



Okanogan County Hearings Examiner Meeting Minutes

1 A regular session of the Okanogan County Hearings Examiner was held on **August 25, 2022** at
2 10:00 a.m. the Commissioners' Hearing Room, 123 5th Avenue North, Okanogan, Washington.

3 **OKANOGAN COUNTY STAFF:** Hearings Examiner Dan Beardslee, senior Planner Charlene
4 Schumacker and Administrative Secretary Liz Serrao

5 **APPELLANT/APPLICANTS OR THEIR REPRESENTATIVES:** Perry Huston

6 **Others in Attendance:**

7 **New Business:** Mr. Beardslee opened the public hearing at 10:00 a.m. and explained the
8 process of the Hearings Examiner meeting.

9 **Public Hearing:**

10 ➤ **SAATHOFF RESIDENCE SHORELINE VARIANCE 2021-1**

11 DAN BEARDSLEE SWEARS PLANNER II ROCKY ROBBINS UNDER OATH. ROCKY
12 ROBBINS PRESENTS HER STAFF REPORT TO THIS MATTER. Rocky has one item
13 to enter into record, email from accessors office explain that DOR code is an error.
14 Rocky also wanted to note on record Page 2 correction of landowner names.

15 Rocky Presents her staff report and introduction of this shoreline variance to reduce
16 building setbacks in a shoreline residential jurisdiction, to allow a change of use of the
17 existing structure to a single-family residential structure and to allow a 380 ft addition.

18 Structure was place sometime in the 70' as a concrete block structure or a shed. The
19 shed was converted into a residence. There is no

20 Dan has a question for staff if this legal, rocky said is was never permitted back in 70
21 conversion to a residence was never permitted. and it was never obtained to make it to
22 a residence.

23 Perry Huston is sworn in under oath. Acting agent for Saathoff applicant. .he then
24 explains what he thinks about the shoreline master program. Was it put in place prior to
25 the shoreline master program. Prior to the .

26 Go back to the recording and listen to Perry's speech.

27 They are trying to find out if this is a legal structure. But prior to shoreline. Is it and
28 illegal. Weather you comply with the building code. Im so confused !.

29 With the buikding code. 2018 revision went in place it was an exixting structure. In the
30 existing master shoreline program refrence they treat it as the same.

31 The applicant does not waive that right perry included a lot of language in the okanogan
32 county code in terms of the set back requirments.

33 Illegal structure we will disclose back in time that there is no proof of it being an illegal
34 structure. Variacne meets those critiria and the applicant has met the requimere set
35 backs.

36 Go back to recording and make sure that I understand what is being said. aookciatn

37 Appliacnt is aksing for a variance for use of existing structure. If there is any more
38 question

39 Rocky question if its gonna be acceptable at doe and ecology leve.

40 Mike lesky is put under oath.natural resouce specialist. He needed to locarte the
41 setbacks 50 foot setback . found the ordinary high water mark from an enviromental
42 stand point previous to saathoff , sand invasian plant prickly pear etc. lthere will be no

43 I don't understnd what it is nbeing said

44 Dan question mike ratio of midigation. And what he thinks of the outcome of this. Get
45 the invasive spiecis and placing other plants in there. In noxious weed management.

46 Sand was sand . personal pont of view whatever comes from this decision the work that
47 he did and what the owners can do can help.

48 Dave asked if anyone had douglas pud did not comment on this proposal

49 Eric saathoff in placed under oath. He explains what has the structure looks like inside.
50 Kitches bathroom and septic.

51 No comments further

52

53

54 **Hearing Examiner closed the meeting: 10:11**

55

56 Liz Serrao

57 Planner I

58 Okanogan County Planning

59

DRAFT