



50 Commissioner Hover would like to see utilization of how funds were used by housing coalition  
51 OCCAC. The Clerk of the Board pointed out the proposals give a summary of how the funds  
52 were used, number of people receiving assistance, and the types of direct services utilized.  
53 Commissioner Hover wondered how many people are being helped by each entity. How many  
54 overnight vouchers are used versus monthly rental subsidies? How many people do the rental  
55 subsidies help in each area?

56  
57 Commissioners' discussed going out with an RFQ for the professional services portion of the  
58 funds that would oversee the collection of data for point in time, day of services, and  
59 coordination among coalition for funds.

60  
61 Member of the public George Thornton arrived at 10:05 a.m. to take hand written notes, but  
62 then left again at 10:10 a.m.

63  
64 **Interview Fair Advisory Committee Position – Bruce Duncan**

65 The county received a letter of interest from Bruce Duncan, Aeneas Valley, to serve on the FAC  
66 and this interview was scheduled.

67  
68 The commissioners' interviewed Mr. Duncan and asked several questions about his interest to  
69 serve. Commissioner Hover explained what responsibilities the FAC members take on and what  
70 would be expected. There are members who take on and administer the different functions of  
71 the fair like entertainment, vendors and rodeo. Mr. Duncan said he worked in the public sector  
72 most of his career. He also attended the Puyallup fair often with his kids, so he has some fair  
73 experience. He is interested in contributing to the entertainment and livestock tasks and is  
74 community minded.

75  
76 Commissioner Hover suggested Mr. Duncan meet with FAC Chair Mike Egerton to discuss any  
77 questions he may have as well. The commissioners' would like that conversation to occur  
78 before the board considers appointment if he is a good fit. Mr. Egerton will relay his  
79 recommendation to the board for appointment.

80  
81 Commissioners' discussed employee evaluations. There are several employees who work  
82 closely with their board. They are Dan McCarthy, Anna Lyon, Maurice Goodall and Eric Fritts.  
83 The board would like to evaluate Maurice and Anna. But Dan McCarthy and Eric Fritts would be  
84 evaluated by their specific board.

85  
86 **Continued Public Hearing – Supplemental Appropriation - Fair Fund 112**

87 Commissioner Branch opened up the continued public hearing for Supplemental Appropriation -  
88 Fair Fund 112 in the amount of \$20,000. No public in attendance to comment.

89  
90 Commissioner Branch closed the hearing to comment and opened up to commissioners'  
91 discussion.

92  
93 **Motion Supplemental Appropriation - Fair Fund 112**

94 Commissioner Hover moved to approve resolution 147-2018 a supplemental appropriation  
95 within the Fair Fund 112 in the amount of \$20,000. Motion was seconded, all were in favor,  
96 motion carried.

97  
98 **Interview-Fair Advisory Committee Position – Kirsten Williams**

99 After receiving a letter of interest to serve on the FAC from Kirsten Williams, the Clerk of the  
100 Board scheduled an interview.

101 Ms. Williams explained she has attended several FAC meetings, so she is familiar with the  
102 committee and how it functions. She thought everyone worked well together. She isn't sure  
103 where she'll fit in but she has attended several meetings and has already spoken to the  
104 Chairman, Mike Egerton. She also knows Stella Columbia who is actually her friend and  
105 neighbor. She knows the fair pretty well because she loves going and believes in participating  
106 and contributing to community functions. She also has time to attend to something new.

107  
108 Ms. Williams asked what the commissioners' envisioned for the FAC. The commissioners' want  
109 the committee to do what the community wants as the community has diversity and should have  
110 more of a voice than the three commissioners'. Commissioners' discussed the carnival and the  
111 idea it brings in more diverse people into the fair who may only wish to go on rides, but not  
112 necessarily see all the exhibits. Commissioners' want diversity at the Fair and discussed their  
113 desire to attract a more diverse group of people.

114  
115 **Motion Staff Direction FAC Appointment**

116 Commissioner Hover moved to direct staff to draft an appointment letter for Kirsten Williams to  
117 serve a vacant position on the FAC. Motion was seconded, all were in favor, motion carried.

118  
119 **Motion Oroville Rural EMS District**

120 Commissioner Hover moved to adjourn as the BOCC and reconvene as the Oroville Rural EMS  
121 District. Motion was seconded all were in favor, motion carried.

122  
123 Commissioner Hover moved to approve the Voucher certification and authorize the Oroville  
124 Rural EMS District vouchers to be paid in the amount of \$55.15. Motion was seconded, all were  
125 in favor, motion carried.

