CITY OF SELMA COUNCIL REGULAR MEETING February 19, 2019

The regular meeting of the Selma City Council was called to order at 6:00 p.m. in the Council Chambers. Council members answering roll call were: Avalos, Guerra, Trujillo, and Mayor Pro Tem Franco. Mayor Robertson participated via his noticed teleconference location at the Los Angeles Airport Marriott, Business Center Room, 5855 West Century Boulevard, Los Angeles, CA 90045.

Also present were City Attorney Sparks, Assistant City Manager Moreno, City Manager Gallavan, Community Services Director Kirchner, Fire Chief Petersen, Police Lieutenant Dyck, Acting Public Works Director Ferrell, the press and interested citizens.

The agenda for this meeting was duly posted in a location visible at all times by the general public seventy-two hours prior to this meeting.

INVOCATION: Selma Police Department Chaplain Shannon Schwamb led the invocation.

<u>CHANGE IN THE AGENDA</u>: City Manager Gallavan advised Council that staff is requesting to pull agenda items #3 and #5: REPORT FROM CITY COUNCIL GOAL SETTING SESSION AND DISCUSSION REGARDING SAME & DISCUSSION ON SUITABILITY OF POTENTIAL SITES FOR COMMUNITY CENTER.

SPECIAL PRESENTATIONS: Community Services Director Kirchner stepped forward to introduce newly hired Recreation Coordinator Liz Martinez. Recreation Coordinator Liz Martinez stepped forward and thanked Council for the opportunity. Council then congratulated her and welcomed her to the City of Selma.

CENTURY CLUB RECOGNITION: Community Services Director Kirchner stepped forward to discuss the Century Club, the newest program addition to the City of Selma. He then welcomed and presented the first member of the City of Selma Century Club, Ms. Barbara Duncan. Council congratulated Ms. Duncan on the prestigious recognition and presented her with a certificate of appreciation.

Ms. Jennifer Earle, stepped forward to congratulate Ms. Duncan.

CONSENT CALENDAR: Council member Guerra requested that agenda item 1.b., be pulled for separate discussion. Motion to approve the remainder of the Consent Calendar as read was made by Council member Trujillo and seconded by Council member Guerra. The motion was carried with the following vote:

AYES: Trujillo, Guerra, Avalos, Franco, Robertson

NOES: None ABSTAIN: None ABSENT: None City of Selma City Council Meeting February 19, 2019 Page 2

1. a. Approved Consideration of the minutes of the January 22, 2019 Council

Meeting.

b. <u>Pulled</u> Consideration of the check register dated February 13, 2019.

AGENDA ITEM 1.b. CONSIDERATION OF THE CHECK REGISTER DATED FEBRUARY 13, 2019: After discussion, motion to approve CHECK REGISTER DATED FEBRUARY 13, 2019 was made by Council member Avalos and seconded by Council member Guerra. Motion carried with the following vote:

AYES: Avalos, Guerra, Trujillo, Franco, Robertson

NOES: None ABSTAIN: None ABSENT: None

2. <u>Approved</u> Consideration of an Ordinance adding Chapter 35 to Title XI of the

Selma Municipal Code (Accessory Dwelling Units in Residential Zones) and Amending Chapter 11-1-1 (Zoning Definitions; Purpose) of Title XI (Zoning) of the Selma Municipal Code to add definitions related to accessory dwelling units and a notice of exemption for same

- Public Hearing.

City Manager Gallavan discussed the proposed ordinance, which was recommended for approval from the Planning Commission on November 7, 2018. City Manager Gallavan then introduced the City's Contract Planner, Mr. David Duda.

Mr. David Duda, stepped forward to discuss the recent legislation changes that allow for the proposed standards for accessory dwelling units in the city.

After much Council discussion, Mayor Pro Tem Franco opened the matter up for public comment at 6:25 p.m.

Ms. Theresa Herrera, 3526 Hill Street, stepped forward to inquire if the city allows for Airbnb services.

There being no further public comments, Mayor Pro Tem Franco closed the public hearing at 6:27 p.m.

After further Council discussion and clarification from City Attorney Sparks, motion to WAIVE THE FIRST READING AND INTRODUCE BY TITLE ONLY THE ORDINANCE ADDING CHAPTER 35 TO TITLE XI OF THE SELMA MUNICIPAL CODE (ACCESSORY DWELLING UNITS IN RESIDENTIAL ZONES) AND AMENDING CHAPTER 11-1-1 (ZONING DEFINITIONS; PURPOSE) OF TITLE XI (ZONING) OF THE SELMA MUNICIPAL CODE TO ADD DEFINITIONS RELATED TO ACCESSORY DWELLING UNITS AND A NOTICE OF EXEMPTION FOR SAME was made by Council member Trujillo and seconded by Council member

City of Selma City Council Meeting February 19, 2019 Page 3

Avalos. Motion carried with the following vote

AYES: Trujillo, Avalos, Guerra, Franco, Robertson

NOES: None ABSTAIN: None ABSENT: None

Motion to INTRODUCE ORDINANCE ADDING CHAPTER 35 TO TITLE XI OF THE SELMA MUNICIPAL CODE (ACCESSORY DWELLING UNITS IN RESIDENTIAL ZONES) AND AMENDING CHAPTER 11-1-1 (ZONING DEFINITIONS; PURPOSE) OF TITLE XI (ZONING) OF THE SELMA MUNICIPAL CODE TO ADD DEFINITIONS RELATED TO ACCESSORY DWELLING UNITS AND A NOTICE OF EXEMPTION FOR SAME was made by Council member Avalos and seconded by Council member Guerra. Motion carried with the following vote:

AYES: Avalos, Guerra, Trujillo, Franco, Robertson

NOES: None ABSTAIN: None ABSENT: None

3. <u>Pulled</u> Report from City Council Goal Setting Session and discussion

regarding same

4. Approved Consideration of Amendment No. 1 to the Contract for Consultant

Services with Townsend Public Affairs to extend the Agreement to

June 30, 2019.

City Manager Gallavan discussed the amendment to the contract for services with Townsend Public Affairs, which would extend the agreement to June 30, 3019. She further stated that Council consider all contracts with the budget process. City Manager Gallavan then introduced Mr. Richard Harmon, Townsend Public Affairs.

Mr. Richard Harmon, Senior Director for Townsend Public Affairs, stepped forward to discuss and present a power point presentation regarding current projects. He also provided a grant application status summary for review.

After much Council discussion, Council member Guerra motioned to approve AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO THE CONTRACT FOR CONSULTANT SERVICES WITH TOWNSEND PUBLIC AFFAIRS TO EXTEND TO JUNE 30, 2019. Council member Avalos seconded the motion. The motion then carried with the following vote:

AYES: Guerra, Avalos, Robertson

NOES: Trujillo, Franco

ABSTAIN: None ABSENT: None

5. Pulled Discussion on suitability of potential sites for community center

City of Selma City Council Meeting February 19, 2019 Page 4

<u>DEPARTMENT REPORTS</u>: City Manager Gallavan reported on attending the League of Cities City Managers Conference. She discussed the city's current Housing Element and the Regional Housing Need Allocation numbers for Selma.

Assistant City Manager Moreno reported that the Successor Agency documents were submitted to the Department of Finance and that the signal light on Floral has been energized.

Community Services Director Kirchner reported on the completion of the Salazar Center enclosure project.

Fire Chief Petersen reported that the department would be hosting a multi-agency training drill and burn.

COUNCIL REPORTS: Council member Guerra reported on attending the recent mural ribbon cutting and the blossom trail ceremony.

Council member Trujillo thanked Community Services Director Kirchner and Police Chief Garner on the recent tour of their department.

Council member Avalos reported on attending the following: Congressman Cox swearing in ceremony and the blossom training ceremony. He reported that the McCall Avenue sewer project has begun and that the Amberwood project is moving forward.

Mayor Pro Tem Franco thanked the Public Works department for their services.

Mayor Robertson discussed the meeting he attended regarding the housing element with Governor Newsom and representatives from the State Department of Housing and Community Development. He further discussed the Regional Housing Need Allocation numbers for Selma and the importance of the mandated compliance.

ADJOURNMENT: There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 7:09 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,	
Reyna Rivera	Scott Robertson
City Clerk	Mayor of the City of Selma

CITY OF SELMA SPECIAL JOINT COUNCIL AND SUCCESSOR AGENCY MEETING CLOSED SESSION March 4, 2019

The special meeting of the Selma City Council was called to order at 5:33 p.m. in the Council Chambers. Board/Council members answering roll call were: Avalos, Guerra (5:42 p.m.), Trujillo, Vice Chairman/Mayor Pro Tem Franco, and Chariman/Mayor Robertson.

Also present were City Attorney Sparks, City Manager Gallavan, Assistant City Manager Moreno, Labor Personnel Counsel Shelline Bennett, Police Chief Garner and interested citizens.

The agenda for this meeting was duly posted in a location visible at all times by the general public seventy-two hours prior to this meeting.

CLOSED SESSION: At 5:35 p.m., Mayor Robertson recessed the meeting into Closed Session to discuss the following:

CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL – ANTICIPATED LITIGATION

Significant exposure to litigation pursuant to Government Code Section 54956.9(d)(2): One Potential Case

CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL-EXISTING LITIGATION

Pursuant to Government Code Section 54956.9(d)(1) Case: City of Selma v. Michael Cohen, *et al.*

Superior Court of California, County of Sacramento

Case No. 34-2013-80001397

CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL-EXISTING LITIGATION

Pursuant to Government Code Section 54956.9(d)(1) Case: California Department of Finance v. City of Selma Superior Court of California, County of Sacramento Case No. 34-2016-80002507

Mayor Robertson reconvened the meeting from closed session at 6:04 p.m.

City Attorney Sparks reported that the City Council met in closed session regarding the items described above. She stated that there was no action to report regarding the anticipation litigation matter, and that direction was given to the city attorney's office regarding the existing litigation matters. She further stated that there was nothing further discussed and nothing further to report.

ADJOURNMENT: There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 6:05 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Reyna Rivera City Clerk

CITY OF SELMA COUNCIL REGULAR MEETING March 4, 2019

The regular meeting of the Selma City Council was called to order at 6:06 p.m. in the Council Chambers. Council members answering roll call were: Avalos, Guerra, Trujillo, Mayor Pro Tem Franco, and Mayor Robertson.

Also present were City Attorney Sparks, City Manager Gallavan, Assistant City Manager Moreno, Community Services Director Kirchner, Fire Chief Petersen, Police Chief Garner, Acting Public Works Director Ferrell, the press and interested citizens.

The agenda for this meeting was duly posted in a location visible at all times by the general public seventy-two hours prior to this meeting.

INVOCATION: Pastor Maria Tafoya of First Christian Church led the invocation.

<u>ORAL COMMUNICATIONS</u>: Mr. Robert Cortez, 1751 S. McCall Avenue, stepped forward to voice concerns regarding the area of Valley View Avenue and South McCall Avenue.

Mr. Mark Armenta, Selma Boys and Girls Club, stepped forward to announce that he was the recipient of of the Club Director of the Year. Mr. Armenta was congratulated by Council.

SELMA KINGSBURG FOWLER COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT

PRESENTATION: District Manager Ben Munoz and Engineer Veronica Cazares stepped forward to provide an update regarding the McCall Avenue Refurbishment and Replacement project. District Manager Munoz also provided a PowerPoint presentation regarding the wastewater rates. After much discussion, Council thanked them for the information.

SECOND CHANCE ANIMAL SHELTER PRESENTATION: Second Chance Animal Shelter Animal Control Officer Alex Colores stepped forward and presented Council with a video presentation regarding the annual shelter report.

Ms. Leila Gallardo, Ms. Ashley Gill, Ms. Linda Duke and Mr. Robert Currington, all stepped forward in favor of the animal shelter.

Pastor Joe Alvarez and Mr. Dan Ruiz stepped forward to inquire on bees and cats.

WASTE MANAGEMENT SERVICES PRESENTATION: Ms. Liz Gomez, representing Waste Management, stepped forward to discuss the drop off site for the upcoming clean up week. She discussed the concerns from the previous locations and requested Council to allow further discussions with city staff to entertain the option of utilizing the Waste Management Transfer Station. After discussion, Council directed City Manager Gallavan to discuss options with Waste Management staff.

Mr. Richard Smith, 1921 Bauder Street, stepped forward to request clarification on the sewer lines.

CONSENT CALENDAR: Council member Guerra requested that agenda item 1.e., be pulled for separate discussion. Council member Avalos also requested that agenda item 1.f. be pulled from the consent calendar. Motion to approve the remainder of the Consent Calendar as read was made by Council member Trujillo and seconded by Council member Guerra. The motion was carried with the following vote:

AYES: Trujillo, Guerra, Avalos, Franco, Robertson

NOES: None ABSTAIN: None ABSENT: None

1. a. <u>Approved</u> Consideration of the February 4, 2019 Council meeting minutes.

b. 2019-6R Consideration of a Resolution Consenting to the use of public

facilities and the proposed re-routing of traffic on Highland

Avenue for the Annual Selma Sikh Parade.

c. <u>2019-1</u> Consideration of an Ordinance adding Chapter 35 to Title XI

of the Selma Municipal Code (Accessory Dwelling Units in

Residential Zones) and Amending Chapter 11-1-1 (Zoning Definitions; Purpose) of Title XI (Zoning) of the Selma Municipal Code to add definitions related to accessory dwelling units and a notice of

exemption for same Second Reading and Adoption

d. <u>2019-7R</u> Consideration of a Resolution Approving the Kings Basin

Integrated Regional Water Management Plan

e. Pulled Consideration of Professional Services Agreement with Data Ticket

Inc., for processing of parking citations

f. Pulled Consideration of the check register dated February 26, 2019

AGENDA ITEM 1.e. CONSIDERATION OF PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT WITH DATA TICKET INC., FOR PROCESSING OF PARKING

<u>CITATIONS</u>: City Attorney Sparks reported that after the posting of the agenda, city staff received revisions from Data Ticket Inc., and listed those revisions for Council. After discussion, motion to approve with changes listed above PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT WITH DATA TICKET INC., FOR PROCESSING OF PARKING CITATIONS was made by Council member Avalos and seconded by Council member Guerra. Motion carried with the following vote:

AYES: Avalos, Guerra, Trujillo, Franco, Robertson

NOES: None ABSTAIN: None ABSENT: None

AGENDA ITEM 1.f. CONSIDERATION OF THE CHECK REGISTER DATED

FEBRUARY 26, 2019: After discussion, motion to approve CHECK REGISTER DATED FEBRUARY 26, 2019 was made by Council member Trujillo and seconded by Council member Guerra. Motion carried with the following vote:

AYES: Trujillo, Guerra, Avalos Franco, Robertson

NOES: None ABSTAIN: None ABSENT: None

2. <u>2019-8R</u> Consideration of a Resolution approving a request for a fee waiver

for the Sikh Center of the Pacific Coast's annual Sikh Parade event

City Manager Gallavan reported on the waiver request received from the Sikh Center of the Pacific Coast's annual Sikh Parade event.

Mr. Karnail Sindher, Mr. Gurnek Nagra, and Mr. Bob Allen, all stepped forward in favor of the request.

Mr. Robert Currington stepped forward in opposition of the fee waiver.

Mrs. Theresa Herrera stepped forward to request clarification on the funding source.

After further discussion, motion to approved RESOLUTION NO. 2019-8R, A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SELMA, CALIFORNIA, APPROVING A REQUEST FOR A FEE WAIVER FOR THE SIKH CENTER OF THE PACIFIC COAST'S ANNUAL SIKH PARADE EVENT was made by Council member Trujillo and seconded by Mayor Pro Tem Franco. Motion then carried with the following vote:

AYES: Trujillo, Franco, Avalos, Guerra, Robertson

NOES: None ABSTAIN: None ABSENT: None

3. <u>Direction</u> Discussion on suitability of potential sites for community center.

Community Services Director Kirchner reported on various design characteristics for a community center. He discussed options and the vision for a proposed community center in Selma. He then provided a power point presentation on the potential locations for a future center, which included several locations mentioned by Council Members including the Mosquito Abatement District building, Torri Market, and the Old Gym on Rose Avenue.

After much Council discussion, it was the consensus of Council to direct staff to continue to work on a potential facility for a community center.

4. <u>Direction</u> Report from City Council Goal Setting Session and discussion regarding same.

City Manager Gallavan reported on the recent goal and priority setting workshop facilitated by Dr. Jackie Ryle. She provided the report which identified the areas of priority and listed goals. She then requested that Council determine the top two priority areas and five goals.

RECESS: At 8:10 p.m., Mayor Robertson called for a short break in the meeting, to allow Council to review and identify the priorities. The meeting then reconvened at 8:24 p.m.

City Manager Gallavan reported that she would take the information and return with a draft implementation plan for Council to review at a future meeting.

- 5. <u>2019-9R</u> Consideration of a Resolution adopting Amendment No. 5 to the Miscellaneous Mid-Management Employees Memorandum of Understanding
- 6. <u>2019-10R</u> Consideration of a Resolution Adopting an amended Master Salary Schedule for all City Employees

City Manager Gallavan reported on agenda items five and six together. She discussed that the request which is the result of recent changes in the Planning and Building Department to better accommodate the needs of the department. She further provided the duties and salary of the new position classification of Planning and Development Manager which is proposed to oversee and manage the department.

After much discussion, motion was made by Mayor Pro Tem Franco and seconded by Council member Avalos to approve RESOLUTION NO. 2019 –9R, A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SELMA, CALIFORNIA, AMENDING THE MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING BETWEEN THE CITY OF SELMA AND MISCELLANEOUS MID-MANAGEMENT EMPLOYEES FOR THE PERIOD OF JULY 1, 2017 THROUGH JUNE 30, 2019 & RESOLUTION NO. 2019 – 10R, A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SELMA, CALIFORNIA, ADOPTING A MASTER SALARY SCHEDULE FOR ALL CITY EMPLOYEES. Motion carried with the following vote:

AYES: Franco, Avalos, Guerra, Trujillo, Robertson

NOES: None ABSTAIN: None ABSENT: None

7. 2019-11R Consideration of a Resolution Establishing a Fee Waiver and Fee Reduction Program for Special Events

City Manager Gallavan reviewed the proposed policy to establish a fee waiver and fee reduction program for special events.

After much discussion regarding the policy, City Manager Gallavan read the following requested changes: remove the requirements of first time events and high number of expectancies; amend that they must be local to have a local presence and that the special event should be encouraged and not required to the address City Council priorities and adopted policies.

Motion was made by Mayor Robertson to approve with changes listed above, RESOLUTION NO. 2019-11R, A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SELMA, CALIFORNIA, ESTABLISHING A FEE WAIVER AND FEE REDUCTION PROGRAM FOR SPECIAL EVENTS and to review the policy and bring back to Council after one year. Motion was seconded by Council member Guerra and carried with the following vote:

AYES: Robertson, Guerra, Avalos, Trujillo, Franco

NOES: None ABSTAIN: None ABSENT: None

8. <u>Direction</u> Presentation, discussion, and direction regarding the proposed

remodel of the A Street Fire Station

Fire Chief Petersen provided a Power Point presentation regarding the history of the remodel project. He discussed the National Fire Protection Association response standards and compared fire report data as well as previous Standards of Coverage study which reflect that the A Street Fire Station is not located in an ideal spot to effectively respond to calls in northern parts of the City. Fire Chief Petersen provided Council with the current expenditures of the project of \$188,772.56, with approximately \$3,500 owed to RRM Design Group to pay for work that has already been completed, totaling the expenditures to \$192,272.56. He further reported that that based on the thirty-year debt schedule for this project, the total amount spent is 2.1% of what the total project would cost.

After much discussion, it was the consensus of Council to direct staff to stop the fire station remodel project, and allow staff to research additional locations for a new fire station.

DEPARTMENT REPORTS: City Manager Gallavan reported that the city has applied for Proposition One funding and Transportation Oriented Development (TOD) funding and has received grant funding of \$8,000.00 for downtown tree replacement project. She further discussed the continued conversations for downtown music. She stated that the City is also receiving proposals for district elections, housing element update and the planning services. She then requested that Council advise if they are interested in attending the upcoming International Council of Shopping Centers convention.

Assistant City Manager Moreno stepped forward to discuss a Power Point presentation regarding the mid-year budget for fiscal year 2018 - 2019. He stated that general fund revenues are at 51% and expenditures are at 48% of the projected budget for 2018-2019 with a net gain of \$448,588.00. He also discussed Measure "S" revenues and expenditures and

stated that the Measure "S" fund is currently at a net loss position of \$43,148.00. He further stated that the audit presentation will occur at the next meeting.

COUNCIL REPORTS: Council member Trujillo thanked Acting Public Works Director Ferrell on the recent tour of the department.

Council member Guerra reported on attending the recent Habit Restaurant ribbon cutting.

Council member Avalos reported on attending the recent Habit Restaurant ribbon cutting and the San Joaquin Valley Clean Energy Organization meeting.

Mayor Pro Tem Franco inquired on the timeline for the Nebraska Avenue project, and requested staff to review the intersections of Huntsman and Thompson Avenues and McCall and Dinuba Avenues. He also requested that we rebuild our community involvement and provide more of a presence during community events.

Mayor Robertson reported on attending the following: recent Chamber mixer at Holiday Inn, Habit Restaurant ribbon curring, Measure S Oversight meeting, reading at Indianolda Elementeray School, and COG meeting.

<u>ADJOURNMENT</u>: There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 9:53 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,	
Reyna Rivera	Scott Robertson
City Clerk	Mayor of the City of Selma

CHECK REGISTER REPORT

CHECK NUMBER	CHECK DATE	STATUS	VENDOR NAME	CHECK DESCRIPTON	CATEGORY	AMOUNT
72294	02/28/2019	Printed	1-800-BOARD UP	2137 YOUNG	R	1,218.32
72295	02/28/2019	Printed	A&S PUMP SERVICE	SERVICE LIFT PUMP ON ORANGE		108.00
72296	02/28/2019	Printed	AIRGAS USA LLC	OXYGEN CYLINDER RENTAL		130.37
72297	02/28/2019	Printed	AMERICAN AMBULANCE	MARCH 2019 PAYMENT		114,600.00
72298	02/28/2019	Printed	ASBURY ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES	USED OIL DISPOSAL		120.00
72299	02/28/2019	Printed	AT&T	TELEPHONE-FEBRUARY 2019		20.26
72300	02/28/2019	Printed	AT&T	TELEPHONE 1/12/19-2/11/19		60.06
72301	02/28/2019	Printed	AT&T MOBILITY	TELEPHONE-MDT'S 1/12-2/11/19		2,108.84
72302	02/28/2019	Printed	BENNY BACA	NEW HVAC UNIT FOR CITY HALL		14,470.00
72303	02/28/2019	Printed	BANNER PEST CONTROL INC	PEST CONTROL-FEBRUARY 2019		441.00
72304	02/28/2019	Printed	BRIGHT PLANET SOLAR	SOLAR PERMIT REFUND 19-0016		83.03
72305	02/28/2019	Printed	CHRISTIAN ADRIAN CANO	POLICE ACADEMY CADET MONTHLY STIPEND-FEB 19		500.00
72306	02/28/2019	Printed	CDCE INCORPORATED	MDT MONTHLY LEASE-PD		2,070.00
72307	02/28/2019	Printed	CENTRAL VALLEY LOCK & SAFE	REYKEY VARIOUS PADLOCKS & SALAZAR FRONT DOORS		1,828.11
72308	02/28/2019	Printed	CISCO SYSTEMS CAPTIAL CRP	LEASE-PHONE SYSTEM/BACKUP 2/15-3/	14/19	3,280.05
72309	02/28/2019	Printed	COLLINS & SCHOETTLER PLANNING	PLANNING CONSULTING-JAN 19	ANI 40	14,237.34
72310	02/28/2019	Printed	COUNTY OF FRESNO TREASURER	GIS TELECOMMUNICATION CHARGES-J	AN 19	70.24
72311 72312	02/28/2019 02/28/2019	Printed Printed	DCW CONTRACTORS, INC. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE	BUSINESS LIC OVERPAYMENT REIMB BLOOD ALCOHOL ANALYSIS-JAN 19		5.00 490.00
72312	02/28/2019	Printed	DMV	2019 VEHICLE CODE BOOKS		186.08
72314	02/28/2019	Printed	EMPLOYMENT DEVELOPMENT DEPT.	SUI QTRLY PMT 10/1/18-12/31/18		6,556.00
72315	02/28/2019	Printed	ENTENMANN-ROVIN CO.	POLICE BADGE REFINISH		208.29
72316	02/28/2019	Printed	FEDEX	RETURN TIME CLOCK		26.43
72317	02/28/2019	Printed	FRESNO CO TREASURER-SHERIFF	RMS/JMS/CAD ACCESS FEES-JAN 19		503.12
72318	02/28/2019	Printed	FRUSA EMS LLC	AMBULANCE BILLING-JAN 2019		4,948.53
72319	02/28/2019	Printed	MAGNOLIA S. JIMENEZ GALLARDO	PHLEBOTOMY SERVICE 19-0724		50.00
72320	02/28/2019	Printed	GATEWAY ENGINEERING, INC.	ATP PROJECT	G	45,200.00
72321	02/28/2019	Printed	DOMINIC GRIJALVA	CURIOUS INCIDENT PROJECTIONS	•	500.00
72322	02/28/2019	Printed	PHILIP C GRIJALVA	CURIOUS INCIDENT DECALS		30.00
72323	02/28/2019	Printed	JUAN GUZMAN	CURIOUS INCIDENT SUPPLIES AND CONSTUMES REIMBURSEMENT		315.54
72324	02/28/2019	Printed	HEALTHEDGE ADMINISTRATORS INC.	DENTAL 2/13/19		3,063.82
72325	02/28/2019		HEWLETT-PACKARD FINANCIAL	LEASES		339.78
72326	02/28/2019	Printed	ANNA M JAIMES/J&A ALCOHOL TESTING	EMPLOYEE DRUG TESTING-FD		217.00
72327	02/28/2019	Printed	MICHAEL KAIN	MEDICAL PREMIUM REIMB MAR 19		1,170.90
72328	02/28/2019		JEFF KESTLY	MEDICAL PREMIUM REIMB-MAR 19		185.78
72329	02/28/2019	Printed	MIKAL KIRCHNER	CPRS CONFERENCE SACRAMENTO PER DIEM 3/19-3/22/19		135.00
72330	02/28/2019		TIM J LAW/LAW & ASSOCIATES INVESTIGATORS	LAW ENFORCEMENT BACKGROUNDS		1,200.00
72331	02/28/2019	Printed	KYLE SEAN LOWE	CURIOUS INCIDENT PROMO VIDEOS & F	PHOTOS	400.00
72332	02/28/2019	Printed	SERGIO MALDONADO	CERTIFIED GANG ENFORCEMENT OFFICER TRAINING 3/5-3/6/19		160.00
72333	02/28/2019	Printed	LISBETH MARTINEZ	CPRS CONFERENCE SACRAMENTO PER DIEM 3/19-3/22/19		125.00
72334	02/28/2019	Printed	STEVEN LEE MCINTIRE	MEDICAL PREMIUM REIMB MAR 19		1,397.68
72335	02/28/2019	Printed	DWAYNE MCPHERSON	CERTIFIED GANG ENFORCEMENT OFFICER TRAINING 3/5-3/6/19	_	160.00
72336	02/28/2019	Printed	METRO UNIFORM	POLICE REVOLVING ACCT	R	130.01
72337	02/28/2019	Printed	MMJ SOLUTIONS, INC.	ADMINISTRATIVE INVESTIGATION SERVICE 1/29-2/14/19		14,466.04
72338	02/28/2019		JACOB MOON	SWEENEY TODD MAKE UP & HAIR		55.00 5.473.60
72339	02/28/2019	Printed	MOTOROLA SOLUTIONS, INC.	APX 8000 ALL BAND RADIO		5,473.69
72340	02/28/2019		OFFICE DEPOT, INC.	OFFICE SUPPLIES		312.76
72341	02/28/2019		PG&E	UTILITIES-FEBRUARY 2019		39,296.92
72342	02/28/2019		PITNEY BOWES INC.	INK FOR CH POSTAGE MACHINE		129.07
72343			RRM DESIGN GROUP	FIRE STATION NO.2 RENOVATION	40	1,465.00
72344	02/28/2019	Printed	March 18, 2019 C	MONTHLY SUPPORT PAYMENT-MARCH Council Packet	19	6,978.00 12

CHECK REGISTER REPORT

CHECK NUMBER	CHECK DATE	STATUS	VENDOR NAME	CHECK DESCRIPTON	CATEGORY	AMOUNT
72345	02/28/2019	Printed	SELMA BANDIT CHEER	KAISER PERMANENTE SAL GRANT	G	600.00
72346	02/28/2019	Void	SELMA GIRLS BASKETBALL	KAISER PERMANENTE SAL GRANT	G	0.00
72347	02/28/2019	Printed	SELMA GIRLS SOFTBALL LEAGUE	KAISER PERMANENTE SAL GRANT	G	450.00
72348	02/28/2019	Printed	SELMA LITTLE LEAGUE	KAISER PERMANENTE SAL GRANT	G	1,425.00
72349	02/28/2019	Printed	SOUTH COUNTY VETERINARY	MONTHLY FREEZER USE & VET SERVICE-JAN 19		225.00
72350	02/28/2019	Printed	SPARKLETTS	WATER SERVICE		122.88
72351	02/28/2019	Printed	SUN LIFE	EMPLOYEE INSURANCE-MAR 19		1,518.32
72352	02/28/2019	Printed	SUPERIOR VISION INSURANCE INC	VISION INSURANCE PREM-MAR 19		3,828.24
72353	02/28/2019	Printed	THE CRISCOM COMPANY	SEWER INFRASTRUCTURE-MARCH 19		4,500.00
72354	02/28/2019	Printed	TAMARA THOMAS/THE SHIRT SHAK	T-SHIRTS FOR CINDERELLA KIDS		1,274.14
72355	02/28/2019	Printed	U.S. BANCORP EQUIPMENT FINANCE	COPY MACHINE LEASE-MAR 19		1,058.45
					TOTAL	306,228.09

Grant: G PD Station Bond: PDSB (458) PD State Appropriation: PDSA (457) Reimbursement: R

PAYROLL TRANSACTIONS

CHECK REGISTER

<u>Date</u>	Check No.	<u>Amount</u>
3/8/2019	115437-115444	\$2,720.29

Remittance Checks

<u>Date</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Amount</u>
3/8/2019	115445-115450	\$13,796.45

ACH Payment

<u>Date</u>	Description	<u>Amount</u>
3/1/2019	03 01 2019	\$4,220.21
3/8/2019	PR MAR 08 19	\$162,135.53

March 18, 2019

ITEM NO:

2.

