

MINUTES OF THE CITY COUNCIL / SUCCESSOR AGENCY / HOUSING AUTHORITY  
OF THE CITY OF STANTON  
JOINT REGULAR MEETING SEPTEMBER 28, 2021

1. **CLOSED SESSION** None.

2. **CALL TO ORDER REGULAR STANTON CITY COUNCIL / SUCCESSOR AGENCY / HOUSING AUTHORITY MEETING**

The meeting was called to order at 6:30 p.m. by Mayor / Chairman Shawver.

3. **PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

Led by Sergeant Jeff Cole, Orange County Sheriff's Department.

4. **ROLL CALL**

Present: Council/Agency/Authority Member Van, Council/Agency/Authority Member Warren, Mayor Pro Tem/Vice Chairman Taylor, and Mayor/Chairman Shawver.

Absent: None.

Excused: Council/Agency/Authority Member Ramirez.

5. **SPECIAL PRESENTATIONS AND AWARDS**

**5A.** Presentation of Certificates of Recognition honoring Orange County Fire Authority, Station 46 firefighters for their life saving efforts during a structure fire within the City of Stanton, which occurred in July of 2021.

Orange County Fire Authority, Station 46 honorees:

- Engineer Joshua Kroese
- Fire Captain Robert Hays
- Firefighter Colby Livingston
- Firefighter Paramedic Brandon Norris
- Firefighter Paramedic Christopher Chang
- Firefighter Paramedic Jose (Artie) Celis

**5B.** Mayor Shawver and Members of the City Council proclaimed the week of October 3, 2021 – October 9, 2021, to be Fire Prevention Week within the City of Stanton.

**5C.** Mayor Shawver and Members of the City Council presented the Boys & Girls Club of Stanton with \$250,000 in American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA) funding from the City of Stanton. The funding will be utilized to respond to the impacts of COVID-19 to the youth and families the Club serves.

**5D.** Presentation by Mr. Eric O'Donnell, Townsend Public Affairs, providing the City Council with an update on State and Federal Legislation

**6. CONSENT CALENDAR**

Mayor Shawver pulled item 6I from the Consent Calendar for separate discussion.

Motion/Second: Warren/Taylor

ROLL CALL VOTE:	Council/Agency/Authority Member Ramirez	ABSENT
	Council/Agency/Authority Member Van	AYE
	Council/Agency/Authority Member Warren	AYE
	Mayor Pro Tem/Vice Chairman Taylor	AYE
	Mayor/Chairman Shawver	AYE

Motion unanimously carried:

**CONSENT CALENDAR**

**6A. MOTION TO APPROVE THE READING BY TITLE OF ALL ORDINANCES AND RESOLUTIONS. SAID ORDINANCES AND RESOLUTIONS THAT APPEAR ON THE PUBLIC AGENDA SHALL BE READ BY TITLE ONLY AND FURTHER READING WAIVED**

The City Council/Agency Board/Authority Board waived reading of Ordinances and Resolutions.

**6B. APPROVAL OF WARRANTS**

The City Council approved demand warrants dated August 27, 2021 – September 9, 2021, in the amount of \$302,223.84.

**6C. APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

The City Council/Successor Agency/Housing Authority approved Minutes of Special Joint Meeting and Joint Regular Meeting – September 14, 2021.

**6D. JULY 2021 INVESTMENT REPORT**

The Investment Report as of July 31, 2021, has been prepared in accordance with the City's Investment Policy and California Government Code Section 53646.

1. The City Council finds that this item is not subject to California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") pursuant to Sections 15378(b)(5) (Organizational or administrative activities of governments that will not result in direct or indirect physical changes in the environment); and
2. Received and filed the Investment Report for the month of July 2021.

**6E. JULY 2021 INVESTMENT REPORT (SUCCESSOR AGENCY)**

The Investment Report as of July 31, 2021, has been prepared in accordance with the City's Investment Policy and California Government Code Section 53646.

1. The Successor Agency finds that this item is not subject to California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") pursuant to Sections 15378(b)(5) (Organizational or administrative activities of governments that will not result in direct or indirect physical changes in the environment); and
2. Received and filed the Investment Report for the month of July 2021.

**6F. HOUSING AUTHORITY ANNUAL PROGRESS REPORT (HOUSING AUTHORITY)**

The attached Housing Authority Report for Fiscal Year 2020-2021 is being presented for consideration as required by California Health and Safety Code Sections 34328 and 34328.1.