126  
127 Commissioner Hover moved to adjourn as the Oroville Rural EMS and Reconvene as the BOCC  
128 Motion was seconded all were in favor, motion carried.

129  
130 **Motion - Voucher Approval - Commissioners'**

131 Vouchers certified and audited by the Auditing Officer as required by RCW 42.24.080 and those  
132 expense reimbursement claims certified as required by RCW 42.24.090 have been recorded on  
133 a list, and made available to the Board. As of this date, the Board did vote, by unanimous vote,  
134 to approve the regular vouchers in the amount of \$612,514.26 and Payroll vouchers in the  
135 amount of \$904,199.48. Warrant numbers as cited on the attached blanket voucher list. Motion  
136 seconded and carried.

137  
138 **Motion Public Health Voucher**

139 Commissioner Hover moved to approve the Public Health Vouchers in the amount of  
140 \$34,929.09. Warrant numbers as cited on the attached blanket voucher list. Motion was  
141 seconded, all were in favor, motion carried.

142  
143 **Public Hearing – Lake Management District #1 – Objections on Special Assessment**

144 Angela Hubbard, Perry Huston, Emily Sisson member of the public representing Okanogan  
145 Watch Group audio and video recording these meetings.

146  
147 The Clerk of the Board turned the county's audio recorder on.

148  
149 Commissioner Branch opened up the hearing and stated the time has arrived for the scheduled  
150 public hearing on objections of the special assessment of the Lake Management District #1.

151

152 Commissioner Branch stated five minutes would be allowed for testimony on the objections of  
153 the special assessment.

154  
155 Director Huston provided his staff report. He provided hard copies of the rolls put out for public  
156 review that have been available on our website and several other sites. The Director was sworn  
157 in by the Clerk of the Board. The Director explained the board is acting like a Board of  
158 Equalization. He recommended, after review of objections, to declare any relationships the  
159 board believes would conflict with this district. Commissioner Branch disclosed he has no  
160 personal relationship with anyone here. No objections were made to him sitting on this board.  
161 Commissioner Hover stated he has no personal relation or conflict. No objections were made.

162  
163 Director Huston projected Ms. Harvig's parcels on the wall with tax sifter to show the parcel  
164 arrangement. The commissioners' requested that all comments be compiled in a packet for  
165 them for future hearings conducted before the board. Ms. Hubbard provided copies of the  
166 comments to the board.

167  
168 Director Huston stated the board should address each comment individually and decide each  
169 one separately. Each property whose owner submitted a comment was shown on the map as  
170 they were addressed.

171  
172 Diana Hartvig

173 The objection questions the feasibility of the district to accomplish what it sets out to accomplish  
174 and questions other funding that may be available instead assessing the properties. She is on a  
175 fixed income but she did not indicate whether or not she was below the poverty line. The  
176 property is on the lake front.

177  
178 **Motion Diana Hartvig**

179 Commissioner Hover moved to deny the objection of Diana Hartvig. Commissioner Branch  
180 discussed the request for exemption. Motion was seconded, all were in favor, motion carried.

181  
182 Robert Hoag

183 Contribution factor was discussed and the objector pointed out grant programs the county has  
184 taken part of. By forming the district we set up a defined revenue stream for the program. The  
185 lake management district can certainly apply for additional funding to help support their  
186 program.

187  
188 **Motion Robert Hoag**

189 Commissioner Hover moved to deny the objection of Robert Hoag because the irradiation of  
190 milfoil would create more expense on the other lake dwellers, the more who pull out the more it  
191 will cost others. Motion was seconded, all were in favor, motion carried.

192  
193 Leslee Kerfoot

194 Director Huston stated he did not see a qualifying reason stated in the comment which the  
195 board could consider as the request was to do away with the assessments.

196  
197 **Motion Leslee Kerfoot**

198 Commissioner Hover moved to deny the objections of Leslee Kerfoot. Motion was seconded, all  
199 were in favor, motion carried.

200  
201  
202

203 Shirley Moser  
204 Ms. Moser testified for the record. Shirley Moser was sworn in. She has lived at 64B boundary  
205 Point Road for 53 years. She owns three parcels and each goes down to the lake. She asked if  
206 the board can be combined into one parcel for this purpose. She doesn't know where the lot  
207 lines begin and end. There is one single family residence on the property. Director Huston  
208 stated the actual approach would be segregating the assessment. No one may be removed  
209 from the district, but a request for segregation can be heard as situations change. It would be a  
210 question of dividing the assessment by three of five so the actual list of parcels in the rolls are  
211 the same. Commissioner Branch asked about consolidation and whether it is beneficial to the  
212 county for situations like this. Director Huston stated it has been the desire of the Assessor to  
213 consolidate under a single parcel number, but for the same objective the Planning office has a  
214 process. In terms of beneficial it is a mixed bag. He suggested if all three parcels are not  
215 benefited then the assessment could be considered different. Commissioner Branch discussed  
216 if one of the parcels were sold then how that would be assessed once sold.