SUBJECT:

Consideration of moving the April 2019 Community Clean-up Week Drop-off Location from within the City of Selma to the Waste Management Transfer Station at 5608 South Villa Avenue, Fresno

BACKGROUND: At the City Council meeting on March 4, 2019, Waste Management presented a request to move the location of the upcoming Community Clean-up Week drop-off location from a site in Selma to the Waste Management Transfer Station at 5608 South Villa Avenue, Fresno. The City Council directed staff to bring the matter back for further consideration, including discussion of moving the event or not, increasing the number of events, or going to a voucher system for drop offs.

The City of Selma has a multi-year agreement with Waste Management through 2024. The agreement stipulates Waste Management will provide two community clean-up events a year in a location approved by the City.

DISCUSSION: Given the terms of the agreement between Waste Management and the City of Selma, moving the location of the drop-off may be done via a letter to Waste Management. Increasing the amount of events, changing to a voucher system for drop offs, or any other changes will require an amendment to the agreement.

Given the April community clean-up week is a month away, staff recommends Council make a determination about the location of the April event and direct staff to evaluate any other changes Council would like to consider after this upcoming event.

Historically, the clean-up week drop offs have been at 1675 Dockery Avenue, Selma. Waste Management can no longer use that facility. The last event at that facility was April 2018.

For the October 2018 Community Clean-up event, Waste Management rented space at the Selma Unified School District Transportation Facility at 1100 Valley View, Selma, in order to keep the drop-off location in the City of Selma.

Waste Management states if the clean-up event drop-off location can be moved to the Transfer Station at 5608 South Villa Avenue, Fresno, there will be additional hours of service available to City of Selma residents and more efficient drop-off coordination meaning less time spent waiting in line for drop offs. Hours of service would increase from 8:00am to 4:00pm Monday through Friday to 6:00am to 6:00pm and Saturday would increase from 7:00am to 12:00pm to 6:00am to 12:00pm.

FINANCIAL ANALYSIS:	There is no additional cost to the City to change the location.
City residents would be requ	ired to travel farther for the drop off however hours of service
would increase.	

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends Council decide to either hold the April 2019 Community Clean-up dropoff location at a site in the City of Selma or approve moving it to the Waste Management Transfer Station at 5608 South Villa Avenue, Fresno.

Teresa Gallavan, City Manager

March 13, 2019

Date

CITY MANAGER'S/STAFF'S REPORT CITY COUNCIL MEETING:

March 18, 2019

ITEM NO: 3.

SUBJECT: Presentation and Necessary Action to Accept Fiscal Year 2017-18 Financial

Statements with Independent Auditors Report

DISCUSSION: The City is required to perform a Financial Audit for all its entities each year from an outside organization. The purpose of this service is to provide financial information to the public and investors for transparence. In addition, the auditors will test for accuracy, controls, and fiscal policy. Once complete, a report called The Financial Statements is generated with the auditor's findings and all standard governmental financial reports. Lack of completion could result in State and Federal funds being withheld.

This annual report consists of a series of financial statements. These statements include all activities of the City of Selma and its component unit, the Selma Public Finance Authority, using the integrated approach as prescribed by GASB Statement No. 34. The Statement of Net Position and Statement of Activities provide information about the activities of the City as a whole and present a longer-term view of the City's finances. Fund financial statements tell how these services are financed in the short term as well as what remains for future spending. Fund financial statements also report the City's operations in more detail than the government-wide statements by providing information about the City's most significant funds. The remaining statements provide financial information about activities for which the City acts solely as a trustee or agent for the benefit of those outside the Government.

The Governmental Funds within the report consist of the General, Special Revenue, Debt Services, and Capital Projects Funds. The combined governmental funds ended the fiscal year with a fund balance of \$21,179,661. The Major funds that make up 64% of the government funds ending fund balance were as follows:

General	\$2,763,856
Street LTF	\$2,628,367
Street Measure C	\$897,687
Police Station Construction	\$3,481,436
2017 Bond PD Station	\$3,877,945

Other funds types such as Proprietary and Fiduciary can be found within the report as well. The financial audit for the fiscal year of 2017-2018 was conducted and prepared by Sampson, Sampson and Patterson, LLP. Bill Patterson will be presenting an overview of the report and answering questions from Council.

RECOMMENDATION: Accept Fiscal Year 2017-2018 Financial Statements with Independent Auditors Reports.

Isaac Moreno, Assistant City Manager

Date

Teresa Gallavan, City Manager March 18, 2019 Council Packet

3-13-19

CITY OF SELMA
REPORT TO MANAGEMENT

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2018

CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Required Communications	1-3



3148 Willow Avenue, Suite 102 Clovis, California 93612-4739 (559) 291-0277 • FAX (559) 291-6411

The Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council City of Selma Selma, California

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Selma for the year ended June 30, 2018. Professional standards require that we provide you with information about our responsibilities under generally accepted auditing standards and Government Auditing Standards, as well as certain information related to the planned scope and timing of our audit. We have communicated such information in our letter to you dated October 9, 2018. Professional standards also require that we communicate to you the following information related to our audit.

Significant Audit Matters

Qualitative Aspects of Accounting Practices

Management is responsible for the selection and use of appropriate accounting policies. The significant accounting policies used by the City of Selma are described in Note 1 to the financial statements. No new accounting policies were adopted and the application of existing policies was not changed during the year. We noted no transactions entered into by the City of Selma during the year for which there is a lack of authoritative guidance or consensus. All significant transactions have been recognized in the financial statements in the proper period.

Accounting estimates are an integral part of the financial statements prepared by management and are based on management's knowledge and experience about past and current events and assumptions about future events. Certain accounting estimates are particularly sensitive because of their significance to the financial statements and because of the possibility that future events affecting them may differ significantly from those expected. The most sensitive estimates affecting the City of Selma's financial statements were the provision for depreciation, net pension liabilities, and net other post-employment benefits (OPEB).

Management's estimate of the provision for depreciation is based on estimates of the useful lives of the City's assets and the estimates for outstanding pension and OPEB liabilities were determined outside actuaries. We evaluated the key factors and assumptions used to develop those estimates in determining that they are reasonable in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole.

The financial statement disclosures are neutral, consistent, and clear.

Difficulties Encountered in Performing the Audit

We encountered no significant difficulties in dealing with management in performing and completing our audit.

Corrected and Uncorrected Misstatements

Professional standards require us to accumulate all known and likely misstatements identified during the audit, other than those that are clearly trivial, and communicate them to the appropriate level of management. Management has corrected all such misstatements. In addition, none of the misstatements detected as a result of audit procedures and corrected by management were material either individually or in the aggregate, to each opinion units financial statements taken as a whole.

Disagreements with Management

For purposes of this letter, a disagreement with management is a financial accounting, reporting, or auditing matter, whether or not resolved to our satisfaction, that could be significant to the financial statements or the auditor's report. We are pleased to report that no such disagreements arose during the course of our audit.

Management Representations

We have requested certain representations from management that are included in the management representation letter dated March 11, 2019.

Management Consultations with Other Independent Accountants

In some cases management may decide to consult with other accountants about auditing and accounting matters, similar to obtaining a "second opinion" on certain situations. If a consultation involves application of an accounting principle to the City of Selma's financial statements or a determination of the type of auditor's opinion that may be expressed on those statements, our professional standards require the consulting accountant to check with us to determine that the consultant has all the relevant facts. To our knowledge, there were no such consultations with other accountants.

Other Audit Findings or Issues

We generally discuss a variety of matters, including the application of accounting principles and auditing standards, which management each year prior to retention as the City's auditors. However, these discussions occurred in the normal course of our professional relationship and our responses were not a condition to our retention.

Other Matters

We applied certain limited procedures to required supplementary information (RSI), as identified in the table of contents that supplements the basic financial statements. Our procedures consisted of inquiries of management regarding the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We did not audit the RSI and do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the RSI.

We were engaged to report on the supplementary information (combining statements), which accompany the financial statements but are not RSI. With respect to this supplementary information, we made certain inquiries of management and evaluated the form, content, and methods of preparing the information to determine that the information complies with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, the method of preparing it has not changed from the prior period, and the information is appropriate and complete in relation to our audit of the financial statements. We compared and reconciled the supplementary information to the underlying accounting records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves.

Restriction on Use

This information is intended solely for the information and use of the City Council and management of the City of Selma and is not intended to be, and should not be, used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Sampson, Sampson & Patterson, LLP

Clovis, California March 11, 2019

CITY OF SELMA

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS WITH INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2018

CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Independent auditor's report	1-2
Management's discussion and analysis	3-9
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS:	
Government-wide financial statements:	
Statement of net position	10
Statement of activities	11
Fund financial statements:	
Balance sheet – government funds	12
Reconciliation of the governmental funds balance sheet	
to statement of net position	13
Statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in	
fund balance – governmental funds	14
Reconciliation of the statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in	
fund balance of governmental funds to the statement of activities	15
Statement of net position – proprietary funds	16
Statement of revenues, expenses and changes in net position -	17
proprietary funds	17 18
Statement of cash flows – proprietary funds Statement of fiduciary net position – fiduciary funds	19
Statement of inductary net position – inductary runds Statement of changes in fiduciary net position – fiduciary funds	20
Statement of changes in fluuciary het position – fluuciary funds	20
Notes to financial statements	21-54
REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION	
Budgetary comparison schedules:	
Schedule of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balance –	
budget and actual - General fund	55
Schedule of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balance –	
budget and actual - Street LTF	56
Schedule of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balance –	
budget and actual - Street Measure "C"	57
Schedule of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balance –	5 0
budget and actual – Police station construction	58
Schedule of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balance –	59
budget and actual – 2017 Bond PD Station Cost-Sharing Multiple-Employer Defined Benefit Pension Plan – Last 10 Years	60
Schedule of Funding Progress PERS Information	61
Schedule of Changes in Net OPEB Liability and Related Ratios	62-63
2 - 11 - 11 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	02 03

COMBINING STATEMENTS AS SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Combining balance sheet – nonmajor governmental funds Combining statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balance – nonmajor governmental funds	65
Special revenue funds:	
Combining balance sheet – nonmajor special revenue funds Combining statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes	66-68
in fund balance – nonmajor special revenue funds	69-72
Debt service funds:	
Combining balance sheet – nonmajor debt service funds Combining statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in	73-74
fund balance – nonmajor debt service funds	75-76
Capital projects funds:	77.70
Combining balance sheet – nonmajor capital projects funds Combining statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in	77-79
fund balance – nonmajor capital projects funds	80-82
Enterprise funds: Combining statement of not position — nonmajor enterprise funds	83
Combining statement of net position – nonmajor enterprise funds Combining statement of revenues, expenses, and changes in	83
net position – nonmajor enterprise funds	84
Internal service funds:	0.5
Combining statement of net position Combining statement of revenues, expenses, and changes	85
in net position	86
Fiduciary funds:	
Agency funds: Combining statement of fiduciary assets and liabilities	87
OTHER INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORTS	
Independent auditor's report on internal control over financial reporting and on	
compliance and other matters based on an audit of financial statements	00.00
performed in accordance with government auditing standards	88-89



3148 Willow Avenue, Suite 102 Clovis, California 93612-4739 (559) 291-0277 • FAX (559) 291-6411

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

The Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council City of Selma Selma, California

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Selma as of and for the year ended June 30, 2018, and the related notes to the financial statements which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risk of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the city's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the city's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Selma as of June 30, 2018, and the respective changes in financial position, and where applicable, cash flows thereof for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Change in Accounting Principle

For the year ended June 30, 2018, the City of Selma adopted the provisions of the following Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement, which is effective for the fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2017.

• Statement No. 75, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefits Other than Pensions.

The application of Statement No. 75 required the restatement of net position as discussed in Note 13 of the financial statements.

Other Matters

Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the management's discussion and analysis, Budgetary Comparison Schedules, and Schedules of Changes in Net Pension and Other Post-Employment Benefits (OPEB) Liability and Related Ratios be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Government Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of the financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

Other Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the City of Selma's financial statements. The Supplemental Information and the combining and individual nonmajor fund financial statements are presented for purpose of additional analysis and are not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The combining and individual nonmajor fund financial statements are the responsibility of management and were derived from and relate directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the combining and individual nonmajor fund financial statements are fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic finial statements as a whole.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated March 11, 2019 on our consideration of the City of Selma's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering City of Selma's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Sampson, Sampson & Patterson, LLP

Clovis, California March 11, 2019

Management's Discussion and Analysis

This discussion and analysis of the City of Selma's financial performance provides an overview of the City's financial activities for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2018. Please read it in conjunction with the accompanying transmittal letter, the basic financial statements, and accompanying notes to those financial statements.

Financial Highlights

The City's governmental funds ended the year with a combined fund balance of 21.1 million.

The City's General Fund ended the year with a balance of \$2,763,856, an increase of \$299,893 from the previous year.

Overview of the Financial Statements

This annual report consists of a series of financial statements. These statements include all activities of the City of Selma and its component unit, the Selma Public Finance Authority, using the integrated approach as prescribed by GASB Statement No. 34. The Statement of Net Position and Statement of Activities provide information about the activities of the City as a whole and present a longer-term view of the City's finances. Fund financial statements tell how these services are financed in the short term as well as what remains for future spending. Fund financial statements also report the City's operations in more detail than the government-wide statements by providing information about the City's most significant funds. The remaining statements provide financial information about activities for which the City acts solely as a trustee or agent for the benefit of those outside the Government.

Reporting the City as a Whole

Government-wide financial statements. The government-wide financial statements are designed to provide readers with a broad overview of the City's finances. These statements include all assets and liabilities of the City using the *accrual basis of accounting*, which is similar to the accounting method used by most private sector companies. All of the current year's revenues and expenses are taken into account regardless of when cash is received.

The *Statement of Net Position* presents information on all the City's assets and liabilities, with the difference between the two reported as *net position*. Over time, increases or decreases in net position may serve as one indicator of whether the City's financial position is improving or deteriorating.

The Statement of Activities presents information showing how the City's net position changed in the most recent fiscal year. All changes of net position are reported as soon as the underlying event giving raise to the change occurs. Thus, revenues and expenses are reported on this statement for some items that will result in cash flow in future fiscal periods (e.g. earned but unused vacation leave).

The government-wide financial statements of the City are divided as follows:

Governmental Activities: Most of the City's basic services are included here such as public safety, transportation (street and roads), community development, culture and recreation and general government. These services are primarily financed by property and sales taxes, federal and state grants and development fees.

Business-type Activities: The City charges fees to customers to cover the costs of services provided. These services include Ambulance, Garbage Disposal, Pioneer Village, and Cultural Arts.

Fund Financial Statements. The fund financial statements provide more detailed information about the City's most significant funds, not the city as a whole. A fund is a grouping of related accounts that is used to maintain control over resources that have been segregated for specific activities or objectives. Some funds are required to be established by State Law or by bond covenants. Management establishes other funds due to legal requirements for using certain taxes, grants, and other money. All the funds of the City can be classified into three categories: governmental funds, proprietary funds, and fiduciary funds.

Governmental Funds. Governmental funds are used to account for essentially the same functions reported as governmental activities in the government-wide financial statements. However, unlike the government-wide financial statements, governmental fund financial statements focus on the near-term inflows and outflows of spendable resources, as well as on balances of spendable resources available at the end of the fiscal year. These funds are reported using an accounting method called *modified accrual accounting*, which measures cash and all other financial assets that can readily be converted to cash. Such information is useful in evaluating a government's near term financing requirements.

Since the focus of the governmental funds is narrower than that of the government-wide financial statements, it is useful to compare the information presented for the governmental funds with similar information presented for *governmental activities* in the government-wide financial statements. By doing so, readers may better understand the long-term impact of the government's near-term financing decisions. Both the governmental fund balance sheet and the governmental fund statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances provide reconciliation between governmental funds and governmental activities. This reconciliation explains the relationship (or differences) between the fund statements and the government-wide statements.

The City of Selma maintains individual governmental funds. Information is presented separately in the governmental fund balance sheet and in the governmental statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances for the General Fund, Street Local Transportation Fund (LTF), Street Measure "C", Police Station Construction and the 2017 Bond PD Station fund all of which are considered major funds. Data from the other funds are combined into a single aggregated presentation. Individual fund data for each of these non-major governmental funds is provided in the form of combining statements elsewhere in this report.

The City prepares an annual appropriated budget for the General Fund, Street LTF Fund, Street Measure "C", Police Station Construction Fund, and the 2017 Bond PD Station. Budgetary comparison statements have been provided for these funds to demonstrate compliance to their budgets.

Proprietary funds. The City has two different types of proprietary funds, enterprise funds and internal service funds. Enterprise funds are used to report the same functions represented as business-type activities in the government-wide financial statements. The City utilized enterprise funds to account for those activities that are supported primarily by user charges to external users. This includes the ambulance service and garbage service, plus several non-major enterprise activities. Internal service funds are used to account for activities that include employee benefits, general services, risk management and fleet services. Since all of these services predominantly benefit governmental rather than business-type functions, they have been included within governmental activities in the government-wide financial statements.

Proprietary funds provide the same type of information as the government-wide financial statements, only in more detail. The proprietary fund financial statements provide separate information for the Ambulance and the Garbage, which are major funds. Other additional enterprise funds are combined into a single aggregated presentation. Individual fund data for each of these non-major enterprise funds are provided in the form of combining statements elsewhere in this report. All of the internal service funds are combined into a single, aggregated presentation in the fund financial statements. Individual fund data for the internal service funds is provided in the form of combining statements elsewhere in this report.

Fiduciary Funds: Fiduciary funds are used to account for resources held for the benefit of parties outside the city. Fiduciary funds are not reflected in the government-wide financial statements because the city cannot use these funds to finance its operations.

Notes to the financial statements. The notes provide additional information that is essential to a full understanding of the data provided in the government-wide and fund statements.

Other information. The combining statements referred to earlier in connection with the non-major governmental, enterprise and internal service funds are presented immediately following the required supplementary information on the City's retirement plan.

Government-wide Financial Analysis

Below is a table showing the City's net position for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2018, with comparative data for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017.

City of Selma's Net Position

	Governmental Activities		Business-type Activities			Total						
	2018 2017		2018 2017					2017				
Current and other assets	\$		\$	19,129,632	\$	4,803,824	\$	2,268,081	\$	31,464,280	\$	21,397,713
Capital and long-term assets		50,566,533		49,630,659		192,964		189,810		50,759,497		49,820,469
Total assets	\$	77,226,989	\$	68,760,291	\$	4,996,788	\$	2,457,891	\$	82,223,777	\$	71,218,182
Deferred outflows related to pensions Deferred outflows related to	\$	3,852,035	\$	3,373,872	\$	27,451	\$	20,649	\$	3,879,486	\$	3,394,521
OPEB Deferred loss on bond	\$	102,892	\$	-	\$	734	\$	-	\$	103,626	\$	-
refunding		125,766		135,082		-		-		125,766		135,082
	\$	4,080,693	\$	3,508,954	\$	28,185	\$	20,649	\$	4,108,878	\$	3,529,603
Long-term liabilities outstanding	\$	10,296,606		6,106,013	\$	1,179	\$	-	\$	10,297,785	\$	6,106,013
Net pension liability		14,933,035		13,152,198		106,420		80,494		15,039,455		13,232,692
Net OPEB liability		5,206,988		-		37,109		-		5,244,097		-
Other liabilities		2,899,167		1,336,394		144,627		153,778		3,043,794		1,490,172
Total liabilities	\$	33,335,796	\$	20,594,605	\$	289,335	\$	234,272	\$	33,625,131	\$	20,828,877
Deferred inflows related to pensions Deferred inflows related to OPEB	\$ \$	92,845 477,238	\$ \$	463,483	\$ \$	662 3,401	\$ \$	2,837	\$ \$	93,507 480,639	\$ \$	466,320
Investment in capital assets,												
net of related debt	\$	41,350,229	\$	44,758,432	\$	192,964	\$	189,810	\$	41,543,193	\$	44,948,242
Restricted		17,656,370		13,836,152		-		-		17,656,370		13,836,152
Unrestricted		(11,604,796)		(7,383,427)		4,538,611		2,051,621		(7,066,185)		(5,331,806)
Total net position	\$	47,401,803	\$	51,211,157	\$	4,731,575	\$	2,241,431	\$	52,133,378	\$	53,452,588

As of June 30, 2018 the City's government-wide total assets and deferred outflows of resources exceeded liabilities and deferred inflows of resources (net position) by \$52.1 million. Governmental activities finished the year with a positive net position balance of \$47.4 million. Business type activities finished the year with a positive balance of \$4.7 million. The net position of the City decreased by \$1.3 million from 2017. Net position as noted earlier may serve over time as a useful indicator of the City's financial position.

A portion the City's long-term liabilities relate to the acquisition of capital assets. Some of those assets include the City's corporation yard, equipment, and street infrastructure. These capital assets are utilized to provide services to citizens and are not available for future spending. The repayment of the debt on these assets must be provided from other sources, since the capital assets themselves cannot be used to liquidate these liabilities.

Restricted net position amounts to \$17.7 million of the total. Restricted net position is the resource that is subject to external restrictions on how it may be used. These restrictions are established by bond covenants or restrictions on the use of funds by state or federal regulations. The unrestricted net position may be used to finance day-to-day operations without constraints established by debt covenants or other legal requirements. At June 30, 2018, the unrestricted net position amount was a negative \$11.6 million due to the implementation of GASB Statement No. 68 in 2015 and 75 in 2018. The GASB Statement No. 68 adjustment reflects the cumulative effect of the unfunded pension liability from prior years. The GASB Statement No. 75 adjustment reflects the cumulative effect of the other post-employment benefits (OPEB) from prior years.

Governmental activities.

The following lists key components of these activities:

City of Selma's Changes in Net Position

	Governmental Activities		Busine	ss-type		
			Activ	vities	Total	
	2018	2017	2018	2017	2018	2017
Revenues:						
Program revenues:						
Charges for services	\$ 1,533,843	\$ 1,111,736	\$ 6,247,318	\$ 4,505,797	\$ 7,781,161	\$ 5,617,533
Operating grants and contributions	175,792	209,540		-	175,792	209,540
Capital grants and contributions	728,533	4,399,494		-	728,533	4,399,494
General revenues:						
Taxes	12,530,276	11,095,343			12,530,276	11,095,343
Licenses and permits	431,819	491,527			431,819	491,527
Intergovernmental charges	1,626,452	1,516,409			1,626,452	1,516,409
Fines	54,615	60,896			54,615	60,896
Franchise fees	880,778	810,447			880,778	810,447
Revenue for the use of property	277,435	160,350	72,236	45,352	349,671	205,702
Miscellaneous	961,695	1,299,934	107,173	109,999	1,068,868	1,409,933
Loss on disposition of capital assets	(206,496)				(206,496)	
Transfers	1,043,000	935,834	(1,043,000)	(935,834)	-	
Total revenues	20,037,742	22,091,510	5,383,727	3,725,314	25,421,469	25,816,824
Expenses:						
General government	2,773,798	2,002,486			2,773,798	2,002,486
Public safety	10,189,560	8,942,001			10,189,560	8,942,001
Public Works	4,493,617	4,791,089			4,493,617	4,791,089
Community development	668,749	616,537			668,749	616,537
Cultural and recreation	672,730	602,789			672,730	602,789
Debt Service	448,789	188,628			448,789	188,628
Ambulance			1,230,972	1,162,325	1,230,972	1,162,325
Pioneer Village			74,776	62,734	74,776	62,734
Garbage services			1,361,841	1,353,548	1,361,841	1,353,548
Cultural Arts			188,844	195,505	188,844	195,505
Total expense	19,247,243	17,143,530	2,856,433	2,774,112	22,103,676	19,917,642
Increase in net position	790,499	4,947,980	2,527,294	951,202	3,317,793	5,899,182
Prior period adjustments	-	-	-	-	-	-
Net position - beginning	51,211,157	46,263,177	2,241,431	1,290,229	53,452,588	47,553,406
Effects of accounting change	(4,599,853)	-	(37,150)	-	(4,637,003)	
Net position - ending	\$ 47,401,803	\$ 51,211,157	\$ 4,731,575	\$ 2,241,431	\$ 52,133,378	\$ 53,452,588

The Governmental revenues for the year were \$20.0 million. Taxes, which include property, sales and other taxes account for \$12.5 million or 63% of the City's governmental activities revenue. Total governmental expenses for the year were \$19.2 million. Public Safety, which includes police and fire, accounts for \$10.1 million or 53% of the total governmental activity expenses.

Financial Analysis of the City's Funds

As noted earlier, the City uses fund accounting to ensure and demonstrate compliance with finance-related legal requirements.

Governmental funds. Not all of the City's governmental funds ended the year with positive fund balances. Any negative balance funds would be trued up within this current fiscal year. The ending fund balance for all funds is \$21.2 million, which is an increase of \$4.5 million, when compared to the prior year.

Proprietary funds. As indicated in the description of proprietary funds, there are two types of funds, enterprise and internal service funds. The Ambulance and Garbage funds ended the year with a positive unrestricted balance.

General Fund Budgetary Highlights expropriations

Throughout the fiscal year it was not considered necessary to adjust the original total General Fund budget. The Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance-Budget to Actual, General Fund, shows the original budget and final budget.

Capital Assets and Debt Administration

Capital Assets. The City's Investment in Capital Assets for its governmental and business-type activities are as follows:

City of Selma Capital Assets

	Governme	ental	Business	-type			
_	Activiti	es	Activit	ies	Total		
_	2018	2017	2018	2017	2018	2017	
Land	\$ 7,990,302	\$ 7,990,302	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 7,990,302	\$ 7,990,302	
Construction in progress	2,853,867	1,028,092	-	-	2,853,867	1,028,092	
Building and improvements	3,675,590	3,806,139	15,590	2,805	3,691,180	3,808,944	
Machinery and equipment	3,945,197	3,785,499	177,374	187,005	4,122,571	3,972,504	
Road network	32,101,577	33,020,627	-	-	32,101,577	33,020,627	
Total	\$ 50,566,533	\$ 49,630,659	\$ 192,964	\$ 189,810	\$ 50,759,497	\$ 49,820,469	

Long-term Debt. The City's long-term debt as of June 30, 2018 was \$9.5 million with governmental activities accounting for all of debt.

Outstanding Debt

	Govern			ness-type	_		
	Activ	ities	Ac	tivities	Total		
	2018 2017		2018 2017		2018	2017	
Special assessment debt with governmental commitment	\$ 425,000	\$ 640,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 425,000	\$ 640,000	
Tax allocation bonds	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Capital leases	1,141,371	710,465	-	-	1,141,371	710,465	
Lease revenue bonds	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Certificates of participation	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Revenue bonds	3,797,483	4,011,762	-	-	3,797,483	4,011,762	
General obligation bond	4,000,000	-	-	-	4,000,000	-	
Contracts payable	150,000	150,000	-	-	150,000	150,000	
Total	\$ 9,513,854	\$ 5,512,227	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 9,513,854	\$ 5,512,227	

Economic Factors

The City of Selma has witnessed growth in retail and residential development in the fiscal year 2017-18 that has continued into the current year. This growth, coupled with a growing economy, has increased the city's tax revenues by 13 percent. While some analysts and the Federal Reserve say a slowdown in the economy is looming, the City of Selma will be better positioned to withstand a downturn in the economy if it continues to pursue a prudent fiscal position. Additional development is anticipated in the upcoming years and increases in revenue are projected to continue in the year ahead.

Requests for Information

This financial report is designed to provide our citizens, taxpayers, customers, investors and creditors with a general overview of the City of Selma finances and to demonstrate the City's accountability for the money it receives. If you have any questions about this report or need additional financial information, contact the Assistant City Manager, City of Selma 1710 Tucker Street, Selma, CA 93662.