1. The Authority Board declared that the project is exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") under Section 15061(b)(3) as the activity is covered by the general rule that CEQA applies only to projects which have the potential for causing significant effect on the environment. Where it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity in question may have a significant effect on the environment, the activity is not subject to CEQA; and
2. Received and filed the Annual Progress Report.

**6G. APPROVAL OF CONTRACT CHANGE ORDER #1 WITH TAIT & ASSOCIATES, INC. FOR ADDITIONAL DESIGN WORK FOR THE FY 2021-2022 STREET REHABILITATION PROJECT**

A contract was awarded to Tait & Associates, Inc. at the April 13, 2021 City Council meeting to design the FY 2021-2022 Street Rehabilitation Project. During the design process, City staff identified the need to add curb, gutter and sidewalk on Garden Grove Boulevard in front of the Southland Cycle Center (Suzuki motorcycle dealer) between Court Street and Sycamore Street. Also, related to the proposed Village Center Drive angled parking item this evening, City staff is proposing to install a crosswalk on Village Center Drive as part of this project. Tait & Associates, Inc. is proposing to provide these additional design services for a total of \$10,500.00.

1. The City Council declared the project categorically exempt under the California Environmental Quality Act, Class 1, and Section 15301(c) as minor alterations to existing facilities; and
2. Approved Contract Change Order #1 with Tait & Associates, Inc. in the amount of \$10,500.00; and
3. Authorized the City Manager to approve the Contract Change Order under his purchasing authority; and
4. Authorized the City Manager to approve any other contract change orders under his existing purchasing authority provided that the total of Contract Change Order #1 and any subsequent contract change orders do not exceed the City Manager's purchasing authority of \$20,000.

**6H. EDISON LICENSE AGREEMENT FOR CONTINUED USE OF A BIKE PATH AND HIKING TRAIL NORTH OF LOLA AVENUE**

An existing bike path and hiking trail between Lola Avenue and the Anaheim city limit connects Hollenbeck Park with the City of Anaheim's bike path and hiking trail within Southern California Edison's property north of Lola Avenue. The existing license agreement the City previously executed with Southern California Edison to allow use of the bike path and hiking trail across Edison's property will expire in December 2021. A new five-year license agreement has been proposed by Edison for execution by the City. The cost of the five-year license is \$598.08.

1. The City Council declared this project to be categorically exempt under the California Environmental Quality Act, Class 1, Section 15301c; and
2. Authorized the City Manager to enter into a five-year license agreement with Southern California Edison for continued use of the bike path and hiking trail; and

3. Authorized payment to Southern California Edison in the amount of \$598.08 upon execution of the license agreement.

### **END OF CONSENT CALENDAR**

**6I. APPROVAL OF FIFTH AMENDMENT TO THE LAW ENFORCEMENT SERVICES CONTRACT WITH THE ORANGE COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT (OCSD) TO INCLUDE AMERICAN RESCUE PLAN ACT (ARPA) FUNDED POSITIONS**

Consideration of the Fifth Amendment to the Law Enforcement Services Agreement with the Orange County Sheriff's Department (OCSD), reflecting the addition of a Crime Prevention Specialist, Office Specialist, and Mobile Data Computer. The additions are to be funded through the City's American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA) allocation.

Staff report by Mr. Jarad L. Hildenbrand, City Manager.

Motion/Second: Taylor/Van  
Motion carried by the following vote:

AYES: 4 (Shawver, Taylor, Van, and Warren)  
NOES: None  
ABSTAIN: None  
ABSENT: 1 (Ramirez)

1. The City Council declared that this item is not subject to the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") pursuant to Sections 15378(b)(5); and
2. Approved the Fifth Amendment to the Law Enforcement Services Agreement with OCSD for a total contract amount of \$12,329,325; and
3. Authorized the City Manager to execute the Fifth Amendment with OCSD.

## 7. PUBLIC HEARINGS

### 7A. CONSIDERATION OF ORDINANCE NO. 1113, AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF STANTON, CALIFORNIA, AMENDING SECTION 10.08.010 OF THE STANTON MUNICIPAL CODE AUTHORIZING ANGLED PARKING ON ALL ROADWAYS WITH CONDITIONS OF IMPLEMENTATION, SPECIFICALLY AUTHORIZING ANGLED PARKING ON VILLAGE CENTER DRIVE FROM THE SOUTHERLY CITY LIMIT TO BEACH BOULEVARD, AND FINDING SAME EXEMPT FROM THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT

This Ordinance proposes to amend Section 10.08.010 of the Stanton Municipal Code to allow angled parking on any public roadway after the City Engineer performs an engineering analysis and with the concurrence of City Council. This Ordinance also proposes to implement angled parking on Village Center Drive as the initial implementation of this Ordinance.