217  
218 Assessor Elect Larry Gilman was sworn in and stated the assessor doesn't create lots, but this  
219 one may have been parceled when smaller lots were allowed. Probably not going to be able to  
220 build on each lot by themselves. They could consolidate to one parcel for reduced value  
221 purposes.

222  
223 Commissioner Hover moved to grant the objection on three parcels so the outcome is for the  
224 three parcels pay \$160 total. Segregate at on \$80 and the other two at \$40 so a total of \$160 is  
225 collected. Commissioner Branch discussed protocol that commenters not having already  
226 submitted testimony could or could not call in others to testify.

227  
228 **Motion Shirley Moser**  
229 Commissioner Hove moved to assess parcel No. 7300010700 to \$80, 7300010501 and  
230 7300010600 to \$40. The board is looking at the property as one parcel because it would appear  
231 the other two are too small to build upon. Motion was seconded, all were in favor, motion  
232 carried.

233  
234 As the Director reads the law someone can request to segregate a parcel into four they do not  
235 have to it is not automatic.

236  
237 Sandra Hordyk  
238 This comment is from someone within the buffer zone that is happy to pay the special  
239 assessment if the water in front of her property is treated but if it falls in the buffer zone and  
240 cannot be treated she doesn't believe she would benefit and feels it would not be fair for her to  
241 pay the assessment.

242  
243 **Motion Sandra Hordyk**  
244 Commissioner Hover moved to deny the objection of Sandra Hordyk. Motion was seconded, all  
245 were in favor, motion carried.

246  
247 Phil Baker  
248 Two points made too close to Canadian border and too close to neighboring irrigation pump.  
249 Director Huston explained the mitigations for treatment near irrigation systems.

250  
251 **Motion Phil Baker**

252 Commissioner Hover moved to deny the objection of Phil Baker due to treatments able to be  
253 done outside the irrigation season. Commissioner Branch stated it is Craig Jones irrigation  
254 pump who did not object. Motion was seconded, all were in favor, motion carried.

255

256 Toni Goin

257 Objected because the assessment is not divided equally for all lake front property, object to  
258 chemicals being added to the lake, and Canada is not on board with the treatment method.

259

260 **Motion Toni Goin**

261 Commissioner Hover moved to deny the objection of Toni Goin. Chemicals being used are safe,  
262 explained Commissioner Hover. Canadians not being on board causes the milfoil problem, the  
263 city pays per parcel. Motion was seconded, all were in favor, motion carried.

264

265 Marjorie Appleton

266 Objected to the formation of the district. There wasn't anything specific about their parcel.

267

268 **Motion Marjorie Appleton**

269 Commissioner Hover moved to deny the objection of Marjorie Appleton. Motion was seconded,  
270 all were in favor, motion carried.

271

272 Irene Thorne

273 Objected to throwing money away at a problem that would not go away. She objected to the  
274 time of the meetings being in the summer months.

275

276 **Motion Irene Thorne**

277 Commissioner Hover moved to deny the objection of Irene Thorne. Motion was seconded, all  
278 were in favor, motion carried.

279

280 Director Huston recommended the parcel numbers of the city be added. Established three new  
281 parcels but no numbers, but will as soon as levy process is completed then corrections can be  
282 made after that.

283

284 **Motion City Parcel No Creation**

285 Commissioner Hover moved to amend the special assessment roll to add the three parcel  
286 numbers as soon as the books are closed. Deep bay park, lower was the park and the other  
287 was shoreline, explained Assessor Gilman. Motion was seconded, all were in favor, motion  
288 carried.

289

290 Thorndike property, when the bellow went out it was one parcel, but then it went to the 8 noted  
291 on the map. The assessment roll has the retired parcel but there were eight created from the  
292 one parcel on the rolls. Director Huston suggested the parcel numbers be added, no new land is  
293 added, and he can ask for a segregation later on, or leave it as is and new owners would be  
294 subject to the assessment. Commissioner Hover stated as of now the one parcel is \$160, so if  
295 the 8 parcel numbers are added to the roll would all get assessed \$160? Yes, stated Director  
296 Huston that is what he sees. Mr. Gilman stated each should be at the full value.

297

298 Commissioner Hover moved to add parcel numbers 5490000100, 549000200, 5490000300,  
299 549000400, 5490000500, 5490000600, 5490000700, and 5490000800 to the rolls. Motion was  
300 seconded, all were in favor, motion carried.