CITY OF SELMA Statement of Net Position June 30, 2018

	Governmental Activities	Business-type Activities	Total
Assets			
Cash and investments	\$ 24,183,794	\$3,271,610	\$27,455,404
Receivables:	Ψ 21,103,771	ψ3,271,010	Ψ27,133,101
Accounts, net	424,804	1,538,690	1,963,494
Notes	658,317	1,000,000	658,317
Intergovernmental	1,230,851		1,230,851
Internal balances	6,476	(6,476)	-,
Cash with fiscal agent - restricted	156,214	(*,*,*)	156,214
Capital assets (net of accumulated depreciation):			
Non-depreciable	10,844,169		10,844,169
Depreciable	39,722,364	192,964	39,915,328
Total assets	77,226,989	4,996,788	82,223,777
Deferred outflows of resources:	2.052.025	25.451	2.070.406
Deferred outflows related to pensions	3,852,035	27,451	3,879,486
Deferred outlfows related to OPEB	102,892	734	103,626
Deferred loss on bond refunding	125,766	20.105	125,766
Total deferred outflows of resources	4,080,693	28,185	4,108,878
Liabilities			
Accounts payable	1,295,610	142,231	1,437,841
Accrued wages/benefits	355,406	2,396	357,802
Deposits and other liabilities	1,188,054	ŕ	1,188,054
Accrued interest payable	60,097		60,097
Noncurrent liabilities:			
Due within one year	658,311		658,311
Due in more than one year	9,638,295	1,179	9,639,474
Net OPEB liability	5,206,988	37,109	5,244,097
Net pension liability	14,933,035	106,420	15,039,455
Total liabilities	33,335,796	289,335	33,625,131
Deferred inflows of resources:			
Deferred inflows of resources: Deferred inflows related to pensions	92,845	662	93,507
Deferred inflows related to OPEB	477,238	3,401	480,639
Total deferred inflows of resources	570,083	4,063	574,146
Total deferred lilliows of resources			
Net position			
Invested in capital assets, net of related debt Restricted for:	41,350,229	192,964	41,543,193
Capital projects	9,265,987		9,265,987
Community development	1,029,213		1,029,213
Debt service	708,726		708,726
Public safety	828,115		828,115
Streets and roads	5,824,329		5,824,329
Unrestricted	(11,604,796)	4,538,611	<u>(7,066,185)</u>
Total net position	\$ 47,401,803	\$4,731,575	\$52,133,378
P	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	402,100,010

CITY OF SELMA Statement of Activities For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

Net (Expense) Revenue and Changes in Net Assets Program Revenues Charges Operating Capital Primary Government For Grants and Grants and Governmental Business-type Contributions Contributions Functions/Programs Expenses Services Activities Activities Total Primary government Governmental activities: \$ 83,973 \$ 6,523 \$ \$ General government \$ 2,773,798 \$ (2,683,302) \$ (2,683,302) 240,668 18,798 18,223 Public safety 10,189,560 (9,911,871)(9,911,871)Public works 4,493,617 667,290 139,416 659,810 (3,027,101)(3,027,101)Community development 668,749 456,878 50,500 (161,371)(161,371)Parks and recreation 672,730 85,034 (576,641)11,055 (576,641)Interest and other charges 448,789 (448,789)(448,789)Total governmental activities 19,247,243 1,533,843 175,792 728,533 (16,809,075)(16,809,075)Business-type activities: 1,230,972 3,638,906 Ambulance 4.869.878 3,638,906 Garbage 1,361,841 1,357,082 (4,759)(4,759)Pioneer Village 74,776 336 (74,440)(74,440)188,844 20,022 (168,822)(168.822)Cultural arts Total business-type activities 2,856,433 6,247,318 3,390,885 3.390.885 \$22,103,676 \$7,781,161 \$175,792 \$728,533 \$(16,809,075) \$ 3,390,885 \$(13,418,190) Total primary government General revenues: Taxes 12,530,276 12,530,276 Licenses and Permits 431,819 431.819 Intergovernmental 1,626,452 1,626,452 Fines 54,615 54,615 Franchise fees 880,778 880,778 Use of money and property 277,435 72,236 349,671 961,695 107,173 Miscellaneous 1,068,868 Loss on disposition of capital assets (206,496)(206,496)Transfers 1,043,000 (1,043,000)Total general revenues and transfers 17,599,574 (863,591)16,735,983 790,499 2,527,294 Change in net position 3,317,793 Net position – beginning of year 51,211,157 2,241,431 53,452,588 Restatement for accounting change (4,599,853)(37,150)(4,637,003)Net position, end of year \$ 4,731,575 \$ 47,401,803 \$ 52,133,378

CITY OF SELMA Balance Sheet Governmental Funds June 30, 2018

	General Fund	Street LTF	Street Measure "C"	Police Station Construction	2017 Bond PD Station	Other Governmental Funds	Total Governmental Funds
Assets Cash and investments Cash with fiscal agent	\$3,612,307	\$2,684,852	\$1,445,150	\$3,548,168	\$3,881,705	\$7,428,640 156,214	\$22,600,822 156,214
Accounts receivable Interfund receivables	142,978 230,662					242,934 116,499	385,912 347,161
Due from other agencies Total assets	426,958 \$4,412,905	\$2,684,852	45,725 \$1,490,875	\$3,548,168	\$3,881,705	336,509 \$8,280,796	809,192 \$24,299,301
Liabilities Accounts payable Accrued wages/benefits Interfund payables	\$ 185,622 280,373	\$ 56,485	\$ 593,188	\$ 66,732	\$ 3,760	\$ 337,246 67,495 340,685	\$ 1,243,033 347,868 340,685
Other liabilities Total liabilities	1,183,054 1,649,049	56,485	593,188	66,732	3,760	5,000 750,426	1,188,054 3,119,640
Fund balance Nonspendable Restricted for: Streets and roads Public safety		2,628,367	897,687			2,298,275 800,415	5,824,329 800,415
Community development Debt service Capital projects				3,481,436	3,877,945	309,196 708,726 1,895,632	309,196 708,726 9,255,013
Committed for: Streets and roads Community development Recreation Capital projects						21,594 30,855 8,956 355,971	21,594 30,855 8,956 355,971
Assigned for: Equipment replacement Unassigned Total fund balance	2,763,856 2,763,856	2,628,367	897,687	3,481,436	3,877,945	1,151,725 (50,975) 7,530,370	1,151,725 <u>2,712,881</u> <u>21,179,661</u>
Total liabilities and fund balance	<u>\$4,412,905</u>	<u>\$2,684,852</u>	<u>\$1,490,875</u>	<u>\$3,548,168</u>	<u>\$3,881,705</u>	<u>\$8,280,796</u>	<u>\$24,299,301</u>

CITY OF SELMA

Reconciliation of the Governmental Funds Balance Sheet to Statement of Net Position June 30, 2018

Total fund balance – governmental funds balance sheet		\$ 21,179,661
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of net position are different because:		
Long-term receivables are not available to pay for current-period		
expenditures and, therefore, are deferred in the funds.		421,659
Capital assets used in governmental activities are		,
not reported in the funds.		50,566,533
Certain liabilities are not due and payable in the current period		
and therefore, are not reported in the governmental funds.		
At June 30, these liabilities consisted of the following:		
Long-term debt	\$ (9,641,304)	
Compensated absences	(655,302)	
Net OPEB liabilities	(5,206,988)	
Net pension liability	(14,933,035)	(30,436,629)
Interest accrued on long-term debt obligations is reported as a		
liability in the statement of net position but does not get		
reported in the fund statements.		(60,097)
Internal service funds are used by management to charge the costs		
of certain activities, such as self-insurance, to individual funds.		1,561,749
Assessments receivable unavailable to pay for current period		658,317
expenditures are deferred in the funds.		
Deferred outflows of resources related to pensions.		3,954,927
Deferred inflows of resources related to pensions.		(570,083)
Deferred loss on refunding		125,766
Net position of governmental activities – Statement of Net Position		<u>\$ 47,401,803</u>

CITY OF SELMA Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance Governmental Funds For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

	General Fund	Street LTF	Street Measure "C"	Police Station Construction	2017 Bond PD Station	Other Governmental Funds	Total Governmental Funds
Revenues							
Taxes	\$ 9,567,973	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 2,579,275	\$12,147,248
Licenses and permits	431,819						431,819
Intergovernmental	131,797	699,523	651,385			987,355	2,470,060
Charges for services	654,224					879,619	1,533,843
Fines	23,571					31,044	54,615
Franchise fees	862,634						862,634
Revenue for use of money and property	66,230	21,308	12,166	26,854	36,569	61,459	224,586
Assessment payments						414,584	414,584
Miscellaneous	48,005					908,088	956,093
Total revenues	11,786,253	720,831	663,551	26,854	36,569	5,861,424	19,095,482
Expenditures							
General government	2,535,155					96,749	2,631,904
Public safety	8,777,910					674,421	9,452,331
Public works	948,724	3,207	47,149			1,358,098	2,357,178
Community development	463,541					164,616	628,157
Recreation	475,882					34,594	510,476
Capital outlay	486,708	70,847	1,278,149	518,829		1,335,608	3,690,141
Debt service:							
Principal	282,620					284,376	566,996
Interest and fiscal charges	144,304					102,919	247,223
Total expenditures	14,114,844	74,054	1,325,298	518,829		4,051,381	20,084,406
Excess (deficiency) of revenues							
over (under) expenditures	(2,328,591)	646,777	(661,747)	(491,975)	36,569	1,810,043	(988,924)
Other financing sources (uses)							
Proceeds from general obligation bonds					4,000,000		4,000,000
Proceeds from bond premium						131,845	131,845
Proceeds from capital leases	482,220						482,220
Bond issuance costs					(143,760)		(143,760)
Sales of capital assets						14,980	14,980
Operating transfers in	2,451,041					1,128,406	3,579,447
Operating transfers out	(304,777)	(130,590)	(189,847)			(1,911,233)	(2,536,447)
Total other financing sources (uses)	2,628,484	(130,590)	<u>(189,847</u>)		3,856,240	(636,002)	5,528,285
Net change in fund balance	299,893	516,187	(851,594)	(491,975)	3,892,809	1,174,041	4,539,361
Fund balance, beginning of year	2,463,963	2,112,180	1,749,281	3,973,411	(14,864)	6,356,329	16,640,300
Fund balance, end of year	<u>\$ 2,763,856</u>	<u>\$2,628,367</u>	<u>\$ 897,687</u>	<u>\$3,481,436</u>	<u>\$3,877,945</u>	<u>\$ 7,530,370</u>	<u>\$21,179,661</u>

See independent auditor's report and notes to financial statements.

March 18, 2019 Council Packet

CITY OF SELMA

Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Activities For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

Net change in fund balance – total governmental funds	\$ 4,539,361
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the Statement of Activities are different because:	
Governmental funds reported capital outlay as expenditures. However, in the Government-Wide Statement of Activities and Changes in Net Position, the cost of those assets was allocated over their estimated useful lives as depreciation expenses. This is the net amount of capital assets recorded in the current period.	3,566,922
Proceeds from long-term debt (including bond premiums) are reported as other financing sources in the fund statements but are not reported on the statement of activities in the government-wide statements.	(4,609,670)
Depreciation expense on capital assets was reported in the Government-Wide Statement of Activities and changes in Net Position, but they did not require the use of current financial resources.	(2,625,198)
Payments received on long-term receivables are reported as revenues in the fund statements but reduced the receivable amount in the statement of net position.	(124,626)
Repayment of debt principal is an expenditure in the funds but is not an expense in the statement of activities.	564,892
Revenues in the statement of activities that do not provide current financial resources are not reported as revenues in the funds.	230,382
The net revenue (expense) of internal service funds is reported with governmental activities.	506,249
Compensated absences: In governmental funds, compensated absences are measured by the amounts paid during the period. In the statement of activities, compensated absences are measured by the amounts earned. The difference between compensated absences paid and those earned was:	88,484
Accrued interest on long-term debt obligations is reported in the governmental activities but is not reported in the government fund statements.	(60,097)
Unfunded OPEB liabilities in the Statement of Activities do not require the use of current financial resources and therefore, are not reported as expenditures in governmental funds.	(353,668)
Pension contributions are reported as expenditures in the governmental funds, but contributions are reported as deferred outflows in the statement of net position.	(932,532)
Change in net position of governmental activities – Statement of Activities	<u>\$ 790,499</u>

CITY OF SELMA Statement of Net Position Proprietary Funds June 30, 2018

	Business-Type Activities – Enterprise Funds				
		•	Nonmajor		Internal
			Enterprise		Service
	Ambulance	Garbage	Funds	Total	Funds
Assets					
Current assets:					
Cash and investments	\$3,056,886	\$118,377	\$ 96,347	\$3,271,610	\$1,582,972
Accounts receivable, net	1,532,860	Ψ110,577	5,830	1,538,690	38,892
Total current assets	4,589,746	118,377	102,177	4,810,300	1,621,864
Noncurrent assets:					
Capital assets:					
Machinery and equipment	192,623		282,397	475,020	48,452
Less: Accumulated depreciation	(15,249)		(266,807)	(282,056)	(8,711)
Total noncurrent assets	177,374		15,590	192,964	39,741
Total assets	4,767,120	118,377	<u>117,767</u>	5,003,264	1,661,605
Deferred outflows of resources:					
Deferred outflows of resources. Deferred outflows related to pensions	19,432		8,019	27,451	154,264
Deferred outflows related to OPEB	519		21 <u>5</u>	734	4,120
Total deferred outflow of resources	19,951		8,234	28,185	158,384
Liabilities					
Current liabilities:					
Accounts payable	22,647	112,364	7,220	142,231	52,577
Accrued wages/benefits	1,943	112,50	1,632	3,575	7,538
Interfund payables	1,5 1.5		6,476	6,476	7,220
Current portion of capital leases			2,	,,,,	19,963
Total current liabilities	24,590	112,364	15,328	152,282	80,078
Noncurrent liabilities:					
Capital leases					73,652
Net pension liability	75,333		31,087	106,420	598,028
Net other post-employment benefits liability	26,268		10,841	37,109	208,528
Total noncurrent liabilities	<u>101,601</u>		41,928	143,529	880,208
Total liabilities	126,191	112,364	<u>57,256</u>	295,811	960,286
Deferred inflows of resources:					
Deferred inflows related to pensions	468		194	662	3,718
Deferred inflows related to OPEB	2,408		993	3,401	19,112
Total Deferred inflow of resources	2,876		1,187	4,063	22,830
Net Position					
Invested in capital assets, net of related debt	177,374		15,590	192,964	39,741
Unrestricted	4,480,630	6,013	51,968	4,538,611	797,132
Total net position	<u>\$4,658,004</u>	\$ 6,013	\$ 67,558	<u>\$4,731,575</u>	\$ 836,873

CITY OF SELMA Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Position Proprietary Funds For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

	Business-Type Activities – Enterprise Funds				
		Internal			
			Enterprise		Service
	Ambulance	Garbage	Funds	Total	Funds
Operating Revenues					
Taxes	\$	\$1,357,082	\$	\$ 1,357,082	\$
Charges for services	4,869,878		20,358	4,890,236	2,643,142
Rents			48,825	48,825	
Miscellaneous	24		107,149	107,173	99,236
Total operating revenues	4,869,902	1,357,082	176,332	6,403,316	2,742,378
Operating Expenses					
Personnel services	38,971		42,333	81,304	294,028
Services and supplies	1,182,370	1,361,841	183,209	2,727,420	970,339
Other internal services			36,863	36,863	969,807
Depreciation	9,631		1,215	10,846	5,850
Total operating expenses	1,230,972	1,361,841	263,620	2,856,433	2,240,024
Operating income (loss)	3,638,930	(4,759)	<u>(87,288</u>)	3,546,883	502,354
Nonoperating revenues (expenses)					
Interest income	23,245	166		23,411	5,579
Interest expense					(1,684)
Total nonoperating revenues (expenses)	23,245	<u>166</u>		23,411	3,895
Income (loss) before transfers	3,662,175	(4,593)	(87,288)	3,570,294	506,249
Transfers					
Transfers in			57,000	57,000	
Transfers out	(1,100,000)			<u>(1,100,000</u>)	
Net transfers	(1,100,000)		57,000	(1,043,000)	
Change in net position	2,562,175	(4,593)	(30,288)	2,527,294	506,249
Net position, beginning of year	2,122,127	10,606	108,698	2,241,431	539,387
Restatement for accounting change	(26,298)		(10,852)	(37,150)	(208,763)
Net position, end of year	<u>\$ 4,658,004</u>	<u>\$ 6,013</u>	<u>\$ 67,558</u>	<u>\$ 4,731,575</u>	<u>\$ 836,873</u>

CITY OF SELMA Statement of Cash Flows Proprietary Funds For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

	Business-Type Activities – Enterprise Funds				Governmental Activities	
			Nonmajor Enterprise		Internal Service	
Cash flows from operating activities	Ambulance	Garbage	Funds	Total	Funds	
Cash received for current services Cash received for rents	\$ 4,720,894	\$	\$ 20,358 48,825	\$ 4,741,252 48,825	\$ 2,676,223	
Cash received for other operating revenues		1,357,082	106,141	1,463,223	99,236	
Cash paid for services and supplies	(1,192,519)	(1,360,825)	(220,168)	(2,773,512)	(1,919,180)	
Cash paid for salaries and benefits	(23,242)	<u> </u>	(37,230)	(60,472)	(278,142)	
Net cash provided (used) by operating activities	3,505,133	(3,743)	(82,074)	3,419,316	578,137	
Cash flows from noncapital financing activities						
Transfers (to) from other funds	(1,100,000)		57,000	(1,043,000)		
Loans from (to) other funds			(2,586)	(2,586)		
Net cash provided (used) by	(1.100.000)		54 414	(1.045.596)		
noncapital financing activities	(1,100,000)		54,414	(1,045,586)		
Cash flows from capital and related financing activities Proceeds from capital leases					103,223	
Principal paid on long-term debt					(9,608)	
Interest paid					(1,684)	
Capital expenditures	<u></u>		(14,000)	(14,000)		
Net cash used by capital						
and related financing activities			<u>(14,000</u>)	(14,000)	91,931	
Cash flows from investing activities						
Interest received	23,245	166		23,411	5,579	
Net cash provided by investing activities	23,245	<u> 166</u>		23,411	5,579	
Net increase (decrease) in cash						
and cash equivalents	2,428,378	(3,577)	(41,660)	2,383,141	675,647	
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	628,508	121,954	138,007	888,469	907,325	
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$ 3,056,886	<u>\$ 118,377</u>	<u>\$ 96,347</u>	<u>\$ 3,271,610</u>	\$ 1,582,972	
Reconciliation of operating income to net cash provided by operating activities:						
Operating income (loss)	\$ 3,638,930	\$ (4,759)	\$ (87,288)	\$ 3,546,883	\$ 502,354	
Adjustments to reconcile operating income to	, ,	())	, (,,	,,	, ,,,,,,	
net cash provided (used) by operating activities:						
Depreciation	9,631		1,215	10,846	5,850	
Accounts receivable	(149,008)		(1,008)	(150,016)	33,081	
Prepaid expenses	(5.725)		(1.001)	(7.52()	1,557	
Deferred outflow of resources	(5,735)	1,016	(1,801) 684	(7,536)	(10,811) 19,409	
Accounts payable Accrued wages/benefits	(10,149) 657	1,010	600	(8,449) 1,257	1,625	
Other liabilities	057		(780)	(780)	1,023	
Net pension related liabilities	19,914		6,012	25,926	22,749	
Net OPEB	(30)		(11)	(41)	(235)	
Deferred inflow of resources	923		303	1,226	2,558	
Total adjustments	(133,797)	1,016	5,214	(127,567)	75,783	
Net cash provided (used) by operating activities	<u>\$ 3,505,133</u>	<u>\$ (3,743)</u>	<u>\$ (82,074)</u>	<u>\$ 3,419,316</u>	<u>\$ 578,137</u>	

CITY OF SELMA Statement of Fiduciary Net Position Fiduciary Funds June 30, 2018

	Redevelopment Successor Agency Private-Purpose Trust Funds	Agency Funds
Assets		
Cash and investments	\$ 196,859	\$217,359
Restricted cash with fiscal agent	360,706	
Accounts receivable		2,718
Due from other agencies	463,602	
Notes receivable	258,961	
Capital assets (net of accumulated depreciation)	<u>321,875</u>	- <u></u> -
Total Assets	<u>\$ 1,602,003</u>	<u>\$220,077</u>
Liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$ 11,219	\$160,065
Deposits		4,395
Other liabilities	60,281	55,617
Long-term debt	5,505,000	
Total Liabilities	5,576,500	_220,077
Net Position (Deficit)		
Held in trust for retirement of obligations of the		
former Selma Redevelopment Agency	(3,974,497)	
Total Net Position (Deficit)	\$(3,974,497)	\$

CITY OF SELMA Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position Fiduciary Funds For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

	Redevelopment Successor Agency Private-Purpose Trust Funds
Additions: Property taxes Investment earnings Miscellaneous Total Additions	\$ 656,310 14,833 1,200 672,343
Deductions Community development Interest and fiscal charges Total Deductions	80,690 308,015 388,705
Change in net position Net position, beginning of year	<u>283,638</u> (4,258,135)
Net position, end of year	<u>\$(3,974,497)</u>

CITY OF SELMA Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2018

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The combined financial statements of City of Selma (the "City") have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles applicable to governmental units which are generally accepted in the United States of America. The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) is the accepted standard setting body for establishing governmental accounting and financial reporting principles.

1. Reporting Entity

The City of Selma is a general law city incorporated on March 15, 1893 and as such draws its authority from the constitution and laws of the State of California. The City has a council/manager form of government and is governed by a five member elected council. The City Manager is appointed by the City Council. The City provides the following services: Police and fire protection, ambulance, transit, street construction and maintenance, planning and zoning, and general administrative services.

The City's financial statements include the operations of all organizations for which the City Council exercises oversight responsibility. Oversight responsibility is demonstrated by financial interdependency, selection of governing authority, designation of management, ability to significantly influence operations and accountability for fiscal matters.

Blended Component Units

Based on the aforementioned oversight criteria, the operations of the Selma Public Finance Authority (Authority) have been blended into the accompanying financial statements. Members of the City Council, as well as City administrative personnel make up a significant portion of the governing bodies.

The Authority's specific and primary purpose is to provide financial assistance to the City of Selma and other agencies by acquiring qualified obligations which were issued for the acquisition, construction, and improvement of public facilities and property.

Separate financial statements for the Authority can be obtained from the Finance Director, City of Selma, 1710 Tucker Street, Selma, CA 93662.

2. Basis of Presentation, Basis of Accounting

Basis of Presentation

Government-wide Statements: The statement of net assets and the statement of activities include the financial activities of the overall government, except for fiduciary activities. Eliminations have been made to minimize the double-counting of internal activities. These statements distinguish between the governmental and business-type activities of the City. Governmental activities generally are financed through taxes, intergovernmental revenues, and other non-exchange transactions. Business-type activities are financed in whole or in part by fees charged to external parties.

The statement of activities presents a comparison between direct expenses and program revenues for the different business-type activities of the City and for each function of the City's governmental activities. Direct expenses are those that are specifically associated with a program or function and, therefore, are clearly identifiable to a particular function. The City does not allocate indirect expenses in the statement of activities. Program revenues include (a) fees, fines, and charges paid by the recipients of goods or services offered by the programs and (b) grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular program. Revenues that are not classified as program revenues, including all taxes, are presented as general revenues.

Fund Financial Statements: The fund financial statements provide information about the City's funds, with separate statements presented for each fund category. The emphasis of fund financial statements is on major governmental and enterprise funds, each displayed in a separate column. All remaining governmental and enterprise funds are aggregated and reported as nonmajor funds.

Proprietary fund operating revenues, such as charges for services, results from exchange transactions associated with the principal activity of the fund. Exchange transactions are those in which each party receives and gives up essentially equal values. Nonoperating revenues, such as subsidies and investment earnings, result from nonexchange transactions or ancillary activities.

Major Funds:

Major funds are defined as funds that have either assets, and deferred outflows of resources, liabilities and deferred inflows of resources, revenues or expenditures/expenses equal to ten percent of their fund-type total and five percent of the grand total. Major governmental and business-type funds are identified and presented separately in the fund financial statements. All other funds, called non-major funds, are combined and reported in a single column, regardless of their fund-type. The General Fund is always a major fund. The City may also select other funds it believes should be presented as major funds.

The City reports the following major governmental funds:

General Fund: The general fund is the City's primary operating fund. It accounts for all financial resources of the general government, except those required to be accounted for in another fund.

Street LTF Fund: This fund accounts for the activities of the City's local transportation fund allocation for various transit projects.

Street Measure "C": This fund accounts for street activity funded with Measure C funds.

Police Station Construction: This fund accounts for the construction of a new police station funded with an appropriation from the State of California.

2017 Bond PD Station: This fund accounts for the proceeds from the Series 2017 General Obligation Bonds, which are to be used to finance the construction of the new police station.

The City reports the following major enterprise funds:

Ambulance Fund: This is the fund that accounts for the operations of the ambulance service provided by the City.

Garbage Fund: This is the fund that accounts for the transactions between the City and Selma Disposal, who provides the commercial and residential garbage collection for the City.

In addition, the City reports the following fund types:

Internal Service Funds: These funds are used to account for revenues and expenses related to services provided to parties inside the City. These funds facilitate distribution of support costs to the users of support services on a cost-reimbursement basis. Because the principal users of the internal services are the City's governmental activities, this fund type is included in the "Governmental Activities" column of the government-wide financial statements.

Agency Funds: These funds are used to report resources held in a purely custodial capacity (assets equal liabilities) Agency funds typically involve only the receipt, temporary investment, and remittance to fiduciary resources to individuals, private organizations, or other governments.

Private Purpose Trust Fund: This fund is used to account for the assets of the former City of Selma Redevelopment Agency during the wind down period.

Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting

Government-wide, Proprietary, and Fiduciary Fund Financial Statements: These financial statements are reported using the economic resources measurement focus. The government-wide and proprietary fund financial statements are reported using the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded at the time liabilities are incurred, regardless of when the related cash flow takes place. Nonexchange transactions, in which the City gives (or receives) value without directly receiving (or giving) equal value in exchange, include property taxes, grants, entitlements, and donations. On an accrual basis, revenue from property taxes is recognized in the fiscal year for which the taxes are levied. Revenue from grants, entitlements, and donations is recognized in the fiscal year in which all eligibility requirements have been satisfied.

Governmental Fund Financial Statements: Governmental funds are reported using the current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Under this method, revenues are recognized when measurable and available. The City considers all revenues reported in the governmental funds to be available if the revenues are collected within sixty days after year-end. Revenues from local sources consist primarily of property taxes. Property tax revenues and revenues received from the State are recognized under the susceptible-to-accrual concept. Miscellaneous revenues are recorded as revenue when received in cash because they are generally not measurable until actually received. Investment earnings are recorded as earned,

since they are both measurable and available. Expenditures are recorded when the related fund liability is incurred, except for principal and interest on general long-term debt, claims and judgments, and compensated absences, which are recognized as expenditures to the extent they have matured. General capital asset acquisitions are reported as expenditures in governmental funds. Proceeds of general long-term debt and acquisitions under capital leases are reported as other financing sources.

3. Financial Statement Amounts

Cash and Cash Equivalents

For purposes of the statement of cash flows, highly liquid investments are considered to be cash equivalents if they have a maturity of three months or less when purchased.

Property Taxes

Taxes are payable in two installments on November 1 and March 1. They become delinquent on December 10 and April 10 respectively. The lien date is January 1 of each year. Unsecured property taxes are payable in one installment on or before August 31. The County of Fresno bills and collects the taxes for the City.

On October 12, 1993, the Fresno County Board of Supervisors voted to adopt an alternate method of property tax apportionment known as the Teeter Plan. The method applies to current secured and supplemental, but not to unsecured. The basic concept of the Teeter Plan is that the County will apportion taxing agencies 100% of their levy (adjusted for roll changes) with the County owning the delinquent receivables. The County will apportion the current secured and unitary into a revenue account in three installments. The first 50% was apportioned December 15, the balance will be apportioned by April 15, and June 15 at 45% and 5% respectively.

Inventories and Prepaid Items

Inventories on the balance sheet are stated at weighted average cost. Inventory items are recorded as expenditures when they are consumed.

Certain payments to vendors reflect costs applicable to future accounting periods and are recorded as prepaid items.

Pensions

For purposes of measuring the net pension liability and deferred outflows/inflows of resources related to pensions, and pension expense, information about the fiduciary net position of Local Government of Example's California Public Employees' Retirement System (CalPERS) plans (Plans) and additions to/deductions from the Plans' fiduciary net position have been determined on the same basis as they are reported by CalPERS. For this purpose, benefit payments (including refunds of employee contributions) are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the benefit terms. Investments are reported at fair value.

Capital Assets

Purchased or constructed capital assets are reported at cost or estimated historical cost. Donated fixed assets are recorded at their estimated fair value at the end of the donation. The cost of normal maintenance and repairs that do not add to the value of the asset or materially extend assets' lives are not capitalized. A capitalization threshold of \$5,000 is used for machine and equipment, and \$10,000 is used for buildings and building improvement.

Capital assets are being depreciated using the straight-line method over the following estimated useful lives:

Asset Class	Estimated Useful Lives			
Infrastructure	30			
Buildings	50			
Building Improvement	20			
Vehicles	2-15			
Office Equipment	3-15			
Computer Equipment	3-15			

Payable Balances

The City believes that sufficient detail of payable balances is provided in the financial statements to avoid the obscuring of significant components by aggregation. Therefore, no disclosure is provided which disaggregates those balances.

Interfund Activity

Interfund activity results from loans, services provided, reimbursements or transfers between funds. Loans are reported as interfund receivables and payables as appropriate and are subject to elimination upon consolidation. Services provided, deemed to be at market or near market rates, are treated as revenues and expenditures or expenses. Reimbursements occur when one fund incurs a cost, charges the appropriate benefiting fund and reduces its related cost as a reimbursement. All other interfund transactions are treated as transfers. Transfers In and Transfers Out are netted and presented as a single "Transfers" line on the government-wide statement of activities. Similarly, interfund receivables and payables are netted and presented as a single "Internal Balances" line of the government-wide statement of net assets.

<u>Fund Balances – Governmental Funds</u>

Fund balances of the governmental funds are classified as follows:

Nonspendable Fund Balance – represents amounts that cannot be spent because they are either not in spendable form (such as inventory or prepaid insurance) or legally required to remain intact (such as notes receivable or principal of a permanent fund).

Restricted Fund Balance – represents amounts that are constrained by external parties, constitutional provisions or enabling legislation.

Committed Fund Balance – represents amounts that can only be used for specific purposes because of a formal action by the City Council. Committed amounts cannot be used for any other purpose unless the City Council removes those constraints by taking the same type of formal action. Committed fund balance amounts may be used for other purposes with appropriate due process by the City Council. Commitments are typically done through adoption and amendment of the budget. Committed fund balance amounts differ from restricted balances in that the constraints on their use do not come from outside parties, constitutional provisions, or enabling legislation.

Assigned Fund Balance – represents amounts which the City intends to use for a specific purpose, but that do not meet the criteria to be classified as restricted or committed. Intent may be stipulated by the City Council or by an official or body to which the City Council delegates the authority. Specific amounts that are not restricted or committed in a special revenue, capital projects, debt service or permanent fund are assigned for purposes in accordance with the nature of their fund type or the fund's primary purpose. Assignments within the general fund conveys that the intended use of those amounts is for a specific purpose that is narrower than the general purposes of the City itself.

Unassigned Fund Balance – represents amounts which are unconstrained in that they may be spent for any purpose. Only the general fund reports a positive unassigned fund balance. Other governmental funds might report a negative balance in this classification because of overspending for specific purposes for which amounts had been restricted, committed or assigned.

When an expenditure is incurred for which committed, assigned, or unassigned fund balances are available, the City considers amounts to have been spent first out of committed funds, then assigned funds, and finally unassigned funds.

Net Position

In the government-wide financial statements and proprietary fund financial statements, net position is classified as follows:

Net Investment in Capital Assets – This component of net position consists of capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation, reduced by the outstanding balances of debt that are attributable to the acquisition, construction, or improvement of those assets.

