



**15C. COUNCIL/AGENCY/AUTHORITY INITIATED ITEMS FOR A FUTURE STUDY SESSION**

None.

**16. ITEMS FROM CITY ATTORNEY/AGENCY COUNSEL/AUTHORITY COUNSEL**

None.

**17. ITEMS FROM CITY MANAGER/EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR**

None.

**17A. ORANGE COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT**

Captain Cruz Alday provided the City Council with an update on their current operations.

**18. ADJOURNMENT** Motion/Second: Shawver/  
Motion carried at 9:44 p.m.

/s/ Gary Taylor

---

MAYOR PRO TEM/VICE CHAIRMAN

ATTEST:

/s/ Patricia A. Vazquez

---

CITY CLERK/SECRETARY