Staff report by Mr. Joe Ames, Public Works Director / City Engineer.

The City Council questioned staff regarding safety, angled parking versus back in angled parking, parallel parking, previously conducted studies, metered parking, proposed project costs, crosswalk options, stop sign options, setbacks, line of sight, lighting, sidewalks, removal / replacement of mature trees, community concerns, speeding, traffic congestion, bike lane, left turn lane, entry / exit safety concerns from current communities, and conducting updated studies.

The public hearing was opened.

#### **Written Communication:**

- Cardinal Property Management, AAMC, Crosspointe Village Homeowners Association, wrote in opposition to the proposed ordinance on behalf of their community citing that the proposed parking plan would pose safety concerns and hazardous conditions.
- Ms. Laura L. Riney, resident, wrote in opposition to the proposed ordinance. Ms. Riney expressed her frustration with current traffic safety, traffic violations, speeding, pedestrian safety, lack of concern for Crosspointe Village residents and inquired about the status of previously conducted parking surveys, elimination of the left turn lanes, and stated that Rodeo 39 Marketplace should purchase adjacent motel land and use said land to build a parking structure.
- Ms. Marisa Patterson, resident, wrote in opposition to the proposed ordinance. Ms. Patterson expressed her dissatisfaction with the City Council and its consideration of the proposed parking options and further spoke regarding the current use of parking along Village Center Drive, parking

hours, illegally parked vehicles (*back parking lot*), public safety concerns, occupancy limits within Rodeo 39 Marketplace, lack of trust in the City Council, and also inquired about the status of previously conducted parking studies.

- Ms. Jacqueline Nguyen, resident, wrote in opposition to the proposed ordinance. Ms. Jacqueline expressed her concerns with safety for her family, safety for the residents of Crosspointe Village / nearby communities, increased traffic, blocked line of sight exiting her community, and speeding.

**In Person Public Comment:**

- Mr. Matthew Sandoval, resident, spoke in opposition to the proposed ordinance. Mr. Sandoval expressed his concerns with the community's lack of trust within the City Council and inquired about the status / results of previously conducted studies, if the City had proposed that Rodeo 39 Marketplace build a parking structure, the need for parking due to the success of the marketplace yet in turn authorizing a City loan to Rodeo 39 Marketplace to assist the marketplace in maintaining their level of beauty / service, referenced the active transportation plan (bicycle lane) which would lower traffic and increase bicycle and pedestrian travel, the untruthfulness of the project not having a negative effect to the environment according to cited sections of the California Environment Quality Act (CEQA) guidelines, the non-requirement for a negative declaration, increase of local pollution, dismissal of the City's Active Transportation Plan, and dismissal of the City's General Plan and Strategic Plan. Mr. Sandoval stated that he is tired of having to assist Frontier Development due to their lack of parking and that the community was promised that there would be no modifications made to the street.
- Ms. Sheri Hayes, resident, spoke in opposition to the proposed ordinance, inquired if the left turn pockets would be removed and further spoke regarding her concerns with speeding motorist and the difficulties in returning home with the current traffic conditions.
- Ms. Rachel Crist, resident, spoke in opposition to the proposed ordinance and further spoke regarding her concerns with past reassessments / studies that were not provided to the community for review, the opportunity to speak on the past reassessments / studies, worsened traffic conditions, proposed plans in the transformation of a beautifully designed street into a permanent parking lot, traffic concerns once the VRV project is completed, dismissal of bike lanes, and the lack of trust that the community has in the City Council as well as the City Council's consideration of the needs of Frontier Development over its residents. Ms. Crist reported that the City was aware that there was going to be a lack of parking and that the City should seek alternative parking locations and options such as valet parking services and that transforming Village Center Drive into a parking lot is not an option. Ms. Crist additionally

requested that the City Council follow through on their promise and invite residents to speak on the proposal to transform Village Center Drive.