Restricted – This component of net position is the amount of the assets, deferred outflows of resources, liabilities, and deferred inflows of resources that are not included in the determination of net investment in capital assets reduced by liabilities and deferred inflows of resources related to those assets.

Unrestricted – This component of net position is the amount of the assets, deferred outflows of resources, liabilities, and deferred inflows of resources that are not included in the determination of net investment in capital assets or the restricted component of net position.

When expenses are incurred for purposes for which both restricted and unrestricted components of net position are available, the City's policy is to apply the restricted component of net position first, then the unrestricted component of net position as needed.

Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources

In addition to assets, the statement of financial position reports a separate section for deferred outflows of resources. This separate financial statement element, *deferred outflows of resources*, represents a consumption of net position that applies to a future period(s) and so will *not* be recognized as an outflow resources (expense/expenditure) until then. The City has three items that qualify for reporting in this category, deferred outflows related to pensions, deferred outflows related to OPEB, and deferred loss on bond refunding.

In addition to liabilities, the statement of financial position reports a separate section for deferred inflows of resources. The separate financial element, *deferred inflows of resources*, represents an acquisition of net position that applies to future period(s) and so will *not* be recognized as an inflow of resources (revenue) until that time. These amounts are deferred and recognized as an inflow of resources in the period that the amounts become available. The City has two types of deferred inflows which arises only under a full accrual basis of accounting, deferred inflows related to pensions and deferred inflows related to OPEB.

Other Postemployment Benefits (OPEB)

For purposes of measuring the net OPEB liability, deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to OPEB, and OPEB expense have been determined on the same basis. For this purpose, benefit payments are recognized when currently due and payable in accordance with the benefit terms.

Generally accepted accounting principles require that the reported results must pertain to liability and asset information within certain defined timeframes. For this report, the following timeframes are used.

Valuation Date June 30, 2018

Measurement Date June 30, 2017

Measurement Period June 30, 2016 to June 30, 2017

Estimates

The preparation of basic financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses/expenditures during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

NOTE 2 – COMPLIANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY

Finance-Related Legal and Contractual Provisions

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 38, "Certain Financial Statement Note Disclosures," violations of finance-related legal and contractual provisions, if any, are reported below, along with actions taken to address such violations:

Violation	Action Taken		
None Reported	Not Applicable		

Deficit Fund Balance or Fund Net Assets of Individual Funds

Following are funds having deficit fund balances or fund net assets at year end, if any, along with remarks which address such deficits:

Fund Name	Deficit Amount	Remarks
Cultural Arts Enterprise Fund	<u>\$ (24,410)</u>	Retain future excess revenues
Capital Project Funds: Development Impact Police Facility Selma Crossing Project Capital Projects - Parks Total Capital Project Funds	\$ (10,974) (3,704) (7,008) \$ (21,686)	Retain future excess revenues Retain future excess revenues Retain future excess revenues
Special Revenue Funds: ATP 17-089 Housing Related Parks Program Total Special Revenue Funds	\$ (61,700) (88,130) \$(149,830)	Retain future excess revenues Retain future excess revenues

NOTE 3 – CASH AND INVESTMENTS

Cash and investments as of June 30, 2018 are classified in the accompanying financial statements as follows:

Statement of net position:	
Cash and investments	\$27,455,404
Restricted cash and investments with fiscal agent	156,214
Fiduciary funds:	
Cash and investments	414,218
Restricted cash and investments with fiscal agent	360,706
Total cash and investments	<u>\$28,386,542</u>

Cash and investments as of June 30, 2018 consists of the following:

Cash on hand	\$ 1,475
Deposits with financial institutions	5,552,949
Investments	22,832,118
Total cash and investments	<u>\$28,386,542</u>

Investment Authorized by the California Government Code and the City's Investment Policy

The table below identifies the investment types that are authorized for the City of Selma (City) by the California Government Code (or the City's investment policy, where more restrictive). The table also identified certain provisions of the California Government Code (or the City's Investment Policy, where more restrictive) that address interest rate risk, credit risk, and the concentration of credit risk. This table does not address investment of debt proceeds held by bond trustee that are governed by the provisions of debt agreements of the City, rather than the general provisions of the California Government Code or the City's investment policy.

Authorized Investment Type	Maximum Maturity	Maximum Percentage of Portfolio	Maximum Investment in One Issuer
Local Agency Investment Fund (State Pool)	N/A	None	None
US Treasury Obligations	N/A	None	None
US Government Agency Issues	N/A	None	None
Insured Passbook on Demand Deposits with			
Banks and Savings and Loans	N/A	None	None
Certificate of Deposits	5 years	None	None
Bankers Acceptances	180 days	40%	30%
Commercial Paper	270 days	25%	10%
Mutual Funds (must be comprised of eligible	•		
securities permitted under this policy)	N/A	20%	10%
Money Market Funds (must be comprised of			
eligible securities permitted under this policy)	N/A	20%	10%

The investment policy allows for the above investments which have equal safety and liquidity as all other allowed investments. Maturity depends on the cash needs of the City.

Investment Authorized by Debt Agreement

Investment of debt proceeds held by bond trustees are governed by provisions of the debt agreements, rather than the general provisions of the California Government Code or the City's investment policy. The table below identifies the investment types that are authorized for investments held by bond trustee. The table also identifies certain provisions of these debt agreements that address interest-rate risk, credit risk, and concentration of credit risk.

Authorized Investment Type	Maximum Maturity	Maximum Percentage of Portfolio	Maximum Investment in One Issuer
Local Agency Investment Fund (State Pool)	N/A	None	\$50 million
US Treasury Obligations	N/A	None	None
US Government Agency Issues	N/A	None	None
Insured Passbook on Demand Deposits with			
Banks and Savings and Loans	N/A	None	None
Certificate of Deposits	1 year	None	None
Bankers Acceptances	1 year	None	None
Commercial Paper	N/A	None	None
Mutual Funds (must be comprised of eligible securities permitted under this policy)	N/A	None	None
Money Market Funds (must be comprised of	37/4	3.7	3.7
eligible securities permitted under this policy)	N/A	None	None
Investment Agreements	N/A	None	None

The investment policy allows for the above investments which have equal safety and liquidity as all other allowed investments. Maturity depends on the cash needs of the City.

Disclosures Relating to Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the risk the changes in market interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. Generally, the longer the maturity of an investment, the greater the sensitivity of its fair value to changes in market interest rates. One of the ways that the City manages its exposure to interest rate risk is by purchasing a combination of short-term and longer-term investments and by timing cash flows from maturities so that a portion of the portfolio is maturing or coming close to maturity evenly over time as necessary to provide the cash flow and liquidity needed for operations.

Information about the sensitivity of the fair value of the City's investments (including investments held by bond trustee) to market interest rate fluctuations is provided by the following table that shows the distribution of the City's investments by maturity:

Investment Type	Fair Value	12 Months or Less
State Investment Pool	\$22,315,198	\$22,315,198
Held by Bond Trustee: Money Market Funds	516,920	516,920
	\$22,832,118	\$22,832,118

Investments with Fair Values Highly Sensitive to Interest Rate Fluctuations

The City has no investments (including investments held by bond trustees) that are highly sensitive to interest-rate fluctuations (to a greater degree than already indicated in the information provided above).

Disclosures Relating to Credit Risk

Generally, credit risk is the risk that an issuer of an investment will not fulfill its obligation to the holder of the investment. This is measured by the assignment of a rating by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization. Presented below is the minimum rating required by (where applicable) the California Government Code, the City's investment policy, or debt agreements, and the actual Standard and Poor's rating as of fiscal year end for each investment type.

		Ratings as of Fiscal Year End		
		Minimum		
		Legal	Not	
Investment Type	Amount	Rating	Rated	AAAm
State Investment Pool	\$22,315,198	N/A	\$22,315,198	\$
Held by Bond Trustee:				
Money Market Funds	516,920	N/A		516,920
	<u>\$22,832,118</u>		<u>\$22,315,198</u>	<u>\$516,920</u>

Concentration of Credit Risk

The investment policy of the City of Selma contains no limitations on the amount that can be invested with any one issuer beyond that stipulated by the California Government Code. There were no investments in any one issuer that represented 5% or more of the total investments.

Custodial Credit Risk

Custodial credit risk for *deposits* is the risk that, in the event of the failure of a depository financial institution, a government will not be able to recover its deposits or will not be able to recover collateral securities that are in the possession of an outside party. The custodial credit risk for *investments* is the risk that, in the event of the failure of the counterparty (example broker-dealer) to a transaction, a government will not be able to recover the value of its investment or collateral securities that are in the possession of another party. The California Government Code and the City's investment policy do not contain legal or policy requirements that would limit the exposure to custodial credit risk for deposits or investments, other than the following provision for deposits: The California Government Code requires that a financial institution secure deposits made by state or local governmental units by pledging securities in an undivided collateral pool held by a depository regulated under state laws (unless so waived by the governmental unit). The fair value of the pledged securities and the collateral pool must equal at least 110% of the total amount deposited by the public agencies. California law also allows financial institutions to secure City deposits by pledging first trust deed mortgage notes having a value of 150% of the secured public deposits.

As of June 30, 2018, none of the City's deposits with financial institutions in excess of federal depository insurance limits were held in uncollateralized accounts. In addition, as of June 30, 2018, no investments were held by the same broker-dealer (counterparty) that was used by the City of Selma to purchase the securities.

Investment in State Investment Pool

The City is a voluntary participant in the Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF) that is regulated by the California Government Code under the oversight of the Treasurer of the State of California. The fair value of the City's investment in this pool is reported in the accompanying financial statements at amounts based upon the City's pro rata share of the value provided by (LAIF) for the entire (LAIF) portfolio (in relation to the amortized cost of that portfolio). The balance available for withdrawal is based on the accounting records maintained by (LAIF), which are recorded on an amortized cost basis.

Fair Value Measurements

The City categorizes its fair value measurement within the fair value hierarchy established by generally accepted accounting principles. The hierarchy is based on the relative inputs used to measure the fair value of the investments. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets (Level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements).

The three levels of the fair value hierarchy are described as follows:

- Level 1: Inputs to the valuation methodology are unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets in active markets that the City has the ability to access.
- Level 2: Inputs to the valuation methodology include:
 - Quoted prices for similar assets in active markets;
 - Quoted prices for identical or similar assets in inactive markets;
 - Inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset;
 - Inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data by correlation or other means.
- Level 3: Inputs to the valuation methodology are unobservable and significant to the fair value measurement. Unobservable inputs reflect the City's own assumptions about the inputs market participants would use in pricing the asset (including assumptions about risk). Unobservable inputs are developed based on the best information available in the circumstances and may include the City's own data.

The asset's level within the hierarchy is based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. Valuation techniques used need to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. The determination of what constitutes observable requires judgment by the City's management. City management considers observable data to be the market data which is readily available, regularly distributed or updated, reliable, and verifiable, not proprietary, and provided by multiple independent sources that are actively involved in the relevant markets.

The categorization of an investment within the hierarchy is based upon the relative observability of the inputs to its fair value measurement and does not necessarily correspond to City Management's perceived risk of that investment. The methods described may produce a fair value calculation that may not be indicative of net realizable value or reflective of future fair values. The use of different methodologies or assumptions to determine the fair value of certain financial instruments could result in a different fair value measurement at the reporting date.

When available, quoted prices are used to determine fair value. When quoted prices in active markets are available, investments are classified within Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy. When quoted prices in active markets are not available, fair values are based on evaluated prices received by City's asset manager from a third-party service provider.

The City has no investments subject to categorization.

Investments Not Subject to Fair Value Hierarchy:	
California Local Agency Investment Fund	\$22,315,198
Held with Fiscal Agent:	
Money Market Mutual Funds	516,920
Total Investment Portfolio	\$22,832,118

NOTE 4 – RECEIVABLES

The following is a list of receivables at June 30, 2018.

	Receivable	Allowance	Net
Governmental Funds -			
Accounts	\$ 385,912	\$	\$ 385,912
Due from other agencies	809,192	·	809,192
	<u>\$1,195,104</u>	\$	<u>\$1,195,104</u>
Internal Service Funds -			
Accounts	<u>\$ 38,892</u>	\$	<u>\$ 38,892</u>
Enterprise Funds - Accounts	\$2,078,205	<u>\$539,515</u>	<u>\$1,538,690</u>
Fiduciary Funds -			
Accounts	\$ 2,718	\$	\$ 2,718
Due from other agencies	463,602		463,602
Notes receivable	<u>258,961</u>		<u>258,961</u>
	<u>\$ 725,281</u>	\$	<u>\$ 725,281</u>

The City established the following assessment districts in order to fund improvements within the City of Selma. The property owners within the districts are responsible for the following at June 30, 2018.

Assessment District No. 1991-2 Improvement Bonds - Highland	\$274,594
Assessment District No. 1992-1 Improvement Bonds - Dancer II	88,802
Assessment District No. 1992-1 Supplemental Improvement Bonds – Dancer III	80,293
Assessment District No. 1993-1 Improvement Bonds - Vineyard	174,224
Assessment District No. 1991-2 Supplemental Improvement Bonds - Watermain	40,404
	<u>\$658,317</u>

NOTE 5 – CAPITAL ASSETS

Capital asset activity for the year ended June 30, 2018 was as follows:

	Beginning Balances	Additions	Retirements	Ending Balances
Governmental activities: Capital assets not being depreciated: Land Construction in progress	\$ 7,990,302 1,028,092	\$ 2,842,631	\$ (1,016,856)	\$ 7,990,302 2,853,867
Total capital assets not being depreciated	9,018,394	2,842,631	(1,016,856)	10,844,169
Capital assets being depreciated: Roads and other infrastructure Buildings and improvements Machinery and equipment Total capital assets being depreciated	96,307,487 7,120,881 9,137,864 112,566,232	1,072,244 38,400 923,918 2,034,562	(1,540,668) (1,540,668)	97,379,731 7,159,281 8,521,114 113,060,126
Less accumulated depreciation for: Roads and other infrastructure Buildings and improvements Machinery and equipment Total accumulated depreciation	(63,286,860) (3,314,742) (5,352,365) (71,953,967)	(1,991,294) (168,949) (464,954) (2,625,197)	1,241,402 1,241,402	(65,278,154) (3,483,691) (4,575,917) (73,337,762)
Total capital assets being depreciated, net	40,612,265	(590,635)	(299,266)	39,722,364
Governmental activities capital assets, net	\$ 49,630,659	<u>\$ 2,251,996</u>	<u>\$(1,316,122)</u>	\$ 50,566,533
Business-type activities:				
Capital assets being depreciated: Buildings and improvements Equipment Total capital assets being depreciated Less accumulated depreciation for: Buildings and improvements Equipment Total accumulated depreciation Total capital assets being depreciated, net Business-type activities capital assets, net	\$ 264,882	\$ 14,000 14,000 (1,215) (9,631) (10,846) 3,154 \$ 3,154	\$ <u>\$</u>	\$ 278,882

Depreciation was charged to functions as follows:

Governmental activities depreciation:	
General government	\$ 205,400
Public protection:	
Fire	104,883
Police	197,464
Public ways and facilities, including depreciation	
to general infrastructure assets	2,117,450
Total governmental activities depreciation expense	\$ 2,625,197
Business-type activities depreciation:	
Pioneer Village	\$ 1,215
Ambulance	9,631
Total business-type activities depreciation	\$ 10,846

NOTE 6 – INTERFUND BALANCES AND ACTIVITY

Interfund Payables and Interfund Receivables

Balances due to and due from other funds at June 30, 2018, consisted of the following:

Interfund Payables	Interfund Receivables	Amount	Purpose
Nonmajor Enterprise Funds	General	\$ 6,476	Short-term loans
Nonmajor Special Revenue Funds	General	210,628	Short-term loans
Nonmajor Special Revenue Funds	Nonmajor Capital Projects Funds	113,299	Short-term loans
Nonmajor Capital Projects Funds	General	13,558	Short-term loans
Nonmajor Capital Projects Funds	Nonmajor Capital Projects Funds	3,200	Short-term loans
		<u>\$347,161</u>	

All amounts due are scheduled to be repaid within one year.

Transfers To and From Other Funds

The purpose of the majority of transfers is to reimburse a fund that has made an expenditure on behalf of another fund. Transfers totaled \$3,636,517 in the fund financial statements as follows:

	Transfers In					
			Nonmajor	Nonmajor		_
		Nonmajor	Debt	Capital		
		Special	Service	Projects	Proprietary	
Transfers Out	General	Revenue	Funds	Funds	Funds	Totals
General	\$	\$ 42,777	\$	\$205,000	\$57,000	\$ 304,777
Street LTF		130,590				130,590
Street Measure "C"		189,847				189,847
Nonmajor Special						
Revenue Funds	1,351,041	388,635				1,739,676
Nonmajor Debt Service Funds			171,487			171,487
Nonmajor Capital						
Projects Funds			70			70
Proprietary	1,100,000					1,100,000
	\$2,451,041	\$751,849	\$171,557	\$205,000	\$57,000	\$3,636,447

Internal Balances

Internal balances are presented in the Entity-wide financial statements only. They represent the net interfund receivables and payables remaining after the elimination of all such balances within governmental and business-type activities.

<u>NOTE 7 – LONG-TERM LIABILITIES</u>

The following is a summary of changes in the City's long-term liabilities for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2018:

	Balance at July 1, 2017	Increases	Decreases	Balance at June 30, 2018	Due Within One Year
Governmental activities:					
Revenue bonds – Authority	\$ 990,000	\$	\$250,000	\$ 740,000	\$145,000
Revenue bonds – City	4,011,762		214,279	3,797,483	220,901
General obligation bonds - City		4,000,000		4,000,000	75,000
Bond premium		131,845	4,395	127,450	
Capital leases	710,465	585,443	154,537	1,141,371	207,410
Contract payable	150,000			150,000	50,000
Compensated absences	743,786		88,484	655,302	
Total governmental activities	\$6,606,013	<u>\$4,717,288</u>	<u>\$711,695</u>	<u>\$10,611,606</u>	<u>\$698,311</u>
Business-type activities:					
Compensated absences	<u>747</u>	432		1,179	
Total business-type activities	<u>\$ 747</u>	<u>\$ 432</u>	\$	\$ 1,179	\$

A summary of changes in bonds payable of the Authority for the year ended June 30, 2018 is as follows:

Description	Interest Rate Payable	Amount Original Issue	Outstanding June 30 2017	Issued	Retired	Outstanding June 30 2018
1994 2014	4% - 7.25% 3.25%	\$11,570,000 <u>955,000</u> \$12,525,000	\$350,000 <u>640,000</u> \$990,000	\$ 	\$ 35,000 <u>215,000</u> \$250,000	\$315,000 <u>425,000</u> \$740,000

A summary of changes in Revenue Bonds of the City for the year ended June 30, 2018 is as follows:

Description	Interest Rate Payable	Amount Original Issue	Outstanding June 30, 2017	Issued	Retired	Outstanding June 30, 2018
2015	3.09%	\$4,344,619 \$4,344,619	\$4,011,762 \$4,011,762	<u>\$</u> <u>\$</u>	\$214,279 \$214,279	\$3,797,483 \$3,797,483

1994 Revenue Bonds

On December 23, 2015, the City of Selma issued \$4,344,619 Lease Obligation Refunding Bonds with an average interest rate of 3.09% to advance refund \$3,940,000 of outstanding 2010 Lease Revenue Refunding Bonds with an average interest rate of 7.00% and partial refunding of 1994 Revenue Bonds of \$450,000 with an average interest rate of 6.0%. The interest is payable semi-annually on February 1st and August 1st, commending February 1, 2016. The bonds mature annually at various amounts through February 1, 2030. The bond program continues to be in default of its debt service reserve requirement, but the trustee believes there are sufficient assets remaining in Trust to service the remaining Bonds Outstanding. The bonds are payable from revenues and all other moneys on deposit in any fund or account pursuant to this indenture. The principal balance on the 1994 revenue bonds at June 30, 2018 was \$315,000.

The Bonds were issued to partially refinance the Authority's previously issued 1994 Revenue Bonds in the principal amount of \$450,000, of which \$380,000 remained outstanding at June 30, 2016. As a result, the \$450,000 is considered defeased and the liability for this issue has been removed from the Long-Term Liabilities of the Governmental Activities Debt.

2014 Assessment Revenue Refunding Bonds

On June 1, 2014, the Selma Public Financing Authority issued \$955,000 Series 2014 Assessment Revenue Refunding Bonds bearing interest of 3.250% payable semi-annually on September 2nd and March 2nd, commencing September 2, 2014. The bonds mature annually at various amounts through September 2, 2022. The bonds are payable from revenues and all other moneys on deposit in any fund or account pursuant to this indenture. The principal balance outstanding at June 30, 2018 was \$425,000.

The Bonds were issued to refinance the Authority's previously issued Series 1999A Assessment Revenue Bonds in the principal amount of \$8,165,000. As a result, the Series 1999A Assessment Revenue Bonds are considered defeased and the liability for this issue has been removed from the Long-Term Liabilities of the Governmental Activities Debt.

Series 2015 Refunding Lease Obligations

On December 23, 2015, the City of Selma issued \$4,344,619 Series 2015 Refunding Lease Obligations Bonds, bearing interest of 3.090% payable semi-annually on February 1st and August 1st, commencing February 1, 2016. The bonds mature annually at various amounts through February 1, 2032. The bonds are payable from revenues and all other moneys on deposit in any fund or account pursuant to this indenture. The principal balance on the Series 15 Refunding Lease Obligation Bond at June 30, 2018 was \$3,797,483.

The Bonds were issued to refinance the City of Selma Financing Authority's previously issued 2010 Lease Revenue Refunding Bonds outstanding of \$3,900,000 and partial refinance of their 1994 Revenue Bonds of \$450,000. As a result the 2010 Lease Revenue Refunding Bonds and the \$450,000 1994 Revenue Bonds are considered defeased and the liability for these issues have been removed from the Long-Term Liabilities of the Governmental Activities.

Series 2017 General Obligation Bonds

On July 6, 2017, the City of Selma issued \$4,000,000 Series 2017 General Obligation Bonds, bearing interest rates varying from 2.0% to 4.0% payable semi-annually on February 1, and August 1, commencing February 1, 2018. The bonds mature annually at various amounts through August 1, 2047. The bonds are general obligations of the City. Payable solely from property taxes levied by the City and collected by the County of Fresno. The principal balance on Series 2017 General Obligation Bonds at June 30, 2018 was \$4,000,000.

The Bonds are being issued to finance the costs of acquiring and constructing a new police station and associated improvements, and to pay the costs of issuing the Bonds. The bonds were authorized at an election of the registered voters of the City held on November 6, 2016 at which more than two-thirds of the persons voting on the proposition voted to authorize the issuance and sale of the Bonds.

1. Debt Service Requirements

Debt service requirements on long-term debt at June 30, 2018 are as follows:

		Authority	
		Governmental	
Year Ending June 30,	Principal	Activities Interest	Total
2019	\$145,000	\$48,681	\$193,681
2020	155,000	36,388	191,388
2021	185,000	27,181	212,181
2022	130,000	17,994	147,994
2023	60,000	10,450	70,450
2024	65,000	<u>3,575</u>	68,575
Totals	<u>\$740,000</u>	<u>\$144,269</u>	<u>\$884,269</u>
		City	
		Governmental	
Year Ending June 30,	Principal	Activities Interest	Total
2019	\$ 220,901	\$117,342	\$ 338,243
2020	227,727	110,516	338,243
2021	234,763	103,480	338,243
2022	242,018	96,225	338,243
2023	249,496	88,747	338,243
2024-2028	1,367,997	323,218	1,691,215
2029-2032	1 254 501	00.201	1 252 072
	1,254,581	<u>98,391</u>	1,352,972
Totals	\$3,797,483	<u>98,391</u> <u>\$937,919</u>	\$4,735,402

The annual debt service requirements for the General Obligation Bonds are as follows:

		City	
		Governmental	
Year Ending June 30,	Principal	Activities Interest	Total
2019	\$ 75,000	\$ 140,613	\$ 215,613
2020	85,000	139,012	224,012
2021	85,000	137,313	222,313
2022	90,000	135,562	225,562
2023	90,000	133,763	223,763
2024-2028	480,000	631,112	1,111,112
2029-2033	575,000	534,188	1,109,188
2034-2038	700,000	414,194	1,114,194
2039-2043	825,000	279,850	1,104,850
2044-2048	995,000	102,500	1,097,500
Totals	<u>\$4,000,000</u>	<u>\$2,648,107</u>	<u>\$6,648,107</u>

1. Capital Leases

Capital leases at June 30, 2018, consisted of the following:

	Balance at July 1, 2017	Increases	Decreases	Balance at June 30, 2018	Due Within One Year
Ford Credit-2 police vehicles	\$ 22,273	\$	\$ 22,273	\$	\$
Tymco Incstreet sweeper	152,345		36,962	115,383	37,702
Bank of the West-surveillance camera	42,381		12,080	30,301	12,081
Santander Leasing-Fire Truck	465,919		46,068	419,851	47,400
3SFire-fire training property	27,546		27,546		
Umpqua Bank-computer equipment		103,223	9,608	93,615	19,963
Umpqua Bank-10 Ford Explorers,					
1 F150 pick-up		482,221		482,221	90,264
	<u>\$710,464</u>	<u>\$585,444</u>	<u>\$154,537</u>	<u>\$1,141,371</u>	<u>\$207,410</u>

The City has entered into multiple lease agreements for financing the acquisition of police vehicles, fire truck, police and fire equipment, computer equipment, and a street sweeper. These lease agreements qualify as capital leases for accounting purposes. These leases have interest rates varying from 2.33% to 3.68% with the final payment on these leases in 2032.

2016 Capital Lease for Street Sweeper

In February, 2016 the City entered into a capital lease agreement with Tymco Inc. The purchase price of the equipment was \$250,502 and is payable over a period of five years. Semi-annual payments on the contract are \$13,249. The effective interest rate on the contract is 2.65% per annum. The balance outstanding at June 30, 2018, was \$115,383.

The annual debt service requirements for the 2016 Capital Lease for the street sweeper at June 30, 2018 are as follows:

Year ending June	30, Principal	Interest	Total
			-
2019	\$37,702	\$2,045	\$ 39,747
2020	38,456	1,290	39,746
2021	39,225	<u>521</u>	39,746
	\$115,383	\$3,856	\$119,239

2015 Capital Lease for Surveillance Camera

In December, 2015 the City entered into a capital lease agreement with Bank of the West for the purchase of camera equipment with a purchase price of \$60,602. Monthly payments on the contract are \$1,220 for 60 months. No stated interest, but imputed at \$1,362 for the year. The balance outstanding as of June 30, 2018, was \$30,301.

The annual debt service requirements for the 2015 Capital Lease for the surveillance camera at June 30, 2018 are as follows:

Year ending June 30,	Principal	Interest	Total
_			•
2019	\$12,081	\$1,361	\$13,442
2020	12,081	1,361	13,442
2021	6,139	680	6,819
	\$30,301	\$3,402	\$33,703

2016 Capital Lease for Fire Truck

In March, 2016 the City entered into a capital lease agreement with Santander Leasing for a fire truck with a purchase price of \$510,694. Monthly payments of \$59,533 for 10 years. The effective interest rate 3.42% per annum. The balance outstanding at June 30, 2018, was \$419,851.

The annual debt service requirements for the 2016 Capital Lease for the fire truck at June 30, 2018 are as follows:

Year ending June 30,	Principal	Interest	Total
2019	\$ 47,400	\$12,134	\$59,534
2020	48,769	10,764	59,533
2021	50,179	9,354	59,533
2022	51,629	7,904	59,533
2023	53,121	6,412	59,533
2024-2026	168,753	9,847	178,600
	<u>\$419,851</u>	\$56,415	\$476,266

2017 Capital Lease for Computer Equipment

In December, 2017 the City entered into a capital lease agreement with Umpqua Bank for computer equipment with a purchase price of \$103,223. Semi-annual payments of \$11,021 for five years. The effective interest rate of 2.33% per annum. The balance outstanding at June 30, 2018, was \$93,615.

The annual debt service requirements for the 2017 Capital Lease for the computer equipment at June 30, 2018 are as follows:

Year ending June 30,	Principal	Interest	Total
2019	\$ 9,923	\$1,098	\$11,021
2020	20,197	1,845	22,042
2021	20,674	1,368	22,042
2022	21,161	881	22,042
2023	21,660	<u>382</u>	22,042
	<u>\$93,615</u>	<u>\$5,574</u>	<u>\$99,189</u>

2018 Capital Lease for Police Vehicles

In April, 2018 the City entered into a capital lease agreement with Umpqua for police vehicles with a purchase price of \$482,220. Semi-annual payments of \$53,685 for five years. The effective interest rate of 3.26% per annum. The balance outstanding at June 30, 2018, was \$482,221.

The annual debt service requirements for the 2018 Capital Lease for the police vehicles at June 30, 2018 are as follows:

Year ending June 30,	Principal	Interest	Total
2019	\$90,264	\$15,105	\$105,369
2020	93,253	12,116	105,369
2021	96,342	9,027	105,369
2022	99,532	5,837	105,369
2023	102,830	2,539	105,369
	<u>\$482,221</u>	<u>\$44,624</u>	<u>\$526,845</u>

2. Contract Payable:

In July of 2015 the City entered into an agreement with the Consolidated Irrigation District (CID) to obtain from the District certain real property known as "Rockwell Pond." The agreement calls for the exchange of City owned property of a 38.73 acre parcel with a fair market value of \$534,000 and a payment of a non interest bearing note of \$200,000, payable in annual installments of \$50,000 for the years 2016 through 2019. The purpose of the exchange was for development of water retention and sewer drains. Balance owing on the note at June 30, 2018 was \$150,000.

3. Compensated Absences:

The liability for vested leave (vacation, compensated time off, holiday) earned but not used in governmental funds is expensed and established as a liability and is reported in the government-wide statement of net assets in the governmental activities column. Vested leave of proprietary funds (ambulance fund) is recorded as an expense and liability of that fund as the benefits accrue. No liability is recorded for non-vesting leave such as sick leave.

4. Fiduciary Long-Term Debt

2001 Industrial Park Limited Obligation Improvement Bonds

The former Selma Redevelopment Agency issued limited obligation bonds in the amount of \$3,561,890. The interest rate was 5% and the final payment is scheduled for September 2040. Bonds outstanding at January, 2012 were transferred to the Successor Agency on February 1, 2012 due to the dissolution of the Redevelopment Agency. The balance outstanding at June 30, 2018 was \$2,850,000 and is held in the City's Redevelopment Successor Agency Private-Purpose Trust Funds.

Industrial Park Limited Obligation Improvement Bonds at June 30, 2018, consisted of the following:

Balance			Balance
June 30, 2017	Adjustments	Reductions	June 30, 2018
			_
\$2,910,000		\$60,000	\$2,850,000

2010A Tax Allocation Bonds

The former Selma Redevelopment Agency issued \$3,600,000 tax allocation bonds, with the rate of 2.875-5.750% to refund previous refunding bonds 2001A and 2004A. The bonds mature in September 2024. Bonds outstanding at June 30, 2018 was \$2,340,000 and are held in the City's Redevelopment Successor Agency Private-Purchase Trust Funds.

Tax Allocation Bonds at June 30, 2018, consisted of the following:

Balance			Balance
June 30, 2017	Adjustments	Reductions	June 30, 2018
\$2,610,000		\$270,000	\$2,340,000

1994 Revenue Bonds

The 1994 Revenue Bonds were previously issued by the City of Selma Financing Authority to finance the prior Redevelopment Agency Housing Project. The debt is an obligation of the City's Redevelopment Successor Agency and thus appears in the Successor Agency Private-Purpose Trust Fund account. The principal balance of the 1994 Revenue Bonds was \$315,000.

NOTE 8 – RISK MANAGEMENT

The City participates with other public entities in a joint venture under a joint powers agreement which established the Central San Joaquin Valley Risk Management Authority (CSJVRMA). The relationship between the City and CSJVRMA is such that CSJVRMA is not a component unit of the City for financial reporting purposes.

The City is covered for the first \$1,000,000 of each general liability claim and \$500,000 of each workers' compensation claim through the CSJVRMA. The City has the right to receive dividends or the obligation to pay assessments based on a formula which among other expenses, charges the City's account for liability losses under \$50,000 and workers' compensation losses under \$50,000. The SCJVRMA participates in an excess pool which provides general liability coverages from \$1,000,000 to \$29,000,000. The CSJVRMA participates in an excess pool which provides workers' compensation coverage from \$500,000 to \$5,000,000 and purchases excess reinsurance above \$5,000,000 to the statutory limit.

The CSJVRMA is a consortium of fifty-four (54) cities in the San Joaquin Valley, California. It was established under the provisions of California Government Code Section 6500 et seq. The CSJVRMA is governed by a Board of Directors which meets three times per year, consisting of one member appointed by each member city. The day-to-day business is handled by a management group employed by the CSJVRMA.

At the termination of the joint powers agreement and after all claims have been settled, any excess or deficit will be divided among the cities in accordance with its governing documents.

The most recent condensed financial information of CSJVRMA is as follows:

	As of and for the Year Ended June 30, 2018
Total Assets	<u>\$110,234,633</u>
Total Liabilities Total Net Position	\$ 92,209,231 18,025,402
Total Liabilities and Net Position	<u>\$110,234,633</u>
Total Revenues for the Year Total Expenses for the Year	\$ 47,652,408 47,601,577
Change in Net Position	<u>\$ 50,831</u>

NOTE 9 – PENSION PLAN

General Information

Plan Description

The Plan is a cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan administered by the California Public Employees' Retirement System (CalPERS). All qualified permanent and probationary employees are eligible to participate in the City's separate Safety (police and fire) and Miscellaneous (all other) Employee Pension Plans. Benefit provisions under plans are established by State statute and Local Government resolution. CalPERS issues publicly available reports that include a full description of the pension plans regarding benefit provisions, assumptions and membership information that can be found on the CalPERS website.

Benefits Provided

CalPERS provides service retirement and disability benefits, annual cost of living adjustments and death benefits to plan members and beneficiaries. Benefits are based on years of credited service, equal to one year of full time employment. Members with five years of total service are eligible to retire at age 50 to 52 years with statutory reduced benefits. All members are eligible for non-duty disability benefits after 10 years of service. The death benefit is one of the following: The Basic Death Benefit, the 1957 Survivor Benefit, or the Optional Settlement 2W Death Benefit. The cost of living adjustment for each plan are applied as specified by the Public Employees' Retirement Law.

Effective January 1, 2013, CalPERS instituted a new pension plan as a result of the Public Employee Pension Reform Act (PEPRA). Employees hired from that date on are subject to the new 2% at 62 benefit formula. The 2.5% at 55 benefit formula has been closed to new hires from January 1, 2013 on, unless they meet the rules for a CalPERS Classic employee. A Classic employee is already CalPERS member through prior employment and was employed by a CalPERS member within the last 6 months. See the CalPERS website for more information.

The Plans' provisions and benefits in effect at June 30, 2018, are summarized as follows:

	Miscellaneous	
	Prior to	On or After
Date of Hire	January 1, 2013	January 1, 2013
Benefit Formula	2.50%	2.00%
Benefit vesting schedule	5 years' service	5 years' service
Benefit payments	Monthly for life	Monthly for life
Retirement age	50-55	52-62
Monthly benefits, as a percentage of eligible compensation	2.0%-2.5%	1.0-2.5%
Required employee contribution rates	8%	6.25%
Required employer contribution rates	25.70%	6.25%

	Sat	fety
	Prior to	On or After
Date of Hire	January 1, 2013	January 1, 2013
Benefit Formula	3% @ 50	2.7% @ 57
Benefit vesting schedule	5 years' service	5 years' service
Benefit payments	Monthly for life	Monthly for life
Retirement age	50	57
Monthly benefits, as a percentage of eligible compensation	3.00%	2.0 to 2.7%
Required employee contribution rates	9%	11.50%
Required employer contribution rates	19.90%	11.50%

Contributions

Section 20814(c) of the California Public Employees' Retirement Law requires that the employer contribution rates for all public employers be determined on an annual basis by the actuary and shall be effective on the July 1 following notice of a change in the rate. Funding contributions for the Plans are determined annually on an actuarial basis as of June 30 by CalPERS. The actuarially determined rate is the estimated amount necessary to finance the costs of benefits earned by employees during the year, with an additional amount to finance unfunded accrued liability. The City is required to contribute the difference between the actuarially determined rate and the contribution rate of the employees.

For the year ended June 30, 2018, the contributions recognized as part of pension expense for the Plans are as follows:

	Plans
Contributions – employer	\$1,344,043

Pension Liabilities, Pension Expenses and Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions

As of June 30, 2018, the City reported net pension liabilities for its proportionate shares of the net pension liability of each Plan as follows:

	Proportionate Share of Net Pension Liability	
	2017	2016
Miscellaneous	\$ 7,123,581	\$ 6,417,380
Safety	7,915,874	6,815,312
Total Net Pension Liability	<u>\$15,039,455</u>	<u>\$13,232,692</u>

The City's net pension liability for each Plan is measured as the proportionate share of the net pension liability. The net pension liability of each of the Plans is measured as of June 30, 2015, and the total pension liability for each Plan used to calculate the net pension liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of June 30, 2015 rolled forward to June 30, 2016 using standard update procedures. The City's proportion of the net pension liability was based on a projection of the City's long-term share of contributions to the pension plans relative to the projected contributions of all participating employers, actuarially determined. The City's proportionate share of the net pension liability for each Plan as of June 30, 2016 and 2017 was as follows:

	Miscellaneous	Safety
Proportion – June 30, 2016	.135%	.144%
Proportion – June 30, 2017	.130%	.144%
Change – Increase (Decrease)	.005%	.000%

For the year ended June 30, 2018, the City recognized pension expense of \$1,344,043. At June 30, 2018 the City reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

Deferred Outflows of Resources

		Differences	Net Differences	
		Between	Between Projected	
	Changes	Expected	Earnings and Actual	Total
	of	and Actual	on Pension	Pension-related
	Assumptions	Experiences	Plan Investments	Deferred Outflows
Miscellaneous Plan	\$ 804,192	\$	\$196,888	\$1,001,080
Safety Plan	1,188,168	65,600	280,595	1,534,363
Total	<u>\$1,992,360</u>	<u>\$65,600</u>	<u>\$477,483</u>	<u>\$2,535,443</u>

Deferred Inflows of Resources

	Changes Of Assumptions	Total Pension-related Deferred Inflows
Miscellaneous Plan Safety Plan	\$(93,507) 0	\$(93,507) 0
Total	<u>\$(93,507)</u>	<u>\$(93,507)</u>

Measurement Period	Outflows (Inflows) of Resources	
Ended June 30	Safety Plans	Miscellaneous Plans
2018	\$ 360,017	\$ 211,126
2019	825,549	508,226
2020	512,983	305,117
2021	(164,186)	(116,896)
2022	0	0
Thereafter	0	0

Actuarial Assumptions

For the measurement period ended June 30, 2017, the total pension liabilities were determined by rolling forward the June 30, 2016 total pension liability. The June 30, 2017 total pension liability was based on the following actuarial methods and assumptions:

	All Plans (3)
Valuation Date	June 30, 2016
Measurement Date	June 30, 2017
Actuarial Cost Method	Entry-Age Normal Cost Method
Actuarial Assumptions:	
Discount Rate	7.15%
Inflation	2.75%
Projected Salary Increase	(1)
Investment Rate of Return	7.5% (2)
	Derived using CalPERS'
Mortality	Membership Data for all funds (3)
	Contract COLA up to 2.75% until
	Purchasing Power Protection
	Allowance Floor on Purchasing
Post Retirement Benefit Increase	Power applies, 2.75% thereafter

- (1) Depending on age, service and type of employment
- (2) Net of pension plan investment expenses, including inflation
- (3) The mortality table used was developed based on CalPERS' specific data. The table includes 20 years of mortality improvements using Society of Actuaries Scale BB. For more details on this table, please refer to the CalPERS experience study report available on CalPERS website.
- (4) All of the City's plan for Miscellaneous and Safety employed the same assumptions

All other actuarial assumptions used in the June 30, 2016 valuation were based on the results of an actuarial experience study for the period 1997 to 2011, including updates to salary increase, mortality and retirement rates. Further details of the Experience Study can be found on the CalPERS website under Forms and Publications.

Discount Rate

The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability was 7.15% for each Plan. To determine whether the municipal bond rates should be used in the calculation of a discount rate for each plan, CalPERS stress tested plans that would mostly result in a discount rate that would be different from the actuarially assumed discount rate. Based on the testing, none of the tested plans run out of assets. Therefore, the current 7.15% discount rate is adequate and the use of the municipal bond rate calculation is not necessary. The long term expected discount rate of 7.15% will be applied to all plans in the Public Employees Retirement Fund (PERF). The stress test results are presented in a detailed report that can be obtained from CalPERS website under the GASB 68 section.

According to Paragraph 30 of Statement 68, the long-term discount rate should be determined without reduction for pension plan administrative expense. The 7.65% investment return assumption used in this accounting valuation is net of administrative expenses. Administrative expenses are assumed to be 15 basis points. An investment return excluding administrative expenses would have been 7.65%. Using this lower discount rate has resulted in a slightly higher Total Pension Liability and Net Pension Liability. CalPERS checked the materiality threshold for the difference in calculation and did not find it to be a material difference.

CalPERS is scheduled to review all actuarial assumptions as part of its regular Asset Liability Management (ALM) review cycle that is scheduled to be completed in February 2018. Any changes to the discount rate will require Board action and proper stakeholder outreach. For these reasons, CalPERS expects to continue using a discount rate net of administrative expenses for GASB 67 and 68 calculations through at least the 2017-18 fiscal year. CalPERS will continue to check the materiality of the difference in the calculation until such time as they have changed their methodology.

The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was determined using a building-block method in which best-estimate ranges of expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class.

In determining the long-term expected rate of return, CalPERS took into account both short-term and long-term market return expectations as well as the expected pension fund cash flows. Such cash flows were developed assuming that both members and employers will make their required contributions on time and as scheduled in all future years. Using historical returns of all the funds' asset classes, expected compound geometric returns were calculated over the short-term (first 10 years) and the long-term (11-60 years) using a building-block approach. Using the expected nominal returns for both short-term and long-term, the present value of benefits was calculated for each fund. The expected rate of return was set by calculating the single equivalent expected return that arrived at the same present value of benefits for cash flows as the one calculated using both short-term and long-term returns. The expected rate of return was then set equivalent to the single equivalent rate calculated above and rounded down to the nearest one quarter of one percent.

The table below reflects the long-term expected real rate of return by asset class. The rate of return was calculated using the capital market assumptions applied to determine the discount rate and asset allocation. These geometric rates of return are net of administrative expenses.

Asset Class	New Strategic Allocation	Real Return Years 1-10(a)	Real Return Years 11+(b)
Global Equity	47.0%	4.90%	5.38%
Global Fixed Income	19.0%	0.80%	2.27%
Inflation Sensitive	6.0%	0.60%	1.39%
Private Equity	12.0%	6.60%	6.63%
Real Estate	11.0%	2.80%	5.21%
Infrastructure and Forestland	3.0%	3.90%	5.36%
Liquidity	2.0%	-0.40%	90%
Total	100%		

- (a) An expected inflation of 2.5% used for this period.
- (b) An expected inflation of 3.0% used for this period.

Sensitivity of the Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability to Change in the Discount Rate

The following presents the City's proportionate share of the net pension liability for each Plan, calculated using the discount rate for each Plan, as well as what the City's proportionate share of the net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1-percentage point lower or 1-percentage point higher than the current rate:

	Miscellaneous	Safety
1% Decrease	6.15%	6.15%
Net Pension Liability	\$8,518,408	\$9,022,989
Current Discount Rate	7.15%	7.15%
Net Pension Liability	\$7,123,581	\$7,915,874
1% Increase	8.15%	8.15%
Net Pension Liability	\$6,127,276	\$6,698,046

Pension Plan Fiduciary Net Position

Detailed information about each pension plan's fiduciary net position is available in the separately issued CalPERS financial reports.

Payable to the Pension Plan

At June 30, 2018, the City reported a payable of \$91,388 for the outstanding amount of contributions to the pension plan required for the year ended June 30, 2018.

NOTE 10 – OTHER POST EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS (OPEB)

Plan Description

The City participates in the CalPERS medical program under the Public Employees' Medical and Hospital and Care Act (PEMHCA). This coverage requires the employee to satisfy the requirements for retirement under CalPERS, which requires (1) attainment of age 50 (age 52, if a new miscellaneous member to PERS on or after January 1, 2013) with 5 years of State or public agency service or (2) an approved disability retirement.

The City is obligated to contribute toward the cost of retiree medical coverage for the retiree's lifetime or until coverage is discontinued. The City has an "unequal contribution" resolution with CalPERS, executed in 2001. This resolution defines the City's contribution toward active employee medical premiums to be the PEMHCA minimum employer contribution (MEC). The MEC was \$122 per month in 2016.

The amount payable by the City for each retirees was \$133 per month in 2018.

Employees Covered

As of June 30, 2018 actuarial valuation, the following current and former employees were covered by the benefit terms under the plan:

Active employees	79
Retirees	31
Retirees waiving coverage	<u>15</u>
Total	125

Net OPEB Liability

The City's net OPEB liability was measured as of June 30, 2017, and the total OPEB liability used to calculate the net OPEB liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of June 30, 2018.

Actuarial Assumptions:

The total OPEB liability in the June 30, 2018 actuarial valuation was determined using the following actuarial methods of assumptions:

Valuation Date June 30, 2018

Funding Method Entry Age Normal Cost, level percentage of pay

Asset Valuation Method Market value of assets (\$0; plan is not yet funded)

Discount Rate 2.92% as of June 30, 2016

3.56% as of June 30, 2017

Participants Valued Only current active employees and retired participants and

covered dependents are valued. No future entrants are

considered in this valuation.

Salary Increase 3.25% per year, since benefits do not depend on salary, this is

used only to allocate the cost of benefits between service

years.

Assumed Wage Inflation 3.0% per year; a component of assumed salary increases

General Inflation Rate 2.75% per year

Healthcare Cost Trend Rates 8.00% as of January 1, 2019, decreasing .50% per year to

5.00% for years 2025 and thereafter

Mortality Improvements Based on data from two published sources (1) the Society of

Actuaries Mortality Improvement Scale MP 2016 and (2) the demographic assumptions used in the 2016 Annual Report of the Board of Trustees of the Federal Old-Age and Survivors

Insurance and Federal Disability Insurance Trust Funds

Medicare Eligibility Absent contrary data, all individuals are assumed to be eligible

for Medicare Part A and Part B at age 65

Discount Rate

The City is currently financing its OPEB liability on a pay-as-you-go basis. The discount rate used in this valuation is based on the Fidelity Muni GO AA 20 Year Bond Index. As of the beginning and end of the Measurement Period, use of this index results in discount rates of 2.92% as of June 30, 2016 and 3.56% as of June 30, 2017.

Changes in the OPEB Liability

The changes in the net OPEB liability for the Plan are as follows:

	Increase (Decrease)		
	Total	Plan	Net
	OPEB	Fiduciary	OPEB
	Liability	Net Position	Liability/(Asset)
	(a)	(b)	(c) = (a) - (b)
Balance at June 30, 2017			
(Measurement Date June 30, 2016)	<u>\$5,284,913</u>	\$	<u>\$5,284,913</u>
Changes recognized for the measurement period:			
Service cost	375,561		375,561
Interest	164,777		164,777
Changes of assumptions	(546,300)		(546,300)
Benefit payments	(34,854)		(34,854)
Net Changes	(40,816)		(40,816)
Balance at June 30, 2018 (Measurement Date June 30, 2017)	<u>\$5,244,097</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$5,244,097</u>

Sensitivity of the Net OPEB Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate

The discount rate used for the fiscal year end 2018 is 3.56%. The following presents the net OPEB liability of the City if it were calculated using a discount rate that is one percentage point lower or one percentage point higher than the current rate, for measurement period ended June 30, 2017:

	1% Decrease (2.56%)	Discount Rate (3.56%)	1% Increase (4.56%)
Total OPEB Liability	\$6,135,368	\$5,244,097	\$4,532,021
Net OPEB Liability	\$6,135,368	\$5,244,097	\$4,532,021

Sensitivity of the net OPEB liability to changes in the healthcare cost trend rates

The healthcare cost trend was assumed to start at 8% (effective January 1, 2019) and grade down to 5% for years 2025 and thereafter. The following presents the net OPEB liability of the City, as well as what the City's net OPEB lability would be if it were calculated using healthcare cost trend rates that are 1-percentage-point lower or 1-percentage-point higher than the current healthcare cost trend rates:

	Current Healthcare Cost			
	1% Decrease	Trend Rates	1% Increase	
Total OPEB Liability	\$4,386,403	\$5,244,097	\$6,658,597	
Net OPEB Liability	\$4,386,403	\$5,244,097	\$6,658,597	

Payable to the OPEB Plan

At June 30, 2018, the City reported a payable of \$0 for the outstanding amount of contributions to the OPEB plan required for the year ended June 30, 2018.

OPEB Expense and Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources Related to OPEB

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2018, the City recognized OPEB expense of \$371,047. As of fiscal year ended June 30, 2018, the City reported deferred outflows and inflows of resources related to OPEB from the following sources.

	Deferred Outflows of Resources	Deferred Inflows of Resources
OPEB contributions subsequent to measurement date Changes of assumptions	\$103,626	\$ _480,639
Total	<u>\$103,626</u>	<u>\$480,639</u>

The \$103,626 reported as deferred outflows of resources related to contributions subsequent to the June 30, 2017 measurement date will be recognized as a reduction of the net OPEB liability during the fiscal year ending June 30, 2019. Other amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources related to OPEB will be recognized as expense as follows:

	Net Deferred
Fiscal Year	Outflows/(Inflows)
Ended June 30:	of Resources
2019	\$ (65,661)
2020	(65,661)
2021	(65,661)
2022	(65,661)
2023	(65,661)
Thereafter	(152,334)
Total	<u>\$(480,639</u>)

NOTE 11 – COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Contingencies

The City participates in grant programs which are governed by various rules and regulations of the grantor agencies. Costs charged to the respective grant programs are subject to audit and adjustment by the grantor agencies; therefore, to the extent that the City has not complied with the rules and regulations governing the grants, refunds of any money received may be required and the collectability of any related receivables may be impaired. In the opinion of the City, there are no significant contingent liabilities relating to compliance with the rules and regulations governing the respective grants; therefore, no provision has been recorded in the accompanying basic financial statements for such contingencies.

Litigation

No reportable litigation was pending against the City at June 30, 2018.

NOTE 12 – CONTINGENT LIABILITIES

The City participates in a number of federally assisted grant programs. Receipts from these grant programs are subject to audit to determine if the monies were expended in accordance with the appropriate statutes, grant terms and regulations. The City believes no significant liabilities would result from any such audits.

NOTE 13 - CUMULATIVE EFFECT OF CHANGE IN ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLE

For the year ended June 30, 2018, the City adopted the provisions of the following Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statements, which is effective for the fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2017.

GASB Statement No. 75 – In June 2015, GASB issued Statement No. 75, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefits other than Pensions. The intension of this Statement is to improve accounting and financial reporting by state and local governments for postemployment benefits other than pensions.

The implementation of the above Statement resulted in the reduction of the beginning net positions of the Governmental Activities by \$4,599,853 and the Business-Type Activities by \$37,150.

REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

CITY OF SELMA Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance – Budget and Actual General Fund

For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

	Budgeted	Amounts		Variance with Final Budget Positive
	Original	Final Actual		(Negative)
	Original			(Tregutive)
Revenues				
Taxes	\$ 8,115,440	\$ 8,115,440	\$ 9,567,973	\$ 1,452,533
Licenses and permits	323,189	323,189	431,819	108,630
Intergovernmental	169,503	169,503	131,797	(37,706)
Charges for services	475,136	475,136	654,224	179,088
Fines	4,000	4,000	23,571	19,571
Franchise fees	741,000	741,000	862,634	121,634
Revenue for use of money and property	27,600	27,600	66,230	38,630
Miscellaneous	30,759	30,759	48,005	17,246
Total revenues	9,886,627	9,886,627	11,786,253	1,899,626
Expenditures				
General government	1,930,560	1,930,560	2,535,155	(604,595)
Public safety	8,587,572	8,587,572	8,777,910	(190,338)
Public works	588,769	587,799	948,724	(360,925)
Community development	410,869	410,869	463,541	(52,672)
Recreation	411,798	411,798	475,882	(64,084)
Capital outlay	31,600	8,970	486,708	(477,738)
Debt service:	31,000	0,770	400,700	(477,730)
Principal Principal	49,886	70,908	282,620	(211,712)
Interest and fiscal charges	31,293	33,871	144,304	(211,712) (110,433)
Total expenditures	12,042,347	12,042,347	14,114,844	(2,072,497)
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over	(2.155.720)	(2.155.720)	(2.220.501)	(172.071)
(under) expenditures	(2,155,720)	(2,155,720)	(2,328,591)	(172,871)
Other financing sources (uses)				
Proceeds from capital leases			482,220	482,220
Operating transfers in	2,300,220	2,300,220	2,451,041	150,821
Operating transfers out	<u>(144,500</u>)	<u>(144,500</u>)	<u>(304,777</u>)	<u>(160,277</u>)
Total other financing sources (uses)	2,155,720	2,155,720	2,628,484	472,764
Net change in fund balance			299,893	299,893
Fund balance, beginning of year	2,463,963	2,463,963	2,463,963	
Fund balance, end of year	<u>\$ 2,463,963</u>	<u>\$ 2,463,963</u>	<u>\$ 2,763,856</u>	<u>\$ 299,893</u>

CITY OF SELMA Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance – Budget and Actual Street LTF For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

	Budgeted Original	l Amounts Final	Actual	Variance with Final Budget Positive (Negative)
_				
Revenues Intergovernmental Revenue for use of money and property Total revenues	\$ 602,477 602,477	\$ 602,477 602,477	\$ 699,523 21,308 720,831	\$ 97,046 21,308 118,354
Expenditures Public works Capital outlay Total expenditures	995,000 995,000	995,000 995,000	3,207 70,847 74,054	(3,207) 924,153 920,946
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures	(392,523)	(392,523)	646,777	1,039,300
Other financing sources (uses) Operating transfers out Total other financing sources (uses)			(130,590) (130,590)	(130,590) (130,590)
Net change in fund balance	(392,523)	(392,523)	516,187	908,710
Fund balance, beginning of year	2,112,180	2,112,180	2,112,180	
Fund balance, end of year	\$1,719,657	<u>\$1,719,657</u>	<u>\$2,628,367</u>	\$ 908,710

Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance – Budget and Actual Street Measure "C" For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

	Budgeted Original	Amounts Final	Actual	Variance with Final Budget Positive (Negative)
	Originar	T IIIdi	1 Totali	(Tregutive)
Revenues Intergovernmental Revenue for use of money and property Total revenues	\$ 633,971 633,971	\$ 633,971 633,971	\$ 651,385 12,166 663,551	\$ 17,414 12,166 29,580
Expenditures Public Works Capital outlay Total expenditures	758,000 758,000	758,000 758,000	47,149 1,278,149 1,325,298	(47,149) (520,149) (567,298)
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures	(124,029)	(124,029)	(661,747)	(537,718)
Other financing sources (uses) Operating transfers out Total other financing sources (uses)			(189,847) (189,847)	(189,847) (189,847)
Net change in fund balance	(124,029)	(124,029)	(851,594)	(727,565)
Fund balance, beginning of year	1,749,281	1,749,281	1,749,281	
Fund balance, end of year	<u>\$1,625,252</u>	<u>\$1,625,252</u>	<u>\$ 897,687</u>	<u>\$(727,565</u>)

Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance – Budget and Actual Police Station Construction For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

	Budgeted Amounts			Variance with Final Budget Positive	
	Original	Final	Actual	(Negative)	
Revenues Revenue for use of money and property Total revenues	\$	\$	\$ 26,854 26,854	\$ 26,854 <u>26,854</u>	
Expenditures Capital outlay Total expenditures			518,829 518,829	_(518,829) _(518,829)	
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures			(491,975)	(491,975)	
Net change in fund balance			(491,975)	(491,975)	
Fund balance, beginning of year	3,973,411	3,973,411	3,973,411		
Fund balance, end of year	\$3,973,411	\$3,973,411	\$3,481,436	\$(491,975)	

Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance – Budget and Actual 2017 Bond PD Station For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

	Budgeted	Amounts		Variance with Final Budget Positive
	Original Final		Actual	(Negative)
Revenues Revenue for use of money and property Total revenues	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$</u>	\$ 36,569 36,569	\$ 36,569 36,569
Expenditures Capital outlay Total expenditures				
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures			36,569	36,569
Other financing sources (uses) Proceeds from general obligation bonds Bond issuance costs Total other financing sources (uses)			4,000,000 (143,760) 3,856,240	4,000,000 (143,760) 3,856,240
Net change in fund balance			3,892,809	3,892,809
Fund balance, beginning of year	(14,864)	(14,864)	(14,864)	
Fund balance, end of year	<u>\$(14,864</u>)	<u>\$(14,864</u>)	<u>\$3,877,945</u>	\$3,892,809

Required Supplementary Information Cost-Sharing Multiple-Employer Defined Benefit Pension Plan – Last 10 Years* For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

Schedule of Plan's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability and Related Ratios as of the Measurement Date

	Miscellaneous					
	2018	2017	2016	2015		
Plan's Proportion of the Net Pensions Liability (Asset)	.17582%	.18473%	.19888%	.07296%		
Plan's Proportion share of the Net Pension Liability (Asset)	\$7,123,581	\$6,417,380	\$5,456,437	\$4,540,156		
Plan's Covered Employee Payroll	\$1,694,454	\$1,609,297	\$1,636,530	\$1,470,465		
Plan's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability						
(Asset) as a Percentage of its Covered-Employee Payroll	420.41%	398.77 %	333.42%	308.76%		
Plan's Proportionate Share of the Fiduciary Net Position						
as a Percentage of the Plan's Total Pension Liability	65.86%	66.99%	71.14%	76.31%		
		Sa	fater			
	2010		ıfety	2015		
	2018	2017	2016	2015		
Plan's Proportion of the Net Pensions Liability (Asset)	.14971%	.13159 %	.12509%	.07659%		
Plan's Proportion share of the Net Pension Liability (Asset)	\$7,915,874	\$6,815,312	\$5,154,581	\$4,765,927		
Plan's Covered Employee Payroll	\$3,505,756	\$2,878,438	\$3,453,182	\$3,379,336		
Plan's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability						
(Asset) as a Percentage of its Covered-Employee Payroll Plan's Proportionate Share of the Fiduciary Net Position	315.51%	236.77%	149.27%	141.03%		
as a Percentage of the Plan's Total Pension Liability	73.95%	75.04%	80.18%	81.42%		

^{*}Fiscal year 2015 was the 1st year of implementation, therefore only four years are shown.

Required Supplementary Information Schedule of Funding Progress PERS Information For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

Schedule Contributions

	Miscellaneous						
		For the Year I	Ended June 30,				
	2018	2017	2016	2015			
Actuarially determined contribution Contributions in relation to the	\$ 619,237	\$ 526,961	\$ 381,662	\$ 367,790			
actuarially determined contributions	(619,237)	(526,961)	(381,662)	(367,790)			
Contribution deficiency (excess)	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0			
Covered-employee payroll	<u>\$1,694,454</u>	\$1,609,297	\$1,636,530	<u>\$1,470,465</u>			
Contributions as a percentage of covered-employee payroll	36.54%	32.74%	23.32%	25.01%			
		Saf	ety .				
		For the Year I	Ended June 30,				
	2018	2017	2016	2015			
Actuarially determined contribution Contributions in relation to the	\$ 840,006	\$ 682,202	\$ 660,953	\$ 655,346			
actuarially determined contributions	(840,006)	(682,202)	(660,953)	(655,346)			
Contribution deficiency (excess)	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	<u>\$</u> 0			
Covered-employee payroll	\$3,505,756	<u>\$2,878,438</u>	<u>\$3,379,336</u>	<u>\$3,379,336</u>			
Contributions as a percentage of covered-employee payroll	23.96%	23.70%	19.14%	19.39%			

Notes to Schedule

The actuarial methods and assumptions used to set the actuarially determined contributions for Fiscal Year 2016-17 were derived from the June 30, 2015 funding valuation report.