- Mr. Fred Nakamura, resident, spoke in opposition to the proposed ordinance and further expressed his concerns that the developer was aware that this project lacked adequate parking and made no efforts to address it, that the proposed parking design is going to further cause traffic issues that begin on Beach Boulevard, will ruin Village Center Drive, cause difficulty parking, block the flow of traffic, and stated that a community survey needs to be conducted to involve and capture the community’s opinion on the parking project that directly impacts their neighborhood and further stated his disappointment and suspicion of Frontier Development and questioned if anyone on the City Council is benefitting from this request.
- Ms. Angie Nelson, resident, spoke in opposition to the proposed ordinance and further expressed her concerns with the proposed parking plan which she believes is destroying the beauty of Village Center Drive streets along with causing safety concerns at the entry and exit points of her community in which safety is of the utmost importance and was also a large factor in her purchasing her home. Ms. Nelson stated that the developer and City knew that parking was going to be an issue and she feels like her community is paying the price for the development near and within her area.
- Ms. Resident, spoke in opposition to the proposed ordinance and further expressed her concerns with safety, line of sight being nearly impossible when entering and exiting the Crosspointe Village community, speeding, and traffic safety. Ms. Resident stated that the community believes that the City Council is going to approve the proposed parking plan despite hearing the safety concerns of their residents and asked that the City Council listen to its resident’s requests that safety concerns be addressed and understand the stress and hardship that the community is going through and to let the developer deal with its parking issues on their own.

No one else appearing to speak, the public hearing was closed.

Motion/Second: Taylor/Warren

ROLL CALL VOTE:	Council Member Ramirez	ABSENT
	Council Member Van	AYE
	Council Member Warren	AYE
	Mayor Pro Tem Taylor	AYE
	Mayor Shawver	AYE

Motion unanimously carried:



1. The City Council conducted a public hearing; and
2. Declared that this Ordinance will not have a significant effect, adverse or otherwise, on the environment pursuant to the California Environment Quality Act (“CEQA”) Guidelines Section 15061(b)(3); therefore, this Ordinance, and all parking configurations authorized hereby, are found and determined to be not subject to analysis under the California Environment Quality Act of 1970, as amended, or the State CEQA Guidelines; and
3. Directed staff to develop and present a traffic study of existing conditions on Village Center Drive and the impact(s) the proposed angled parking plan would have on Village Center Drive traffic (Collision Analysis / Average Daily Traffic Volume / Speed Survey / Turning Movement Count / Dedicated Left Turn Lane Evaluation / Parking Use Analysis); and
4. Continued this item to be heard at the October 26, 2021, regularly scheduled City Council meeting.

**8. UNFINISHED BUSINESS**

**8A. CITY OF STANTON’S AMERICAN RESCUE PLAN ACT (ARPA) ALLOCATION OF FUNDS REVIEW AND UPDATE**

Staff will provide an American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA) presentation to provide the City Council with an update and review of the City’s ARPA allocation plans.

The City Council received and filed the presentation.

**9. NEW BUSINESS**

**9A. MANDATORY COVID-19 VACCINATION POLICY**

At the request of City Council, staff prepared a policy mandating COVID-19 vaccination of City staff members, contractors and volunteers.

Staff report by Ms. Cynthia Guzman, Human Resources and Risk Management Analyst.

Motion/Second: Taylor/Van  
Motion carried by the following vote:

AYES: 3 (Taylor, Van, and Warren)  
NOES: 1 (Shawver)  
ABSTAIN: None  
ABSENT: 1 (Ramirez)



**12B. COUNCIL/AGENCY/AUTHORITY INITIATED ITEMS FOR A FUTURE COUNCIL MEETING**

None.

**12C. COUNCIL/AGENCY/AUTHORITY INITIATED ITEMS FOR A FUTURE STUDY SESSION**

None.

**12D. CITY COUNCIL INITIATED ITEM — DISCUSSION REGARDING A REFRESH OF THE CITY'S BRAND INCLUDING THE REDESIGN OF THE CITY'S SEAL**

At the September 14, 2021 City Council meeting, Council Member Warren requested that this item be agendaized for discussion. Council Member Warren is requesting to discuss the refresh of the City's brand, including the redesign of the City's official seal.

Presentation by Council Member Carol Warren.

Consensus was received and the City Council created an ad hoc committee consisting of Council Member Warren and Mayor Pro Tem Taylor to discuss and plan for a refresh of the City's brand including the redesign of the City's seal.

**13. ITEMS FROM CITY ATTORNEY/AGENCY COUNSEL/AUTHORITY COUNSEL**

None.

**14. ITEMS FROM CITY MANAGER/EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR**

None.

**14A. ORANGE COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT**

At this time the Orange County Sheriff's Department will provide the City Council with an update on their current operations

- Captain Cruz Alday provided the City Council with an update on their current operations.

**15. ADJOURNMENT** Motion/Second: Shawver/  
Motion carried at 10:06 p.m.

/s/ David J. Shawver

---

MAYOR/CHAIRMAN

ATTEST:

/s/ Patricia A. Vazquez

---

CITY CLERK/SECRETARY