Actuarial Cost Method	Entry Age Normal
Amortization Method/Period	For details, see June 30, 2014 Funding Valuation Report
Asset Valuation Method	Actuarial Value of Assets. For details, see June 30, 2014 Funding Valuation Report.
Inflation	2.75%
Salary Increases	Varies by Entry Age and Service
Payroll Growth	3.00%
Investment Rate of Return	7.5%, Net of Pension Plan Investment and Administrative Expenses; Includes
	Inflation.
Retirement Age	The probabilities of Retirement are based on the 2010 CalPERS Experience Study
_	for the period from 1997 to 2007.
Mortality	The probabilities of mortality are based on the 2010 CalPERS Experience Study for
•	the period from 1997 to 2007. Pre-retirement and Post-retirement mortality rates
	include 5 years of projected mortality improvement using Scale AA published by

the Society of Actuaries.

CITY OF SELMA Required Supplementary Information Schedule of Changes in the Net OPEB Liability and Related Ratios

		Measurement Period Ending June 30, 2017
Total OPEB Liability Service cost Interest cost Assumption changes Benefit payments	Net change in total OPEB liability Total OPEB liability – beginning Total OEPB liability – ending (a)	\$ 375,557 164,777 (546,300) (34,854) (40,820) 5,284,913 \$5,244,093
Fiduciary Net Position Employer contributions Benefit payments		\$ 34,854 (34,854) \$ 0
	Net OPEB (asset) liability – ending (a)-(b)	<u>\$5,244,093</u>
	Covered-employee payroll	\$6,169,019
Net OPEB liability as a p	85.01%	

Notes to Schedule:

Changes in Assumptions

The discount rate was changed from 2.92% as of June 30, 2016 to 3.56% as of June 30, 2017, based on the published change in return for the applicable municipal bond index.

Historical information is required only for measurement periods for which GASB 75 is applicable. Future years' information will be displayed up to 10 years as information becomes available.

CITY OF SELMA Required Supplementary Information Schedule of Changes in the Net OPEB Liability and Related Ratios

	Year Ended June 30, 2018
Actuarially Determined Contribution (ADC) Contributions in relation to the ADC Contribution deficiency (excess)	\$ 474,673 (103,626) \$ 371,047
Covered-employee payroll	<u>\$6,169,019</u>
Contributions as a percentage of covered-employee payroll	1.68%

Notes to Schedule:

Actuarial methods and assumptions used to set the actuarially determined contributions for Fiscal Year 2018 were from the June 30, 2018 actuarial valuation.

Methods and assumptions used to determine contributions:

Valuation Date	June 30, 2018
Funding Method	Entry Age Normal Cost, level percent of pay
Asset Valuation Method	Market value of assets (\$0; plan is not yet funded)
Discount Rate	2.92% as of June 30, 2016 3.56% as of June 30, 2017
Participants Valued	Only current active employees and retired participants and covered dependents are valued. No future entrants are considered in this valuation.
Salary Increase	3.25% per year, since benefits do not depend on salary, this is used only to allocate the cost of benefits between service years
Assumed Wage Inflation	3.0% per year; a component of assumed salary increases
General Inflation Rate	2.75% per year

COMBINING STATEMENTS AS SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

CITY OF SELMA Combining Balance Sheet – Nonmajor Governmental Funds June 30, 2018

	Special Revenue Funds	Debt Service Funds	Capital Projects Funds	Total Nonmajor Governmental Funds
Assets				
Cash and investments	\$2,050,238	\$552,512	\$4,825,890	\$7,428,640
Cash with fiscal agent	222 -10	156,214	10.004	156,214
Accounts receivable	232,710		10,224	242,934
Interfund receivables	336,509		116,499	116,499 336,509
Due from other agencies Total assets	\$2,619,457	\$708,726	\$4,952,613	\$8,280,796
Total assets	\$2,017, 43 7	<u>\$700,720</u>	φτ,932,013	<u>Φ0,200,790</u>
Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$ 275,762	\$	\$ 61,484	\$ 337,246
Accrued wages/benefits	67,495			67,495
Interfund payables	323,927		16,758	340,685
Other liabilities	5,000			5,000
Total liabilities	672,184		<u>78,242</u>	<u>750,426</u>
Fund balance				
Restricted for:				
Streets and roads	836,687		1,461,588	2,298,275
Public safety	800,415		, - ,	800,415
Community development	309,196			309,196
Debt service		708,726		708,726
Capital projects			1,895,632	1,895,632
Committed for:				
Streets and roads	21,594			21,594
Community development	30,855			30,855
Recreation	8,956			8,956
Capital projects			355,971	355,971
Assigned for:			1 151 705	1 151 705
Equipment replacement	(60.420)		1,151,725	1,151,725
Unassigned Total fund balance	<u>(60,430)</u> 1,947,273	708,726	9,455 4,874,371	(50,975) 7,530,370
Total fully valative	1,74/,2/3	100,720	4,0/4,3/1	1,550,570
Total liabilities and fund balance	<u>\$2,619,457</u>	<u>\$708,726</u>	<u>\$4,952,613</u>	<u>\$8,280,796</u>

CITY OF SELMA Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance – Nonmajor Governmental Funds For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

				Total
	Special	Debt	Capital	Nonmajor
	Revenue	Service	Projects	Governmental
	Funds	Funds	Funds	Funds
Revenues				
Taxes	\$ 2,579,275	\$	\$	\$ 2,579,275
Intergovernmental	936,855	Ψ	50,500	987,355
Charges for services	400,566		479,053	879,619
Fines	31,044		477,033	31,044
Revenue for use of money and property	13,646	15,245	32,568	61,459
Assessment payments	13,040	414,584	32,300	414,584
Miscellaneous	237,034	11 1,501	671,054	908,088
Total revenues	4,198,420	429,829	1,233,175	5,861,424
Total Tevenues		129,029	1,233,173	<u> </u>
Expenditures	60.040	• • • • •		0.6 = 10
General government	69,842	26,907	105 500	96,749
Public safety	566,822		107,599	674,421
Public works	1,216,712		141,386	1,358,098
Community development	152,405		12,211	164,616
Recreational	700.104		34,594	34,594
Capital outlay	708,124		627,484	1,335,608
Debt service:		210.000	74.276	204.276
Principal		210,000	74,376	284,376
Interest and fiscal charges	2.712.005	98,773	4,146	102,919
Total expenditures	2,713,905	335,680	1,001,796	4,051,381
Excess (deficiency) of revenues				
over (under) expenditures	1,484,515	94,149	231,379	1,810,043
Other financing sources (uses)				
Premium on sale of bonds		131,845		131,845
Sale of capital assets		- ,	14,980	14,980
Operating transfers in	751,849	171,557	205,000	1,128,406
Operating transfers out	(1,739,676)	(171,487)	(70)	(1,911,233)
Total other financing sources (uses)	(987,827)	131,915	219,910	(636,002)
Net change in fund balance	496,688	226,064	451,289	1,174,041
Fund balance, beginning of year	1,450,585	482,662	4,423,082	6,356,329
Fund balance, end of year	<u>\$ 1,947,273</u>	<u>\$ 708,726</u>	<u>\$4,874,371</u>	<u>\$ 7,530,370</u>

CITY OF SELMA Combining Balance Sheet – Nonmajor Special Revenue Funds June 30, 2018

	Redevelopment Administration	Sidewalk Repair Funds	AB 1913 Grant	Street Maintenance CE Fund	Street Gas Tax	Road Maintenance & Rehabilitation	Reg Safe Trans Program (RSTP)	CID Groundwater Surcharge
Assets Cash and investments Accounts receivable Due from other agencies Total assets	\$ <u>50,661</u> <u>50,661</u>	\$21,259 335 21,594	\$57,400	\$274,556 640 275,196	\$545,849	\$94,085 94,085	\$3,667	\$331,658
Liabilities Accounts payable Accrued wages/benefits Interfund payables Other liabilities Total liabilities	1,979 48,682 50,661		3,150	36,259 39,653 5,000 80,912	1,198			
Fund balance Restricted for: Streets and roads Public safety Community development Committed for: Streets and roads Community development Recreation Unassigned		21,594	54,250	194,284	544,651	94,085	3,667	331,658
Total fund balance		21,594	54,250	194,284	544,651	94,085	3,667	331,658
Total liabilities and fund balance	<u>\$50,661</u>	<u>\$21,594</u>	<u>\$57,400</u>	<u>\$275,196</u>	<u>\$545,849</u>	<u>\$94,085</u>	<u>\$3,667</u>	<u>\$331,658</u>

CITY OF SELMA Combining Balance Sheet – Nonmajor Special Revenue Funds June 30, 2018

	CFD 2006-1 Vineyard Estates	CFD 2017 Services	Landscape and Lighting Assessment	Abandoned Vehicle Abatement	CDBG Grant	Recycling Grant	HSIP Grant	Small Business Support Center
Assets Cash and investments Accounts receivable Due from other agencies Total assets	\$30,250	\$910 	\$16,039 16,039	\$4,770 	\$ 15,886 15,886	\$9,142 	\$ 223,879 223,879	\$605
Liabilities Accounts payable Accrued wages/benefits Interfund payables Other liabilities Total liabilities		910	8,394 7,645 16,039		15,886	600	221,158 2,721 223,879	
Fund balance Restricted for: Streets and roads Public safety Community development Committed for: Streets and roads Community development Recreation	30,250			4,770		8,542		605
Unassigned Total fund balance	30,250	<u>—</u>		4,770		8,542		605
Total liabilities and fund balance	<u>\$30,250</u>	<u>\$910</u>	<u>\$16,039</u>	<u>\$4,770</u>	<u>\$15,886</u>	<u>\$9,142</u>	<u>\$223,879</u>	<u>\$605</u>

CITY OF SELMA Combining Balance Sheet – Nonmajor Special Revenue Funds June 30, 2018

	ATP Planning Grant	ATP 17-089	Foreclosed Homes Projects	Sports Hall of Fame	ACT Program	Selma Activities League	Housing Related Parks Program	Citizens Tax Initiative	Total Nonmajor Special Revenue Funds
Assets Cash and investments Accounts receivable Due from other agencies Total assets	\$ <u>97,158</u> <u>97,158</u>	\$	\$28,505 2,191 30,696	\$3,351	\$ <u>41,842</u> <u>41,842</u>	\$5,680	\$	\$622,512 5,665 130,962 759,139	\$2,050,238 232,710 336,509 2,619,457
Liabilities Accounts payable Accrued wages/benefits Interfund payables Other liabilities Total liabilities	69,458 69,458	61,700			300 3,808 37,350 41,458	75 ————————————————————————————————————	88,130 <u>88,130</u>	8,066 10,062 18,128	275,762 67,495 323,927 5,000 672,184
Fund balance Restricted for: Streets and roads Public safety Community development Committed for:		(61,700)	30,696		384			741,011	836,687 800,415 309,196
Streets and roads Community development Recreation Unassigned Total fund balance	27,700 27,700	(61,700)	30,696	3,351	384	5,605 5,605	(88,130) (88,130)	741,011	21,594 30,855 8,956 (60,430) 1,947,273
Total liabilities and fund balance	<u>\$97,158</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$30,696</u>	<u>\$3,351</u>	<u>\$41,842</u>	<u>\$5,680</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$759,139</u>	<u>\$2,619,457</u>

	Traffic Safety	Successor Agency Administration	Public Safety	Sidewalk Repair Funds	AB 1913 Grant	Street Maintenance Fund	Street Gas Tax	Road Maintenance & Rehabilitation
Revenues Taxes Intergovernmental Charges for services	\$	\$	\$ 54,533	\$	\$ 139,416	\$ 174,907	\$ 521,634	\$ 94,085
Fines Revenue for use of money and property Miscellaneous Total revenues	31,044	_50,661 _50,661	54,533	1,155 1,155	139,416	11,220 186,127	6,454	94,085
Expenditures General government Public safety					82,658			
Public works Community development Capital outlay Total expenditures		50,661		765 	26,880 109,538	927,394 <u>748</u> <u>928,142</u>	43,873 <u>61,560</u> 105,433	
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures	31,044		54,533	390	29,878	(742,015)	422,655	94,085
Other financing sources (uses) Operating transfers in Operating transfers out Total other financing sources (uses)	<u>(31,044)</u> <u>(31,044)</u>	_	<u>(54,533)</u> <u>(54,533)</u>			709,072	_(388,635) _(388,635)	
Net change in fund balance				390	29,878	(32,943)	34,020	94,085
Fund balance, beginning of year				21,204	24,372	227,227	510,631	
Fund balance, end of year	\$	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$21,594</u>	<u>\$ 54,250</u>	<u>\$ 194,284</u>	<u>\$ 544,651</u>	<u>\$94,085</u>

	Reg Safe Trans Program (RSTP)	CID Groundwater Surcharge	CFD 2006-1 Vineyard Estates	CFD 2017 Services	Landscape And Lighting Assessment	Abandoned Vehicle Abatement	CDBG Grant	Recycling Grant
Revenues Taxes	\$	\$	\$25,967	\$	\$215,753	\$	\$	\$
Intergovernmental	18,223		\$23,707	Ψ	\$215,755	ý.	322,073	6,523
Charges for services Fines		222,659						
Revenue for use of money and property		2,510						
Miscellaneous Total revenues	18,223	225,169	25,967		215,753		322,073	6,523
Total Tevenues	10,223		23,907		213,733		322,073	0,323
Expenditures General government			6	7,455				
Public safety			3,329	7,433				
Public works					244,680			5.450
Community development Capital outlay	14,556				2,244		322,073	5,452
Total expenditures	14,556		3,335	7,455	246,924		322,073	5,452
Excess (deficiency) of revenues								
over (under) expenditures	3,667	225,169	22,632	(7,455)	(31,171)			1,071
Other financing sources (uses)								
Operating transfers in				7,455	31,171			
Operating transfers out Total other financing sources (uses)				7,455	31,171			
•			<u> </u>					
Net change in fund balance	3,667	225,169	22,632					1,071
Fund balance, beginning of year		106,489	7,618			4,770		7,471
Fund balance, end of year	<u>\$ 3,667</u>	<u>\$331,658</u>	<u>\$30,250</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$4,770</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$8,542</u>

	Avoid Grant	ABC Grant	HSIP Grant	Small Business Support Center	DOJ Brynes Grant	ATP Planning Grant	ATP 17-089	Foreclosed Homes Project
Revenues Taxes	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Intergovernmental Charges for services Fines	13,936	Ψ	227,029	Ţ,	4,862	100,708	V	3,000
Revenue for use of money and property Miscellaneous								12,724
Total revenues	13,936		227,029		4,862	100,708		15,724
Expenditures General government Public safety	13,936	197			4,862			4,039
Public works	15,750	29,			.,002		ć4 = 00	
Community development Capital outlay			227,029			34,592	61,700	
Total expenditures	13,936	197	227,029		4,862	34,592	61,700	4,039
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures		(197)				66,116	(61,700)	11,685
Other financing sources (uses) Operating transfers in Operating transfers out						4,151		
Total other financing sources (uses)						4,151		
Net change in fund balance		(197)				70,267	(61,700)	11,685
Fund balance, beginning of year		<u>197</u>		605		(42,567)		19,011
Fund balance, end of year	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$605</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$ 27,700</u>	<u>\$(61,700</u>)	<u>\$30,696</u>

	Sports Hall of Fame	CHRP Grant	ACT Program	Citizens Tax Initiative	Housing Related Parks Program	Citizens Tax Initiative	Total Nonmajor Special Revenue Funds
Revenues							
Taxes	\$	\$	\$	\$ 10,000	\$	\$ 1,761,388	\$ 2,579,275
Intergovernmental Charges for services				10,000			936,855 400,566
Fines							31,044
Revenue for use of money and property						4,682	13,646
Miscellaneous			<u>142,968</u>	10.000		18,306	237,034
Total revenues			142,968	10,000		1,784,376	4,198,420
Expenditures							
General government				4,395	53,947		69,842
Public safety			155,655			306,185	566,822
Public works							1,216,712
Community development Capital outlay					34,183	18,851	152,405 708,124
Total expenditures			155,655	4,395	88,130	325,036	2,713,905
•					· 		
Excess (deficiency) of revenues							
over (under) expenditures			(12,687)	5,605	(88,130)	1,459,340	1,484,515
Other financing sources (uses)							
Operating transfers in							751,849
Operating transfers out		<u>(381</u>)				(1,265,083)	(1,739,676)
Total other financing sources (uses)		<u>(381</u>)				(1,265,083)	(987,827)
Net change in fund balance		(381)	(12,687)	5,605	(88,130)	194,257	496,688
Fund balance, beginning of year	3,351	<u>381</u>	13,071			546,754	1,450,585
Fund balance, end of year	<u>\$3,351</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$ 384</u>	<u>\$ 5,605</u>	<u>\$(88,130</u>)	<u>\$ 741,011</u>	<u>\$ 1,947,273</u>

CITY OF SELMA Combining Balance Sheet – Nonmajor Debt Service Funds June 30, 2018

	1994 Debt Service	Assessment 91-2 Highland Debt Service	Assessment 92-1 Dancer II Debt Service	Assessment 92-1 Dancer III Debt Service	Assessment 92-1 Watermain Debt Service
Assets Cash and investments Cash with fiscal agent Total assets	\$ 87,215 119,021 206,236	\$83,646 <u>83,646</u>	\$19,783 19,783	\$22,515 22,515	\$17,579 17,579
Liabilities Accounts payable Total liabilities					
Fund balance Restricted for: Debt service Total fund balance	206,236 206,236	83,646 83,646	19,783 19,783	22,515 22,515	17,579 17,579
Total liabilities and fund balance	<u>\$206,236</u>	<u>\$83,646</u>	<u>\$19,783</u>	<u>\$22,515</u>	<u>\$17,579</u>

CITY OF SELMA Combining Balance Sheet – Nonmajor Debt Service Funds June 30, 2018

	Assessment 93-1 Briarwood/ Vineyard Debt Service	2017 Police Station Debt Service	2014 Assessment Bond	Total Nonmajor Debt Service Funds
Assets				
Cash and investments	\$36,763	\$285,011	\$	\$552,512
Cash with fiscal agent			37,193	156,214
Total assets	36,763	285,011	37,193	708,726
Liabilities				
Accounts payable				
Total liabilities				
Fund balance				
Restricted for:				
Debt service	36,763	285,011	37,193	708,726
Total fund balance	36,763	285,011	37,193	708,726
Total liabilities and fund balance	<u>\$36,763</u>	\$285,011	<u>\$37,193</u>	<u>\$708,726</u>

CITY OF SELMA Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance – Nonmajor Debt Service Funds For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

	1994 Debt Service	Assessment 91-2 Highland Debt Service	Assessment 92-1 Dancer II Debt Service	Assessment 92-1 Dancer III Debt Service
Revenues Intergovernmental Revenue for use of money and property Assessment Payments Total revenues	\$ 12,992 12,992	\$ <u>82,454</u> 82,454	\$ <u>24,474</u> 24,474	\$ <u>22,928</u> <u>22,928</u>
Expenditures General government Debt Service: Principal	22,931	1,025	811	714
Interest and fiscal charges Total expenditures	22,931	1,025	811	714
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures	(9,939)	81,429	23,663	22,214
Other financing sources (uses) Operating transfers in Operating transfers out Total other financing sources (uses)		<u>(76,998)</u> <u>(76,998)</u>	(23,009) (23,009)	(21,562) (21,562)
Net change in fund balance	(9,939)	4,431	654	652
Fund balance, beginning of year	216,175	79,215	19,129	21,863
Fund balance, end of year	<u>\$206,236</u>	<u>\$ 83,646</u>	<u>\$ 19,783</u>	<u>\$ 22,515</u>

CITY OF SELMA Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance – Nonmajor Debt Service Funds For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

	Assessment 91-2 Watermain Debt Service	Assessment 93-1 Briarwood/ Vineyard Debt Service	2017 Police Station Debt Service	2014 Assessment Bond	Total Nonmajor Debt Service Funds
Revenues Revenue for use of money and property Assessment payments Total revenues	\$ <u>20,513</u> <u>20,513</u>	\$ <u>32,708</u> <u>32,708</u>	\$ 2,157 <u>231,507</u> <u>233,664</u>	\$ 96 <u>96</u>	\$ 15,245 <u>414,584</u> <u>429,829</u>
Expenditures General government Debt Service:	577	849		210.000	26,907
Principal Interest and fiscal charges Total expenditures	577	849	80,498 80,498	210,000 18,275 228,275	210,000 <u>98,773</u> 335,680
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures	19,936	31,859	153,166	(228,179)	94,149
Other financing sources (uses) Premium on sale of bonds Operating transfers in Operating transfers out Total other financing sources (uses)	(19,315) (19,315)	(30,603) (30,603)	131,845	171,557	131,845 171,557 (171,487) 131,915
Net change in fund balance	621	1,256	285,011	(56,622)	226,064
Fund balance, beginning of year	16,958	35,507		93,815	482,662
Fund balance, end of year	<u>\$ 17,579</u>	<u>\$ 36,763</u>	\$285,011	<u>\$ 37,193</u>	<u>\$ 708,726</u>

CITY OF SELMA Combining Balance Sheet – Nonmajor Capital Projects Funds June 30, 2018

	Equipment Replacement	Development Impact Streets & Traffic	Development Impact Police Facility	Development Impact Fire Facility	Development Impact City Facilities	Development Impact Storm Drain	Development Impact Sewer
Assets Cash and investments Accounts receivable Due from other funds Total assets	\$1,175,656 1,175,656	\$1,187,655 61,700 1,249,355	\$	\$36,639	\$327,176 3,200 330,376	\$86,250 86,250	\$729,170 729,170
Liabilities Accounts payable Due to other funds Total liabilities	23,931		1,120 <u>9,854</u> 10,974	6,858			
Fund balance Restricted for: Streets and roads Capital projects Committed for: Capital projects Assigned for: Equipment replacement	1,151,725	1,249,355	(10,974)	29,781	330,376	86,250	729,170
Unassigned Total fund balance	1,151,725	1,249,355	(10,974)	29,781	330,376	86,250	729,170
Total liabilities and fund balance	<u>\$1,175,656</u>	<u>\$1,249,355</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$36,639</u>	<u>\$330,376</u>	<u>\$86,250</u>	<u>\$729,170</u>

CITY OF SELMA Combining Balance Sheet – Nonmajor Capital Projects Funds June 30, 2018

	Development Impact Parks and Recreation	Long Range Planning Fee	Development Impact Public Use Facilities	Development Impact Waste Water Collection	Development Impact Public Facilities	Development Impact Open Space Acquisition	City Hall Construction
Assets Cash and investments Accounts receivable Due from other funds Total assets	\$530,549 8,582 51,599 590,730	\$309,603	\$67,235 67,235	\$29,987	\$38,394	\$4,683	\$19,764
Total assets	<u> 390,730</u>	309,603	67,233	<u> 29,987</u>	<u>38,394</u>	4,083	19,764
Liabilities Accounts payable Due to other funds Total liabilities							
Fund balance Restricted for: Streets and roads Capital projects Committed for:	590,730		67,235	29,987	38,394	4,683	
Capital projects Assigned for: Equipment replacement		309,603					19,764
Unassigned Total fund balance	590,730	309,603	67,235	29,987	38,394	4,683	19,764
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$590,730	<u>\$309,603</u>	<u>\$67,235</u>	<u>\$29,987</u>	<u>\$38,394</u>	<u>\$4,683</u>	<u>\$19,764</u>

CITY OF SELMA Combining Balance Sheet – Nonmajor Capital Projects Funds June 30, 2018

	Amberwood Project	Tutelian Project	Selma Crossing Project	Caltrans Mitigation Fund	Capital Projects Parks	Total Nonmajor Capital Projects Funds
Assets Cash and investments Accounts receivable Due from other funds	\$29,058	\$28,606 1,642	\$	\$212,233	\$13,232	\$4,825,890 10,224 116,499
Total assets	29,058	30,248		212,233	13,232	4,952,613
Liabilities Accounts payable Due to other funds Total liabilities	2,454	6,881 3,200 10,081	3,704 3,704		20,240	61,484 16,758 78,242
Fund balance Restricted for: Streets and roads Capital projects Committed for: Capital projects	26,604			212,233		1,461,588 1,895,632 355,971
Assigned for: Equipment replacement Unassigned Total fund balance	26,604	<u>20,167</u> <u>20,167</u>	(3,704) (3,704)	212,233	(7,008) (7,008)	1,151,725 9,455 4,874,371
Total liabilities and fund balance	<u>\$29,058</u>	<u>\$30,248</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$212,233</u>	<u>\$13,232</u>	<u>\$4,952,613</u>

CITY OF SELMA Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance – Nonmajor Capital Projects Funds For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

	Equipment Replacement	Development Impact Streets & Traffic	Development Impact Police Facility	Development Impact Fire Facility	Development Impact City Facilities	Development Impact Storm Drain	Development Impact Sewer
Revenues	\$ 50,500	¢	\$	ø	¢.	\$	\$
Intergovernmental Charges for services	\$ 50,500 63,878	\$ 216,949	12,187	\$ 10,575	\$ 36,157	50,214	5,785
Revenue for use of money & property	8,141	9,477	12,107	10,373	2,476	30,214	5,725
Miscellaneous	627,904	7,477			2,470	6,800	3,723
Total revenues	750,423	226,426	12,187	10,575	38,633	57,014	11,510
Expenditures							
Public safety	80,288		27,311				
Public works	,	48,348	,			38,477	54,561
Community development							
Recreation Capital outlay	244,119			69,435		69,800	
Debt Service:	244,119			09,433		09,800	
Principal	36,962		12,081	25,333			
Interest and fiscal charges	2,784		1,362	20,000			
Total expenditures	364,153	48,348	40,754	94,768		108,277	54,561
Excess (deficiency) of revenues							
over (under) expenditures	386,270	178,078	(28,567)	(84,193)	38,633	(51,263)	(43,051)
Other financing sources (uses)							
Sale of capital assets	14,980						
Operating transfers in	100,000						
Operating transfers out							
Total other financing sources (uses)	114,980						
Net change in fund balance	501,250	178,078	(28,567)	(84,193)	38,633	(51,263)	(43,051)
Fund balance, beginning of year	650,475	1,071,277	17,593	113,974	291,743	137,513	772,221
Fund balance, end of year	<u>\$1,151,725</u>	<u>\$1,249,355</u>	<u>\$(10,974</u>)	<u>\$ 29,781</u>	<u>\$330,376</u>	<u>\$ 86,250</u>	<u>\$729,170</u>

CITY OF SELMA Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance – Nonmajor Capital Projects Funds For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

	Development Impact Parks and Recreation	Long Range Planning Fee	Development Impact Public Use Facilities	Development Impact Waste Water Collection	Development Impact Public Facilities	Development Impact Open Space Acquisition	Construction 97-2 Theater
Revenues Intergovernmental Charges for services Revenue for use of money & property Miscellaneous	\$ 8,585 4,406	\$ 15,432 2,343	\$ 1,120	\$ 5,633	\$ 1,318	\$ 980	\$
Total revenues	12,991	17,775	1,120	5,633	1,318	980	
Expenditures Public safety Public works Community development Recreation Capital outlay Debt Service: Principal Interest and fiscal charges Total expenditures							
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures	12,991	17,775	1,120	5,633	1,318	980	
Other financing sources (uses) Sale of capital assets Operating transfers in Operating transfers out Total other financing sources (uses)				<u>—</u>		<u>—</u>	<u>(70)</u> <u>(70)</u>
Net change in fund balance	12,991	17,775	1,120	5,633	1,318	980	(70)
Fund balance, beginning of year	577,739	291,828	66,115	24,354	37,076	3,703	70
Fund balance, end of year	\$590,730	\$309,603	<u>\$67,235</u>	<u>\$29,987</u>	<u>\$38,394</u>	<u>\$4,683</u>	<u>\$</u>

CITY OF SELMA Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance – Nonmajor Capital Projects Funds For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

	City Hall Construction	Amberwood Project	Tutelian Project	Selma Crossing Project	Caltrans Mitigation Fund	Capital Project- Parks	Total Nonmajor Capital Projects Funds
Revenues Intergovernmental Charges for services Revenue for use of money & property	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 50,240	\$	\$ 50,500 479,053 32,568
Miscellaneous Total revenues			36,350 36,350		50,240		52,368 <u>671,054</u> <u>1,233,175</u>
Expenditures Public safety Public works Community development Recreation Capital outlay Debt Service:			10,099	2,112		34,594 244,130	107,599 141,386 12,211 34,594 627,484
Principal Interest and fiscal charges Total expenditures		_	10,099	2,112		278,724	74,376 4,146 1,001,796
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures			26,251	(2,112)	50,240	(278,724)	231,379
Other financing sources (uses) Sale of capital assets Operating transfers in Operating transfers out Total other financing sources (uses)	_	=		_		105,000	14,980 205,000 (70) 219,910
Net change in fund balance			26,251	(2,112)	50,240	(173,724)	451,289
Fund balance, beginning of year	19,764	26,604	(6,084)	(1,592)	161,993	<u>166,716</u>	4,423,082
Fund balance, end of year	<u>\$19,764</u>	<u>\$26,604</u>	<u>\$20,167</u>	<u>\$(3,704</u>)	<u>\$212,233</u>	<u>\$ (7,008</u>)	<u>\$4,874,371</u>

CITY OF SELMA Combining Statement of Net Position Nonmajor Enterprise Funds June 30, 2018

	Pioneer Village	Cultural Arts	Total Nonmajor Enterprise Funds
Assets			
Current assets			
Cash and investments	\$ 96,347	\$	\$ 96,347
Accounts receivable, net	1,880	<u>3,950</u>	5,830
Total current assets	98,227	<u>3,950</u>	102,177
Noncurrent assets:			
Capital assets:			
Machinery and equipment	278,882	3,515	282,397
Less: accumulated depreciation	(263,292)	<u>(3,515</u>)	<u>(266,807)</u>
Total noncurrent assets	<u>15,590</u>		<u>15,590</u>
Total assets	113,817	3,950	117,767
Deferred outflows of resources:			
Deferred outflows related to pensions	4,504	3,515	8,019
Deferred outflows related to OPEB	121	94	215
Total deferred outflow of resources	4,625	3,609	8,234
Liabilities Current liabilities:			
Accounts payable	1,304	5,916	7,220
Accrued wages/benefits	952	680	1,632
Interfund payables		6,476	<u>6,476</u>
Total current liabilities	<u>2,256</u>	13,072	<u>15,328</u>
Noncurrent liabilities:			
Net pension liability	17,461	13,626	31,087
Net other post-employment benefits liability	6,090	4,751	<u>10,841</u>
Total noncurrent liabilities	23,551	18,377	41,928
Total liabilities	25,807	31,449	57,256
Deferred inflows of resources			
Deferred inflows related to pensions	109	85	194
Deferred inflows related to OPEB	558	435	993
Total deferred inflow of resources	<u>667</u>	520	1,187
Net position			
Invested in capital assets, net of related debt	15,590		15,590
Unrestricted	76,378	(24,410)	51,968
Total net position	<u>\$ 91,968</u>	<u>\$(24,410</u>)	<u>\$ 67,558</u>

CITY OF SELMA

Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Position Nonmajor Enterprise Funds For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

	Pioneer Village	Cultural Arts	Total Nonmajor Enterprise Funds
Operating Revenues			
Charges for services	\$ 336	\$ 20,022	\$ 20,358
Rents	22,274	26,551	48,825
Miscellaneous	6,540	100,609	107,149
Total operating revenues	<u>29,150</u>	147,182	<u>176,332</u>
Operating Expenses			
Personnel services	10,947	31,386	42,333
Services and supplies	58,852	124,357	183,209
Other internal services	3,762	33,101	36,863
Depreciation	1,215		1,215
Total operating expenses	74,776	188,844	263,620
Operating income (loss)	<u>(45,626</u>)	(41,662)	(87,288)
Income (loss) before transfers	<u>(45,626</u>)	(41,662)	(87,288)
Transfers			
Transfers in	<u>15,000</u>	42,000	<u>57,000</u>
Changes in net position	(30,626)	338	(30,288)
Net position (deficit), beginning of year	128,689	(19,991)	108,698
Restatement for accounting change	(6,095)	(4,757)	_(10,852)
Net position (deficit), end of year	<u>\$ 91,968</u>	<u>\$ (24,410</u>)	<u>\$ 67,558</u>

CITY OF SELMA Combining Statement of Net Position – Internal Service Funds June 30, 2018

	Insurance	Fleet Management	Building & Utility	General Overhead	Information Processing	Total Internal Service Funds
Assets						
Current assets:						
Cash and investments	\$261,959	\$526,153	\$426,034	\$55,890	\$312,936	\$1,582,972
Accounts receivable, net	38,892	506 150	126.024	55,000	212.026	38,892
Total current assets	300,851	526,153	426,034	55,890	312,936	1,621,864
Noncurrent assets:						
Capital assets:						
Machinery and equipment			38,400		10,052	48,452
Less: Accumulated depreciation			<u>(4,858</u>)		(3,853)	(8,711)
Total noncurrent assets			33,542		6,199	39,741
Total assets	300,851	526,153	459,576	55,890	319,135	1,661,605
D.C. 1. (C						
Deferred outflows of resources:		80,511	72.422		330	154,264
Deferred outflows related to pensions Deferred outflows related to OPEB		2,151 2,151	73,423 1,961		8	4,120
Total deferred outflow of resources		82,662	75,384		338	158,384
Total deferred outlies, of resources		02,002	<u></u>			130,301
Liabilities						
Current liabilities:						
Accounts payable	5,777	30,551	10,329	103	5,817	52,577
Accrued wages/benefits		3,383	2,609		1,546	7,538
Current portion of capital leases		22.024	12.020	102	<u>19,963</u>	19,963
Total current liabilities	5,777	33,934	12,938	<u>103</u>	<u>27,326</u>	80,078
Noncurrent liabilities:						
Capital leases					73,652	73,652
Net pension liability		312,114	284,637		1,277	598,028
Net other post-employment benefits liability		108,831	99,250		447	208,528
Total noncurrent liabilities		420,945	383,887		<u>75,376</u>	880,208
Total liabilities	5,777	454,879	396,825	103	102,702	960,286
Deferred inflows of resources:		1,941	1 770		7	2.710
Deferred inflow of pensions Deferred inflow related to OPEB		9,97 <u>5</u>	1,770 9,097		7 40	3,718 19,112
Total deferred inflow of resources		11,916	10,867		47	22,830
Total deterred lillow of resources			10,007		<u></u>	
Net position:						
Invested in capital assets, net of related debt			33,542		6,199	39,741
Unrestricted	295,074	142,020	93,726	55,787	210,525	797,132
	0007.07/	44.42.020	0407.060	0 -0	0046 704	* • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Total net position	<u>\$295,074</u>	<u>\$142,020</u>	<u>\$127,268</u>	<u>\$55,787</u>	<u>\$216,724</u>	<u>\$ 836,873</u>

CITY OF SELMA Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Position— Internal Service Funds For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

	Insurance	Fleet Management	Building & Utility	General Overhead	Information Processing	Total Internal Service Funds
Operating Revenues						
Charges for services	\$1,075,603	\$ 747,818	\$410,542	\$14,964	\$394,215	\$2,643,142
Miscellaneous	137	223		<u>681</u>	98,195	99,236
Total operating revenues	1,075,740	748,041	410,542	15,645	492,410	2,742,378
Operating Expenses						
Personnel services		170,412	118,963		4,653	294,028
Services and supplies		437,816	106,057	15,326	411,140	970,339
Other internal services	969,807					969,807
Depreciation			3,840		2,010	5,850
Total operating expenses	969,807	608,228	228,860	<u>15,326</u>	417,803	2,240,024
Operating income (loss)	105,933	139,813	181,682	319	74,607	502,354
Nonoperating revenues (expenses)						
Interest income		3,218	2,361		(1.60.4)	5,579
Interest expense		2.210	2261		<u>(1,684)</u>	<u>(1,684</u>)
Total nonoperating revenues (expenses)		3,218	2,361		<u>(1,684)</u>	<u>3,895</u>
Changes in net position	105,933	143,031	184,043	319	72,923	506,249
Net position, beginning of year	189,141	107,943	42,588	55,468	144,247	539,387
Restatement for Accounting Change		(108,954)	(99,363)		(446)	(208,763)
Net position, end of year	<u>\$ 295,074</u>	<u>\$ 142,020</u>	<u>\$127,268</u>	<u>\$55,787</u>	<u>\$216,724</u>	<u>\$ 836,873</u>

CITY OF SELMA Combining Statement of Fiduciary Assets and Liabilities – Agency Funds June 30, 2018

	Finance Clearing	Planning Clearing	Senior Citizens Clearing	Police Department Clearing	Graffiti Reward Fund	Total Agency Funds
Assets						
Cash and investments	\$157,529	\$20,999	\$18,630	\$19,501	\$700	\$217,359
Accounts receivable	<u>2,718</u>					<u>2,718</u>
Total assets	<u>160,247</u>	20,999	<u>18,630</u>	<u>19,501</u>	<u>700</u>	220,077
Liabilities						
Accounts payable	158,897	1,168				160,065
Deposits	1,350	3,045				4,395
Other		<u>16,786</u>	<u>18,630</u>	<u>19,501</u>	<u>700</u>	<u>55,617</u>
Total liabilities	<u>160,247</u>	20,999	<u>18,630</u>	<u>19,501</u>	<u>700</u>	220,077
Net Position:						
Total net position	\$	\$	\$	\$	<u>\$</u>	\$

OTHER INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORTS



3148 Willow Avenue, Suite 102 Clovis, California 93612-4739 (559) 291-0277 • FAX (559) 291-6411

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

The Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council City of Selma Selma, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Selma, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2018, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City of Selma's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated March 11, 2019.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City of Selma's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City of Selma's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City of Selma's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council City of Selma Selma, California

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City of Selma's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Sampson, Sampson & Patterson, LLP

Clovis, California March 11, 2019

March 18, 2019

ITEM NO:

4.

SUBJECT:

Consideration of award of contract to Katch Environmental for the Police Station Project

BACKGROUND:

On January 22, 2019, the City Council directed Staff to rebid the Police Station Project (the "Project") with six alternates i.e., enhanced features/improvements, and to seek additional funding sources for the estimated increase in construction costs.

Vanir Construction, the City's construction manager for the Project, estimated that the total cost for the rebid would be between three and ten percent above the original estimate. This equated to between \$238,560 and \$795,200, for an estimated Project cost of between \$8,190,560 to \$8,747,200. Total Project costs would range between \$9,277,350 and \$9,878,496, which is between \$2,009,964 and \$2,611,125 more than what is available to cover the costs for the Project.

The City is seeking additional funding sources to cover the increase in the costs.

DISCUSSION:

Bids were opened on March 14, 2019, and the results were as follows:

BASE BID	\$7,997,000.00
ALTERNATES	
Alternate No 1 - Community Room	\$182,936.00
Alternate No 2 - Auto Sensors	\$21,189.00
Alternate No 3 - Computer Room Gas Syst.	\$35,063.00
Alternate No 4 - Reduction of Sally port fencing	\$3,856.00
Alternate No 5 - Covered Sally Port	\$142,641.00
Alternate No 6 - Fencing	\$156,515.00
Alternate No 7 - Plaster b/w € bldg. & new	\$51,483.00
Alternate No 8 - Reduction of Landscaping	\$10,402.00

Katch Environmental's bid, above, totals \$8,601,085. It was the only bid submitted.

Staff recommends awarding the contract to the lowest responsive/responsible bidder, Katch Environmental. A contract will be awarded for the base bid and add alternative numbers one (Community Room) and five (Covered Sally Port). All remaining alternates not selected may be addressed in the future as separate projects.

FINANCIAL ANALYSIS:

Initial funding received for this Project was \$8,000,000, which consisted of a \$4,000,000 grant from the State and a \$4,000,000 voter approved bond issued in July 2017. As part of the bond's legal requirements, these funds must be spent within three years from the issuance date. If the Project is award, it is expected that the proposed construction schedule of the Project will allow the City to comply with this requirement.

To date, \$740,031 has been spent on the Project, which includes costs associated with architectural and engineering fees, along with other related Project costs.

The funding sources for the \$740,031 spent so far have been expensed from two sources. The first is the Police Development Impact Fund in the amount of \$95,767. The second is the State Appropriation Fund in the amount of \$644,264. In addition to these Project costs, \$158,624 was used related to the cost of issuance of the General Obligation Bond.

As of the date of this report, \$7,324,350 remains in restricted funds for the Project.

Further, in addition to construction costs, the payment to the architect is based on overall Project cost. Depending on the final construction cost, the additional amount due to the architect may total between \$119,245 to \$283,696.

The construction bid for the base plus two alternates is \$8,322,577. The financial analysis of this amount and the remaining construction cost are as follows:

BASE BID	7,997,000
Alternate No 1 - Community Room	182,936
Alternate No 5 - Covered Sally port	142,641
	8,322,577
5% Contingency	416,129
Total Construction Cost	8,738,706
Construction Cost w/ 5% contingency	\$ 8,738,706
Architectural and Engineering Services Estimate	\$ 531,296
Security System and IT	\$ 350,000
Other Project Cost Estimate	\$ 719,930
Total Project Cost:	\$ 10,339,932
Available Project Funds	\$ 7,324,350
Variance	\$ (3,015,582)

The City is seeking additional funding of \$3.0 million from grant/awards to complete the budget. If the grants/awards do not materialize, the City may use available City funds from the Ambulance Services Fund and Measure S. The Ambulance Service Fund is an Enterprise fund with a discretionary fund balance that can be transferred to this Project. Measure S is a restricted Revenue Fund but this Project qualifies as a permitted expense.

Staff recommends encumbering \$2.8 million from the Ambulance Services Fund and \$215,582 from Measure S for this Project so that it may proceed with the Police Station Project and award of construction contract to Katch Environmental.

COST: (Enter cost of item to be purchased)	BUDGET IMPACT: (Enter amount this non-budgeted item will impact this years' budget – if budgeted, enter NONE).
FUNDING: (Enter the funding source for this item – if fund exists, enter the balance in the fund).	ON-GOING COST: (Enter the amount that will need to be budgeted each year – if one-time cost, enter NONE).
Funding Source:	
Fund Balance:	

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends that Council: 1) award construction contract to Katch Environment for the Police Station Project in the amount of \$8,322,577, which is the bid amount for the Project and add-on alternates one and five, and 2) encumber \$3,015,582 from the Ambulance Services Fund and Measure S to the Project in case other funding sources do not become available.

Hallovan	
okallavan	March 15, 2019_
Teresa Gallavan, City Manager	Date

CITY MANAGER'S/STAFF'S REPORT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING.

March 18, 2019

ITEM NO:

5.

SUBJECT:

Consideration of a Resolution approving a request for a fee waiver for the Selma Chamber of Commerce's Annual Raisin Festival event.

DISCUSSION: The Selma Chamber of Commerce has submitted a request to waive fees associated with the annual Raisin Festival event.

Fees associated with this event include Special Events Permit, Park Rental, Facility Use Rental, Street Closure Permit, Sound Permit and Barricade Fees which total Two Thousand Four Hundred and Seventeen Dollars \$2,417.00. The cost for staff time is Six Thousand Five Hundred and Fifty-Seven Dollars \$6,557.00. The total waiver request is Eight Thousand Nine Hundred and Seventy-Four Dollars (\$8,974.00).

This will be the fortieth annual event and it will take place on May 1 - 5, 2019 at Lincoln Park.

The fee waiver serves a public purpose by bringing many residents and individuals from neighboring communities to the City's Lincoln Park, thereby serving as a vehicle to bring additional revenue to the City. The annual Raisin Festival event is also a means by which the City can showcase Lincoln Park.

RECOMMENDATION: Consider request from the Selma

Consider request from the Selma District Chamber of Commerce to Waive fees for the Annual Raisin Festival.

Teresa Gallavan, City Manager

March 13, 2019_

Date

RESOLUTION NO. 2019 – R

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SELMA, CALIFORNIA, APPROVING A REQUEST FOR A FEE WAIVER FOR THE SELMA CHAMBER OF COMMERCE'S RAISIN FESTIVAL EVENT

WHEREAS, the Selma District Chamber of Commerce ("Chamber") requested that the City Council waive fees associated with its Raisin Festival event to be held on May 1-5, 2019; and

WHEREAS, the total fees associated with the Raisin Festival event are Eight Thousand Nine Hundred and Seventy-Four Dollars (\$8,974.00), which includes the fees for the special events permit, park rental, facility use rental, sound permit, street closure permit, barricades and staff time; and

WHEREAS, the total amount the Chamber is requesting the City Council to waive is Eight Thousand Nine Hundred and Seventy-Four Dollars (\$8,974.00); and

WHEREAS, while the City is proposing to waive is Eight Thousand Nine Hundred and Seventy-Four Dollars (\$8,974.00) associated with the Raisin Festival event, the Chamber is still required to comply with all other provisions of the City's Municipal Code; and

WHEREAS, the Chamber is a valuable community partner, and consistently works with the City to promote community events, provide resources for a stronger economy, promote local business growth, and create and maintain a sense of community pride. The fee waiver serves a public purpose in that it aims to attract many residents and individuals from neighboring communities to the City's Lincoln Park, thereby serving as a vehicle to bring additional revenue to the City. The Raisin Festival event is also a means by which the City can showcase its Lincoln Park.

NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SELMA DOES HEREBY RESOLVE AS FOLLOWS:

<u>SECTION 1.</u> The above recitals are true and correct and are incorporated herein by reference.

SECTION 2. The Chamber is a valuable community partner, and consistently works with the City to promote community events, provide resources for a stronger economy, promote local business growth, and create and maintain a sense of community pride. The fee waiver serves a public purpose in that it aims to bring many residents and individuals from neighboring communities to the City's downtown, thereby serving as a vehicle to bring additional revenue to the City. The Raisin Festival event is also a means by which the City can showcase its Lincoln Park.

SECTION 3. The City Council hereby approves the fee waiver for fees associated with the Raisin Festival event in the amount of Eight Thousand Nine Hundred and Seventy-Four Dollars (\$8,974.00).

SECTION 4. The Chamber shall comply with the City's Municipal Code during the Raisin Festival event, and provide the City with all information required by City staff, including, but not limited to, the following:

- 1. Proof of insurance with the City named as additional insured.
- 2. Indemnification of the City.

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

AYES:

<u>SECTION 5.</u> The provisions of this Resolution are severable and if any provision, clause, sentence, word or part thereof is held illegal, invalid, unconstitutional, or inapplicable to any person or circumstances, such illegality, invalidity, unconstitutionality, or inapplicability shall not affect or impair any of the remaining provisions, clauses, sentences, sections, words or parts thereof of the Resolution or their applicability to other persons or circumstances.

<u>SECTION 6.</u> That the City Clerk shall certify to the adoption of this Resolution and that the same shall be in full force and effect.

PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED this 18th day of March, 2019, by the following vote:

TITEO.	COUNCILIVIE MEDITO.	
NOES:	COUNCILMEMBERS:	
ABSTAIN:	COUNCILMEMBERS:	
ABSENT:	COUNCILMEMBERS:	
		Scott Robertson, Mayor
ATTEST:		
Reyna River	a, City Clerk	

Reyna Rivera

From:

Chamber Director

Sent:

Wednesday, March 6, 2019 12:17 PM

To:

Reyna Rivera Waiver request

Subject: Attachments:

scan20190306120811.pdf

The Selma District Chamber of Commerce is requesting waiver of fees for the Annual Raisin Festival. This event promotes the City of Selma, local non-profits and the community by providing a family oriented celebration that keeps people in Selma and brings the neighboring communities to Selma. This is the 40th year this event has been sponsored by the Selma Chamber and the City of Selma. If you have any questions please feel free to call Bob Allen, 891-2235

CITY MANAGER'S/STAFF'S REPORT CITY COUNCIL MEETING:

March, 18, 2019

ITEM NO: 6

SUBJECT: Consideration of a Professional Services Agreement with Pinnacle Training

Systems, LLC, to provide health and wellness services to Fire Department

personnel

DISCUSSION: Fire Department staff have been working on the implementation of a health and wellness program that would enhance the physical and mental wellbeing of department personnel. Fire Department personnel are routinely exposed to environments that place a great deal of physical and mental stress on a person's body.

Annually, the leading cause of firefighter line of duty deaths (LODD) is attributed to heart attacks and stroke and firefighters are 3.5 times more likely to suffer a cardiac event compared to the general public.

A health and wellness program offers many benefits to the employee and the organization. Employees who are more physically active have increased energy levels and are less likely to develop medical conditions such as diabetes, high blood pressure, heart disease, depression or obesity. Additionally, studies have demonstrated that wellness programs decrease healthcare costs, increase productivity and reduce absenteeism.

The three components of a comprehensive health promotion program are: mitigating disease risk, reducing risk of injury and lifestyle modification through education.

To achieve this goal, staff has prepared a Professional Services Agreement with Pinnacle Training Systems ("Pinnacle") for Council approval. Pinnacle will provide services that will promote the health and wellness of fire department personnel, including educational components that all City staff will be able to take advantage of.

Scope of Work:

Health Screening:

Health screening is a vital first step in any emergency responder health promotion program. In the past 8 years of providing this service to first responders Pinnacle has saved countless lives. Pinnacle has identified abnormal EKG rhythms indicative of imminent cardiac stress, detected early cancer onset and discovered individuals at high risk for diabetes. In all cases, fire personnel were referred to their physician where the appropriate medical treatment was provided.

All testing can be conducted at the Fire Department or another convenient facility identified by the City. Arrangements will be made between Pinnacle and the Fire Department to determine testing dates and times.

Body Composition:

Body composition testing will assess percent body fat and fat-free mass. It is a component of health-related fitness. This will be assessed with bio-electrical impedance analysis equipment. Obesity is a major risk factor for cardiovascular disease, cancer, diabetes and other lifestyle related fitness.

Resting Blood Pressure:

Resting blood pressure is an important measure for helping to assess cardiovascular disease risk, as hypertension is a major risk factor for heart disease.

Cardiovascular / Aerobic Capacity Test:

Aerobic fitness is one of the five components of health related fitness and extremely important to fire fighter personnel. This test is in the sustained pursuit test category, according to the Cooper Institute. This sub maximal test will take place on a treadmill, and will be supervised by certified individuals. Aerobic fitness level is highly associated with risk of cardiovascular disease development, which is the number one cause of death in the United States. This component is also highly predictive of the ability to perform job tasks related to tactical operators.

Electrocardiogram (ECG) monitoring:

During the aerobic fitness test, ECG monitoring will be done to confirm that the electrical activity of the heart responds normally to exercise and if needed, identify any abnormalities.

Exercise Blood Pressure:

Blood pressure readings will be taken intermittently during the aerobic fitness protocol, to assess normal blood pressure response to exercise. An abnormal response to exercise may indicate a cardiovascular condition.

Blood Work:

Pinnacle will use LabCorp and provide a phlebotomist on-site to administer the blood draws. The blood draw panel will include a lipid panel and NMR (a highly sensitive test assessing cardiovascular disease risk, A1C (assessment of diabetes risk PSA (prostate cancer screening), CA-125 (ovarian cancer screening) C-Reaction Protein (assesses general inflammation).

Functional Movement Screen (FMS):

The FMS is a tool to assess individuals who are at risk for injury. Individuals are put through a battery strategic movement patterns to identify areas of weakness. Based on score of each test the individual is provided with exercises that can be done to

strengthen the muscles involved in the movement pattern. This can be done at the same time, or at a different time than the health screening.

Health Screening and WFI/NFPA 1582 Compliant Exams:

Body Composition

Resting Blood Pressure

Cardiovascular / Aerobic Capacity Test

Electrocardiogram (ECG) monitoring

Exercise Blood Pressure

Blood Work

Pinnacle will use LabCorp and provide a phlebotomist on-site to administer the blood draws. The blood draw panel will include a lipid panel, PSA (prostate cancer screening), CBC with differential, CMP, glucose and C-Reaction Protein to assess general inflammation. (Additional blood work not standard with the NFPA exams include NMR, A1c which are included in the price sheet below)

Urinalysis Includes:

Dip Stick – pH, glucose, ketones, protein, blood and bilirubin

Microscopic – white blood cells, red blood cells, white blood cell casts, red blood cell casts and crystals

Fecal Occult – this is a home test kit that screens for colon cancer

Spirometry – assesses lung function

Skin cancer, breast cancer and testicular cancer screenings

Hands-on Physical Examination Including:

Vital signs (head, neck, eyes, nose and throat

Cardiovascular (inspection, auscultation, percussion and palpation)

Pulmonary (inspection, auscultation, percussion and palpation)

Gastrointestinal (inspection, auscultation, percussion and palpation)

Genitourinary (hernia exam)

Lymph Nodes (evaluation in the cervical, auxillary and inguinal regions)

Neurological (general mental status, general assessment of the major cranial and peripheral nerves)

Musculoskeletal (overall assessment of ROM of all joints, observation of exercises and functions assessing joint mobility)

Audiology

Vision

Lifestyle Intervention Program and Accountability

Following initial testing, each emergency responder will receive an action plan based on the results of their FMS and health test battery. They will also be assigned a Wellness Coach. The Wellness Coach will meet with the individual remotely, either by email or phone appointment (as preferred) for an initial consultation. During this initial consultation, the wellness coach will:

- review the results of their health screening and FMS assessment;
- address any concerns or questions the emergency responder may have;
- and guide them through a goal setting activity to help them establish short term and long term personal health/wellness goals.

Additionally, the Wellness Coach will develop a more individualized action plan for the emergency responder, based on the results of the testing, other questions and concerns that arise during testing and their personal goals. This action plan will include recommendations on physical activity, nutrition, or other pertinent health information relating to the emergency responder's needs and concerns.

Accountability is key component of any behavior modification program. Therefore, at the time of delivery of the action plan, the Wellness Coach will make arrangements for an additional consultation, by phone or email, over the next 6 weeks. These follow-up appointments will address the lifestyle changes the emergency responder has made, as well as goal assessment and progress.

COST: (Enter cost	t of item to be purchased)	BUDGET IMPACT: (Enter amouthis non-budgeted item will impact this years' budget – if budgeted, enter NONE,				
Up to \$25,000.0	00 annually	None				
	ter the funding source for this item the balance in the fund).	ON-GOING COST: (Enter the amount that will need to be budgeted each year – if one-time cost, enter NONE).				
Funding Source:	Strike Team Reimbursements					
Fund Balance:	\$80,984.15					

RECOMMENDATION: Staff recommends Council approve and authorize the City Manager to execute an agreement with Pinnacle Training Systems for the purpose of providing health and wellness services to Fire Department personnel.

Moham	March 13, 2019
Robert Petersen, Fire Chief	Date
Sallavan	March 13, 2019
Teresa Gallavan, City Manager	Date

CITY OF SELMA

PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT

This PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT ("Agreement"), is made and effective as of March 15, 2019 ("Effective Date"), between the City of Selma, a municipal corporation ("City") and Pinnacle Training Systems, LLC, a California limited liability company ("Consultant"). The City and Consultant are hereinafter collectively referred to as the "Parties".

RECITALS

WHEREAS, City desires to engage Consultant to perform the services described herein, and Consultant desires to perform such services in accordance with the terms and conditions set forth herein.

NOW, THEREFORE, for and in consideration of the mutual covenants and conditions herein contained, City and Consultant agree as follows:

1. <u>TERM</u>

This Agreement shall commence on the Effective Date, and shall remain and continue in effect until tasks described herein are completed, but in no event later than March 15, 2024, unless sooner terminated pursuant to the provisions of this Agreement.

2. SERVICES

- (a) Consultant shall perform the tasks ("Services") described and set forth in Exhibit A, attached hereto and incorporated herein as though set forth in full. ("Scope of Services"). Tasks other than those specifically described in the Scope of Services shall not be performed without prior written approval of the City. The Services shall be performed by Consultant, unless prior written approval is first obtained from the City. In the event of conflict or inconsistency between the terms of this Agreement and Exhibit A, the terms of this Agreement shall prevail.
- (b) City shall have the right to request, in writing, changes to the Services. Any such changes mutually agreed upon by the Parties, and any corresponding increase or decrease in compensation, shall be incorporated by written amendment to this Agreement.
- (c) Consultant shall perform all Services in a manner reasonably satisfactory to the City and in a first-class manner in conformance with the standards of quality normally observed by an entity providing health and wellness services, serving a municipal agency.
- (d) Consultant shall comply with all applicable federal, state, and local laws, regulations and ordinances in the performance of this Agreement, including but not limited to, the conflict of interest provisions of Government Code Section 1090 and the

Political Reform Act (Government Code Section 81000 et seq.)). During the term of this Agreement, Consultant shall not perform any work for another person or entity for whom Consultant was not working on the Effective Date if both (i) such work would require Consultant to abstain from a decision under this Agreement pursuant to a conflict of interest statute or law; and (ii) City has not consented in writing to Consultant's performance of such work. No officer or employee of City shall have any financial interest in this Agreement that would violate California Government Code Sections 1090 et seq. Consultant hereby warrants that it is not now, nor has it been in the previous twelve (12) months, an employee, agent, appointee, or official of the City. If Consultant was an employee, agent, appointee, or official of the City in the previous twelve (12) months, Consultant warrants that it did not participate in any manner in the forming of this Agreement. Consultant understands that, if this Agreement is made in violation of Government Code §1090 et. seq., the entire Agreement is void and Consultant will not be entitled to any compensation for Services performed pursuant to this Agreement, and Consultant will be required to reimburse the City for any sums paid to the Consultant. Consultant understands that, in addition to the foregoing, it may be subject to criminal prosecution for a violation of Government Code § 1090 and, if applicable, will be disqualified from holding public office in the State of California.

(e) Consultant represents that it has, or will secure at its own expense, all licensed personnel required to perform the Services. All Services shall be performed by Consultant or under its supervision, and all personnel engaged in the Services shall be qualified and licensed to perform such services.

3. MANAGEMENT

City's City Manager shall represent the City in all matters pertaining to the administration of this Agreement, review and approval of all products submitted by Consultant, but shall have no authority to modify the Services or the compensation due to Consultant.

4. PAYMENT

- (a) The City agrees to pay Consultant monthly, in accordance with the payment rates and terms and the schedule of payment as set forth in Exhibit B ("Rate Schedule"), attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as though set forth in full, based upon actual time spent on the above tasks. This amount shall not exceed \$25,000 annually for each year of the Agreement unless additional payment is approved as provided in this Agreement.
- (b) Consultant shall not be compensated for any services rendered in connection with its performance of this Agreement which are in addition to those set forth herein, unless such additional services are authorized in advance and in writing by the City. Consultant shall be compensated for any additional services in the amounts and in the manner as agreed to by City and Consultant at the time City's written authorization is given to Consultant for the performance of said services.

(c) Consultant shall submit invoices monthly for actual services performed. Invoices shall be submitted on or about the first business day of each month, or as soon thereafter as practical, for services provided in the previous month. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) days of receipt of each invoice as to all non-disputed fees. If the City disputes any of Consultant's fees it shall give written notice to Consultant within thirty (30) days of receipt of an invoice of any disputed fees set forth on the invoice. Any final payment under this Agreement shall be made within 45 days of receipt of an invoice therefore.

5. SUSPENSION OR TERMINATION OF AGREEMENT

- (a) The City may at any time, for any reason, with or without cause, suspend or terminate this Agreement, or any portion hereof, by serving upon the Consultant at least ten (10) days prior written notice. Upon receipt of said notice, the Consultant shall immediately cease all work under this Agreement, unless the notice provides otherwise. If the City suspends or terminates a portion of this Agreement such suspension or termination shall not make void or invalidate the remainder of this Agreement.
- (b) In the event this Agreement is terminated pursuant to this Section, the City shall pay to Consultant the actual value of the work performed up to the time of termination, provided that the work performed is of value to the City. Upon termination of the Agreement pursuant to this Section, the Consultant shall submit an invoice to the City pursuant to Section 4 of this Agreement.

6. OWNERSHIP OF DOCUMENTS

- (a) Consultant shall maintain complete and accurate records with respect to sales, costs, expenses, receipts, and other such information required by City that relate to the performance of services under this Agreement. Consultant shall maintain adequate records of services provided in sufficient detail to permit an evaluation of services. All such records shall be maintained in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and shall be clearly identified and readily accessible. Consultant shall provide free access to the representatives of City or its designees at reasonable times to review such books and records; shall give City the right to examine and audit said books and records; shall permit City to make transcripts or copies therefrom as necessary; and shall allow inspection of all work, data, documents, proceedings, and activities related to this Agreement. Such records, together with supporting documents, shall be maintained for a period of three (3) years after receipt of final payment.
- (b) Upon completion of, or in the event of termination or suspension of this Agreement, all original documents, designs, drawings, maps, models, computer files, surveys, notes, and other documents prepared in the course of providing the services to be performed pursuant to this Agreement shall become the sole property of the City and may be used, reused, or otherwise disposed of by the City without the permission of the Consultant. With respect to computer files, Consultant shall make available to the City, at the Consultant's office, and upon reasonable written request by the City, the necessary computer software and hardware for purposes of accessing, compiling, transferring, copying and/or printing computer files. Consultant hereby grants to City all right, title, and interest,

including any copyright, in and to the documents, designs, drawings, maps, models, computer files, surveys, notes, and other documents prepared by Consultant in the course of providing the services under this Agreement. All reports, documents, or other written material developed by Consultant in the performance of the Services pursuant to this Agreement, shall be and remain the property of the City.

7. <u>INDEMNIFICATION</u>

(a) Indemnity for professional liability

When the law establishes a professional standard of care for Consultant's Services, to the fullest extent permitted by law, Consultant shall indemnify, protect, defend and hold harmless the City and any and all of its officials, employees and agents ("Indemnified Parties") from and against any and all losses, liabilities, damages, costs and expenses, including legal counsel's fees and costs caused in whole or in part by any negligent or wrongful act, error or omission of Consultant, its officers, agents, employees or Subconsultants (or any agency or individual that Consultant shall bear the legal liability thereof) in the performance of professional services under this Agreement.

(b) Indemnity for other than professional liability

Other than in the performance of professional services and to the full extent permitted by law, Consultant shall indemnify, defend and hold harmless City, and any and all of its employees, officials and agents from and against any liability (including liability for claims, suits, actions, arbitration proceedings, administrative proceedings, regulatory proceedings, losses, expenses or costs of any kind, whether actual, alleged or threatened, including legal counsel fees and costs, court costs, interest, defense costs, and expert witness fees), where the same arise out of, are a consequence of, or are in any way attributable to, in whole or in part, the performance of this Agreement by Consultant or by any individual or agency for which Consultant is legally liable, including but not limited to officers, agents, employees or subcontractors of Consultant.

(c) <u>DUTY TO DEFEND</u>. In the event the City, its officers, employees, agents and/or volunteers are made a party to any action, claim, lawsuit, or other adversarial proceeding arising from the performance of the services encompassed by this Agreement, and upon demand by City, Consultant shall have an immediate duty to defend the City at Consultant's cost or at City's option, to reimburse the City for its costs of defense, including reasonable attorney's fees and costs incurred in the defense of such matters.

Payment by City is not a condition precedent to enforcement of this indemnity. In the event of any dispute between Consultant and City, as to whether liability arises from the sole negligence of the City or its officers, employees, or agents, Consultant will be obligated to pay for City's defense until such time as a final judgment has been entered adjudicating the City as solely negligent. Consultant will not be entitled in the absence of such a determination to any reimbursement of defense costs including but not limited to attorney's fees, expert fees and costs of litigation.

8. INSURANCE

Consultant shall maintain prior to the beginning of and for the duration of this Agreement insurance coverage as specified in Exhibit C attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference.

9. INDEPENDENT CONSULTANT

- (a) Consultant is and shall at all times remain as to the City a wholly independent consultant and/or independent contractor. The personnel performing the services under this Agreement on behalf of Consultant shall at all times be under Consultants exclusive direction and control. Neither City nor any of its officers, employees, or agents shall have control over the conduct of Consultant or any of Consultant's officers, employees, or agents, except as set forth in this Agreement. Consultant shall not at any time or in any manner represent that it or any of its officers, employees, or agents are in any manner officers, employees, or agents of the City. Consultant shall not incur or have the power to incur any debt, obligation, or liability whatever against the City, or bind the City in any manner.
- (b) No employee benefits shall be available to Consultant in connection with the performance of this Agreement. Except for the fees paid to Consultant as provided in the Agreement, City shall not pay salaries, wages, or other compensation to Consultant for performing services hereunder for City. City shall not be liable for compensation or indemnification to Consultant for injury or sickness arising out of performing services hereunder.

10. <u>LEGAL RESPONSIBILITIES</u>

The Consultant shall keep itself informed of State and Federal laws and regulations which in any manner affect those employed by it or in any way affect the performance of its service pursuant to this Agreement. The Consultant shall at all times observe and comply with all such laws and regulations. The City, and its officers and employees, shall not be liable at law or in equity occasioned by failure of the Consultant to comply with this Section.

11. <u>UNDUE INFLUENCE</u>

Consultant declares and warrants that no undue influence or pressure was used against or in concert with any officer or employee of the City in connection with the award, terms or implementation of this Agreement, including any method of coercion, confidential financial arrangement, or financial inducement. No officer or employee of the City has or will receive compensation, directly or indirectly, from Consultant, or from any officer, employee or agent of Consultant, in connection with the award of this Agreement or any work to be conducted as a result of this Agreement. Violation of this Section shall be a material breach of this Agreement entitling the City to any and all remedies at law or in equity.

12. NO BENEFIT TO ARISE TO LOCAL OFFICERS AND EMPLOYEES

No member, officer, or employee of City, or their designees or agents, and no public official who exercises authority over or responsibilities with respect to the Project during

his/her tenure or for one year thereafter, shall have any interest, direct or indirect, in any agreement or sub-agreement, or the proceeds thereof, for work to be performed in connection with the Project performed under this Agreement.

13. RELEASE OF INFORMATION/CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

(a) All information gained by Consultant in performance of this Agreement shall be considered confidential and shall not be released by Consultant without City's prior written authorization. Consultant, its officers, employees, agents, or subconsultants, shall not without written authorization from the City, voluntarily provide declarations, letters of support, testimony at depositions, response to interrogatories, or other information concerning the work performed under this Agreement or relating to any project or property located within the City, unless otherwise required by law or court order. (b) Consultant shall promptly notify City should Consultant, its officers, employees, agents, or subconsultants be served with any summons, complaint, subpoena, notice of deposition, request for documents, interrogatories, request for admissions, or other discovery request ("Discovery"), court order, or subpoena from any person or party regarding this Agreement and the work performed there under or with respect to any project or property located within the City, unless Consultant is prohibited by law from informing the City of such Discovery, court order or subpoena. City retains the right, but has no obligation, to represent Consultant and/or be present at any deposition, hearing, or similar proceeding as allowed by law. Unless City is a party to the lawsuit, arbitration, or administrative proceeding and is adverse to Consultant in such proceeding, Consultant agrees to cooperate fully with the City and to provide the opportunity to review any response to discovery requests provided by Consultant. However, City's right to review any such response does not imply or mean the right by City to control, direct, or rewrite said response.

14. NOTICES

Any notices which either party may desire to give to the other party under this Agreement must be in writing and may be given either by (i) personal service, (ii) delivery by a reputable document delivery service, such as but not limited to, Federal Express, which provides a receipt showing date and time of delivery, or (iii) mailing in the United States Mail, certified mail, postage prepaid, return receipt requested, addressed to the address of the party as set forth below or at any other address as that party may later designate by notice:

To City: City of Selma

1710 Tucker Street Selma, CA 93662

Attention: City Manager

With a Copy To: Bianca Sparks Rojas, City Attorney

Casso & Sparks, LLP

13200 Crossroads Parkway North, Suite 345

City of Industry, CA 91746

To Consultant:

Pinnacle Training Systems 6011 N. Fresno St. Suite 120 Fresno, CA 93710

Attention: Dr. Felicia Gomez

15. ASSIGNMENT

The Consultant shall not assign the performance of this Agreement, nor any part thereof, nor any monies due hereunder, without prior written consent of the City.

Before retaining or contracting with any subconsultant for any services under this Agreement, Consultant shall provide City with the identity of the proposed subconsultant, a copy of the proposed written contract between Consultant and such subconsultant which shall include and indemnity provision similar to the one provided herein and identifying City as an indemnified party, or an incorporation of the indemnity provision provided herein, and proof that such proposed subconsultant carries insurance at least equal to that required by this Agreement or obtain a written waiver from the City for such insurance.

Notwithstanding Consultant's use of any subconsultant, Consultant shall be responsible to the City for the performance of its sub-consultant as it would be if Consultant had performed the Services itself. Nothing in this Agreement shall be deemed or construed to create a contractual relationship between the City and any subconsultant employed by Consultant. Consultant shall be solely responsible for payments to any subconsultants. Consultant shall indemnify, defend and hold harmless the Indemnified Parties for any claims arising from, or related to, the services performed by a subconsultant under this Agreement.

16. **GOVERNING LAW/ATTORNEYS' FEES**

The City and Consultant understand and agree that the laws of the State of California shall govern the rights, obligations, duties, and liabilities of the parties to this Agreement and also govern the interpretation of this Agreement. Any litigation concerning this Agreement shall take place in the municipal, superior, or federal district court in Fresno County, California. If any action at law or suit in equity is brought to enforce or interpret the provisions of this Agreement, or arising out of or relating to the Services provided by Consultant under this Agreement, the prevailing party shall be entitled to reasonable attorneys' fees and all related costs, including costs of expert witnesses and consultants, as well as costs on appeal, in addition to any other relief to which it may be entitled.

17. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

This Agreement contains the entire understanding between the Parties relating to the obligations of the Parties described in this Agreement. All prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, representations, and statements, oral or written and pertaining to the subject of this Agreement or with respect to the terms and conditions of this Agreement, are merged into this Agreement and shall be of no further force or effect. Each party is entering into this Agreement based solely upon the representations set forth herein

and upon each party's own independent investigation of any and all facts such party deems material.

18. **SEVERABILITY**

If any term or provision of this Agreement or the application thereof to any person or circumstance shall, to any extent, be invalid or unenforceable, then such term or provision shall be amended to, and solely to, the extent necessary to cure such invalidity or unenforceability, and in its amended form shall be enforceable. In such event, the remainder of this Agreement, or the application of such term or provision to persons or circumstances other than those as to which it is held invalid or unenforceable, shall not be affected thereby, and each term and provision of this Agreement shall be valid and be enforced to the fullest extent permitted by law.

19. **COUNTERPARTS**

This Agreement may be executed in multiple counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, but all of which taken together shall constitute one and the same instrument.

20. CAPTIONS

The captions appearing at the commencement of the sections hereof, and in any paragraph thereof, are descriptive only and shall have no significance in the interpretation of this Agreement.

21. WAIVER

The waiver by City or Consultant of any breach of any term, covenant or condition herein contained shall not be deemed to be a waiver of such term, covenant or condition or of any subsequent breach of the same or any other term, covenant or condition herein contained. No term, covenant or condition of this Agreement shall be deemed to have been waived by City or Consultant unless in writing.

22 REMEDIES

Each right, power and remedy provided for herein or now or hereafter existing at law, in equity, by statute, or otherwise shall be cumulative and shall be in addition to every other right, power, or remedy provided for herein or now or hereafter existing at law, in equity, by statute, or otherwise. The exercise, the commencement of the exercise, or the forbearance of the exercise by any party of any one or more of such rights, powers or remedies shall not preclude the simultaneous or later exercise by such party of any of all of such other rights, powers or remedies.

23. <u>AUTHORITY TO EXECUTE THIS AGREEMENT</u>

The person or persons executing this Agreement on behalf of Consultant represents and warrants that he/she has the authority to execute this Agreement on behalf of the Consultant and has the authority to bind Consultant to the performance of its obligations hereunder.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties hereto have caused this Agreement to be executed as of the Effective Date.

"CITY" City of Selma		"CONSULTANT" Pinnacle Training Systems, LLC
By: Teresa Galla	avan, City Mana	By: ager Dr. Felicia Gomez, Director
Attest:		
By: Reyna River	a, City Clerk	
Approved as to	form:	
By: Bianca Spar	ks Rojas, City <i>I</i>	Attorney
Attachments:		Scope of Services Rate Schedule Insurance Requirements

EXHIBIT A

SCOPE OF SERVICES

Consultant shall provide the health screening services set forth herein to the City's Fire Department employees.

All testing can be conducted at the Selma Fire Department or another convenient facility identified by the City. Arrangements will be made between Consultant and the City to determine testing dates and times.

Body Composition

Body composition testing will assess percent body fat and fat-free mass. It is a component of health-related fitness. This will be assessed with bio-electrical impedance analysis equipment. Obesity is a major risk factor for cardiovascular disease, cancer, diabetes and other lifestyle related fitness.

Resting Blood Pressure

Resting blood pressure is an important measure for helping to assess cardiovascular disease risk, as hypertension is a major risk factor for heart disease.

Cardiovascular / Aerobic Capacity Test

Aerobic fitness is one of the five components of health related fitness and extremely important to fire fighter personnel. This test is in the sustained pursuit test category, according to the Cooper Institute. This sub maximal test will take place on a treadmill, and will be supervised by certified individuals. Aerobic fitness level is highly associated with risk of cardiovascular disease development, which is the number one cause of death in the United States. This component is also highly predictive of the ability to perform job tasks related to tactical operators.

Electrocardiogram (ECG) monitoring

During the aerobic fitness test, ECG monitoring will be done to confirm that the electrical activity of the heart responds normally to exercise and if needed, identify any abnormalities.

Exercise Blood Pressure

Blood pressure readings will be taken intermittently during the aerobic fitness protocol, to assess normal blood pressure response to exercise. An abnormal response to exercise may indicate a cardiovascular condition.

Blood Work

Consultant shall use LabCorp and provide a phlebotomist on-site to administer the blood draws. The blood draw panel will include a lipid panel and NMR (a highly sensitive test assessing cardiovascular disease risk, A1c (assessment of diabetes risk PSA (prostate cancer screening), CA-125 (ovarian cancer screening) C-Reaction Protein (assesses general inflammation).

Functional Movement Screen (FMS)

The FMS is a tool to assess individual who are at risk for injury. Individuals are put through a battery strategic movement patterns to identify areas of weakness. Based on score of each test the individual is provided with exercises that can be done to strengthen the muscles involved in the movement pattern. This can be done at the same time, or at a different time than the health screening.

☐ Hands-on Physical Examination Including:
Vital signs (head, neck, eyes, nose and throat)
Cardiovascular (inspection, auscultation, percussion and palpation)
Pulmonary (inspection, auscultation, percussion and palpation)
Gastrointestinal (inspection, auscultation, percussion and palpation)
Genitourinary (hernia exam)
Lymph Nodes (evaluation in the cervical, auxilary and inguinal regions)
Neurological (general mental status, general assessment of the major cranial and peripheral nerves)
Musculoskeletal (overall assessment of ROM of all joints, observation of exercises and functions assessing joint mobility)
□ Audiology
□ Vision

Lifestyle Intervention Program and Accountability

Following initial testing, each emergency responder will receive an action plan based on the results of their FMS and health test battery. They will also be assigned a Wellness Coach. The Wellness Coach will meet with the individual remotely, either by email or phone appointment (as preferred) for an initial consultation. During this initial consultation, the wellness coach will:

- review the results of their health screening and FMS assessment;
- address any concerns or questions the emergency responder may have;
- and guide them through a goal setting activity to help them establish short term and long term personal health/wellness goals; and

Next, the Wellness Coach will develop a more individualized action plan for the emergency responder, based on the results of the testing, other questions and concerns that arise during testing and their personal goals. This action plan will include recommendations on physical activity, nutrition, or other pertinent health information relating to the emergency responder's needs and concerns.

Accountability is key component of any behavior modification program. Therefore, at the time of delivery of the action plan, the Wellness Coach will make arrangements for an additional consultation, by phone or email, over the next 6 weeks. These follow-up appointments will address the lifestyle changes the emergency responder has made, as well as goal assessment and progress.

Safety Considerations

The safety of the City's Fire Department personnel is our top priority. The type of exercise testing proposed has been established as a very safe procedure. To ensure the safety of the emergency responder, we have proposed a sub maximal cardiovascular fitness testing (VO₂ max level of 42 ml/kg/min), to minimize the chance of a cardiovascular related event during testing. In addition, an AED will always be present at all testing sessions, and all of Consultant's personnel are certified in its proper use. Furthermore, Consultant shall use submaximal protocols to estimate maximal strength as this minimizes chances of injury.

All fitness testing personnel are certified in adult, child and infant CPR, basic first aid, and AED. In addition, at all testing sessions, an employee of Consultant - who has continued their training in Advanced Cardiac Life Support (ACLS) - will also be present. The employees of Consultant are educated in ECG interpretation, and at any time during the testing protocol, should an ECG trace appear abnormal for an extended period of time, the test will be terminated and referred to their physician. Finally, at any time during the testing protocol, should an emergency responder exhibit signs of severe physical stress or requests to stop a test, Consultant's staff will terminate the test immediately and refer them to their personal physician. Prior to participation in any exercise testing procedure, each employee is required to complete a standard health history screening questionnaire. The American College of Sports Medicine has identified a number of abnormal responses and other criteria for which testing is contraindicated, or for which a test in progress should be terminated. If these abnormal responses or other criteria are discovered during testing, it may be necessary to either postpone a test until a later date, stop a test and refer the person for medical evaluation and obtain clearance before another test can be scheduled, or stop a test and call for immediate transportation to an emergency facility. All decisions made and actions taken will be in the best interest of the health and safety of the personnel involved. Consultant assumes no responsibility for payment of services, medical or otherwise, rendered as a consequence of abnormal or unexpected response to any of the testing.

EXHIBIT B

RATE SCHEDULE

The following costs apply to the Services set forth herein. Following the cost summary is an explanation of the role of each item in the overall project. All cost projections are calculated on a per person basis.

Health Screening, Functional Movement Screening and Health Promotion Program

- 1. Health Screening and FMS Personnel
- 2. Wellness Coaching Personnel
- 3. Administrative Support Personnel
- 4. ECG Equipment (paper, electrodes, razors, etc.)
- 5. ECG review by licensed physician if abnormal
- 6. Laboratory Blood Work

Total amount per employee: \$485.00/FF

Blood Work Only

Total amount per employee: \$265/FF

Health Screening, NFPA 1582 Exams

- 1. Health Screening and Specialty Medical Personnel
- 2. Wellness Coaching Personnel
- 3. Administrative Support Personnel
- 4. ECG Equipment (paper, electrodes, razors, etc.)
- 5. ECG review by licensed physician if abnormal
- 6. Laboratory Blood Work; Urinalysis; Fecal Occult Kit

Total amount per employee: \$750.00/FF (to include FMS testing at the same time an additional cost of \$125/FF will implemented)

The City will only be billed for those personnel tested.

*A minimum of 4 FF must be tested per testing day

Educational Seminars

All educational, in person seminars or remote webinars will be given by qualified staff on topics of interest such as:

Topic 1: Hands-on workshop with personnel covering how speed, agility and plyometric training is applicable to first responder job duties.

Topic 2: Mental and Psychological Aspects of First Responders

Topic 3: Practical Workouts to Maintain Fitness Requirements of a First Responder

Topic 4: Nutrition and Supplement needs of First Responders

Other topics such as the importance of fitness and wellness in first responders, nutrition education etc. are also available.

In-Person Consultations

In cooperation with Pinnacle Training Systems and the Selma Fire Department, a qualified consultant (exercise science, kinesiology or related field) will schedule on-site individual or group appointments with fire personnel to provide nutrition consultations, fitness classes, workout plans etc. The number of hours per week/month will be decided upon a needs evaluation of the Department.

Total cost......\$75/hour

Health Risk Assessment, Biometric Screening and Wellness Coaching

This is a great option for employees who do not engage in vigorous physical activity as part of their job.

Health Risk Assessment ("HRA") and Biometric Screening:

The primary purpose of an HRA is to determine the individual's current lifestyle habits as it related to their overall health. Questions relevant to nutritional and physical activity habits are addressed as well as smoking, alcohol consumption, social and psychological factors. Research has demonstrated that a comprehensive HRA is valuable information not only to the City as a whole but also to the employee. The individual is provided their own customized report as well as action plans based on their specific results. The City is provided an aggregate report which can then be used to systematically develop a comprehensive wellness program that will target weaknesses and maintain strengths. HRA may be taken on-line via our HIPAA compliant portal or by paper.

The biometric screening provides additional information on the employee's risk for cardiovascular disease. Variables such as blood pressure, percent body fat, waist and hip circumference are measured. Blood draws for the purpose of blood lipid profile results are also an option.

Wellness Coaching

Once employees have completed the HRA and biometric screening, our wellness coaches will provide action plans based on their immediate results. Employees are then coached on how to make simple changes to their lifestyle to improve their overall health and wellbeing.

This entire process take approximately 15 min and can be done on site.

HRA+Biometric Screening and wellness coaching: \$85/employee

EXHIBIT C

INSURANCE REQUIREMENTS

Without limiting Consultant's indemnification of City, and prior to commencement of the Services, Consultant shall obtain, provide and maintain at its own expense during the term of this Agreement, policies of insurance of the type and amounts described below and in a form satisfactory to the City.

General liability insurance. Consultant shall maintain commercial general liability insurance with coverage at least as broad as Insurance Services Office form CG 00 01, in an amount not less than \$1,000,000.00 per occurrence, \$2,000,000.00 general aggregate, for bodily injury, personal injury, and property damage. The policy must include contractual liability that has not been amended. Any endorsement restricting standard ISO "insured contract" language will not be accepted.

Automobile liability insurance. Consultant shall maintain automobile insurance at least as broad as Insurance Services Office form CA 00 01 covering bodily injury and property damage for all activities of the Consultant arising out of or in connection with Work to be performed under this Agreement, including coverage for any owned, hired, non-owned or rented vehicles, in an amount not less than \$1,000,000.00 combined single limit for each accident.

Professional liability (errors & omissions) insurance. Consultant shall maintain professional liability insurance that covers the Services to be performed in connection with this Agreement, in the minimum amount of \$1,000,000 per claim and in the aggregate. Any policy inception date, continuity date, or retroactive date must be before the effective date of this agreement and Consultant agrees to maintain continuous coverage through a period no less than three years after completion of the services required by this agreement.

Workers' compensation insurance. Consultant shall maintain Workers' Compensation Insurance (Statutory Limits) and Employer's Liability Insurance (with limits of at least \$1,000,000.00).

Consultant shall submit to City, along with the certificate of insurance, a Waiver of Subrogation endorsement in favor of the City, its officers, agents, employees and volunteers.

Proof of insurance. Consultant shall provide certificates of insurance to City as evidence of the insurance coverage required herein, along with a waiver of subrogation endorsement for workers' compensation. Insurance certificates and endorsement must be approved by City's Risk Manager prior to commencement of performance. Current certification of insurance shall be kept on file with City at all times during the term of this contract. City reserves the right to require complete, certified copies of all required insurance policies, at any time.

Duration of coverage. Consultant shall procure and maintain for the duration of the contract insurance against claims for injuries to persons or damages to property, which may

arise from or in connection with the performance of the Services hereunder by Consultant, his agents, representatives, employees or subconsultants.

Primary/noncontributing. Coverage provided by Consultant shall be primary and any insurance or self-insurance procured or maintained by City shall not be required to contribute with it. The limits of insurance required herein may be satisfied by a combination of primary and umbrella or excess insurance. Any umbrella or excess insurance shall contain or be endorsed to contain a provision that such coverage shall also apply on a primary and non-contributory basis for the benefit of City before the City's own insurance or self-insurance shall be called upon to protect it as a named insured.

City's rights of enforcement. In the event any policy of insurance required under this Agreement does not comply with these specifications or is canceled and not replaced, City has the right but not the duty to obtain the insurance it deems necessary and any premium paid by City will be promptly reimbursed by Consultant, or City will withhold amounts sufficient to pay premium from Consultant payments. In the alternative, City may cancel this Agreement.

Acceptable insurers. All insurance policies shall be issued by an insurance company currently authorized by the Insurance Commissioner to transact business of insurance in the State of California, with an assigned policyholders' Rating of A- (or higher) and Financial Size Category Class VI (or larger) in accordance with the latest edition of Best's Key Rating Guide, unless otherwise approved by the City's Risk Manager.

Waiver of subrogation. All insurance coverage maintained or procured pursuant to this agreement shall be endorsed to waive subrogation against City, its elected or appointed officers, agents, officials, employees and volunteers or shall specifically allow Consultant or others providing insurance evidence in compliance with these specifications to waive their right of recovery prior to a loss. Consultant hereby waives its own right of recovery against City, and shall require similar written express waivers and insurance clauses from each of its subconsultants

Enforcement of contract provisions (non estoppel). Consultant acknowledges and agrees that any actual or alleged failure on the part of the City to inform Consultant of noncompliance with any requirement imposes no additional obligations on the City nor does it waive any rights hereunder.

Requirements not limiting. Requirements of specific coverage features or limits contained in this Section are not intended as a limitation on coverage, limits or other requirements, or a waiver of any coverage normally provided by any insurance. Specific reference to a given coverage feature is for purposes of clarification only as it pertains to a given issue and is not intended by any party or insured to be all inclusive, or to the exclusion of other coverage, or a waiver of any type. If the Consultant maintains higher limits than the minimums shown above, the City requires and shall be entitled to coverage for the higher limits maintained by the Consultant. Any available insurance proceeds in excess of the specified minimum limits of insurance and coverage shall be available to the City.

Notice of cancellation. Consultant agrees to oblige its insurance agent or broker and insurers to provide to City with a thirty (30) day notice of cancellation (except for nonpayment for which a ten (10) day notice is required) or nonrenewal of coverage for each required coverage.

Additional insured status. General liability policies shall provide or be endorsed to provide that City and its officers, officials, employees, and agents, and volunteers shall be additional insureds under such policies. This provision shall also apply to any excess liability policies.

Prohibition of undisclosed coverage limitations. None of the coverages required herein will be in compliance with these requirements if they include any limiting endorsement of any kind that has not been first submitted to City and approved of in writing.

Separation of Insureds. A severability of interests provision must apply for all additional insureds ensuring that Consultant's insurance shall apply separately to each insured against whom claim is made or suit is brought, except with respect to the insurer's limits of liability. The policy(ies) shall not contain any cross-liability exclusions.

Pass Through Clause. Consultant agrees to ensure that its subconsultants, subcontractors, and any other party involved with the project who is brought onto or involved in the project by Consultant, provide the same minimum insurance coverage and endorsements required of Consultant. Consultant agrees to monitor and review all such coverage and assumes all responsibility for ensuring that such coverage is provided in conformity with the requirements of this section. Consultant agrees that upon request, all agreements with consultants, subcontractors, and others engaged in the project will be submitted to City for review.

City's right to revise specifications. The City reserves the right at any time during the term of the contract to change the amounts and types of insurance required by giving the Consultant ninety (90) days advance written notice of such change. If such change results in substantial additional cost to the Consultant, the City and Consultant may renegotiate Consultant's compensation.

Self-insured retentions. Any self-insured retentions must be declared to and approved by the City. The City reserves the right to require that self-insured retentions be eliminated, lowered, or replaced by a deductible. Self-insurance will not be considered to comply with these specifications unless approved by the City.

Timely notice of claims. Consultant shall give the City prompt and timely notice of claims made or suits instituted that arise out of or result from Consultant's performance under this Agreement, and that involve or may involve coverage under any of the required liability policies.

Additional insurance. Consultant shall also procure and maintain, at its own cost and expense, any additional kinds of insurance, which in its own judgment may be necessary for its proper protection and prosecution of the work.

POLICE DEPARTMENT BI-WEEKLY COUNCIL UPDATE (2/26/19 – 3/14/19)

Crime Trends

Over the 14-day period referenced in this report, total reported crime in the city of Selma once again fell in seven of the eight categories, a 48% drop in total reported crime. During this 2+ week period a total of 13 crimes were reported in the city, averaging less than one (1) reported crime per day. Thirty-three percent of the violent crimes report involved domestic violence. Reported property crime fell in every reporting category, including zero (0) burglaries reported during this period. Larceny dropped by 62%, and auto theft fell by 66%

SIGNIFICANT CALLS FOR SERVICE

• On 03/09/19, at approximately 1:25pm, an officer responded to the 2200 block of Locust Street, for a reported carjacking. Upon arriving, the officer made contact with a 60yr old male, and a 22yr old female, who reported a known subject had attempted to carjack them with a knife while they were in front of the El Mercado at McCall and Rose. Shortly after the statements were taken, the suspect was taken into custody. However, after a search of the security camera footage throughout the area, it was discovered that a carjacking had not occurred. While re-interviewing all of the parties involved about the discrepancies, it was discovered that the reporting parties had made up the story after a mutual disturbance between themselves & the alleged perpetrator, at another location. The suspect originally arrested was released, and the reporting parties arrested for filing a false police report & interfering with an investigation.

Personnel

Please see attached Personnel Status Report

Community Events

- Bringing Broken Neighborhoods Back to Life continued planning it's 2019 Event schedule.
 Below is a list of the 2019 events (all Saturday unless indicated):
 - 1. April 13 Lincoln Park
 - 2. May 18 Adventist Hospital grounds
 - 3. June 8 SMART Center
 - 4. July 25 (Thursday) Lincoln Park
 - 5. August 24 Ringo Park
 - 6. September 28 (March for Jesus) TBA

SELMA POLICE DEPARTMENT PERSONNEL STATUS REPORT

Status as of: 3-14-19

Job Class	Status		Male			Female						
	Auth	Vac	Filled	W	Н	AA	0	W	Н	AA	0	Total
Chief	1	0	1	1								1
Lieutenant	2	0	2	1				1				2
Sergeant	5	0	5	2	3							5
Officer	27	0	27	6	16	2		2	1			27
ESD	7	0	7	2				3	2			7
CSO	2	0	2		1				1			2
Records	2	0	2					1	1			2
Property	1	0	1					1				1
TOTAL	47	0	47	12	20	2		8	5			47

KEY
ESD=Emergency
Services Dispatcher
CSO =Community Service
Officer
W=Caucasian
H=Hispanic
AA=African American
0=Other