301

302 Commissioner Hover moved to direct staff to create a resolution to certify the special  
303 assessment roll. Motion was seconded, all were in favor, motion carried.

304

305 **Update – Planning – Perry Hudson**

306 Director Huston gave his staff report discussing the items listed on his Planning & Development  
307 agenda and his Administrative Office agenda.

308

309 *WRIA 49 Watershed Plan Update*

310 *Planning Unit membership*

311 *RFQ-update*

312 *Grant Application-update*

313

314 *WRIA 49 Permit Exempt Wells*

315 Director Huston updated the board on WRIA 49. He discussed subdivisions and how the water  
316 restrictions would be documented. There were two different outcomes discussed regarding  
317 implementation of ESSB 6091/RCW 90.94 and interpretation specifics.

318

319 Administering domestic wells under industrial use has not yet been worked out. Commercial  
320 nurseries use under domestic use was discussed. We have been applying Gamble Gwinn. If  
321 you subdivide are we splitting up 5,000 per day or is 3,000 gallon per day restriction. Director  
322 Huston asked for board observations...Commissioner Branch said prior to the decision that the  
323 5,000 exemption was applied to the property already then you would be splitting it to 2 2500.  
324 Most water allocations were on short plats. He discussed DOH was using 1.8 people per  
325 household in the definition.

326

327 Commissioner Hover pointed out some information. Director Huston walked through the issues  
328 and how those would be worked out.

329

330 Director Huston noted he would be attending the City of Oroville council meeting as a resource  
331 for the council to reinforce where we are going with all this.

332

333 *Regional Fire Protection Authority*

334 The newspaper reporter may have been confused about the issues and misprinted an article  
335 about Oroville Rural EMS and Oroville EMS Districts being considered under Fire District but it  
336 actually should have said the boundaries of the Regional Fire Protection Authority. Director  
337 Huston will clear that up.

338

339 Director Huston stated he is meeting with the ground water association on Friday, December  
340 21.

341

342 **Follow-up**

343 Cari Hall received the State Auditor's response on the overages that were discussed earlier  
344 today. The state Auditor believes the employees should pay the county back and said we  
345 should talk to our Prosecutor. Ms. Hall asked what the commissioners' will do now after hearing  
346 this new information. Commissioner Branch thought it could be rolled into deductions when they  
347 leave. We messed up on this, so now it is like, we have to explain going out six months with  
348 payback so it isn't too much at once out of the employees pay. We could go out ten months for  
349 the \$250 would be \$12.50 per month for 10 months. Because it isn't the employees fault, Ms.  
350 Hall thought it would be fair to give them 10 months which was the number of months the county  
351 messed up.

352

353 **Motion Staff Direction on Overages**

354 Commissioner Hover moved to direct staff to create a resolution to order employees to pay back  
355 the overages of \$250 due to county error and may pay back over a 10 month period. Motion  
356 was seconded, all were in favor, motion carried.

357

358 **Discussion 2019 Homeless Housing Proposals – Homeless Coalition**

359 The Housing Coalition members were present as follows:

360 Housing Authority of Okanogan County-Nancy Nash-Mendez

361 Room One-Elana Mainer

362 Support Center-Margo Amelong

363 Oroville Housing Authority-Sue Edick

364 OCCAC Direct-Lael Duncan

365 Okanogan Behavioral Healthcare-Jim Novelli

366 Lael Duncan stated the group met on November 26<sup>th</sup> to compile and consider their proposals for  
367 2019 Homeless Housing funds. (attached) She explained the information was from the Housing  
368 Coalition. They are here to answer questions.

369

370 Commissioner Hover clarified that the proposals are for Homeless Housing Funds, not  
371 Affordable Housing Funds. Yes, stated Lael Duncan Homeless Housing Funds. Ms. Duncan  
372 went through the list and each member explained their requests.

373

374 Ms. Nash asked for \$13,000 to cover one or two families depending on the size as Caribou Trail  
375 opens and depending on vacancy rates.

376

377 Ms. Duncan explained the methodology used so the coalition avoids dipping into the next years  
378 revenue.

379

380 Margo Amelong stated that her request was reduced by \$20,000 because she didn't know the  
381 county received more funds than expected. Commissioner Branch asked if adjustments be  
382 made to the requests since there is additional revenue received that no one knew about.

383

384 Commissioner Hover asked what the proposals answer in terms of the RFP. Nancy stated a  
385 matrix was created and will be further developed that each coalition member fills out and  
386 prioritized. Elana stated there are so few emergency homeless shelters here. Commissioner  
387 Branch asked about the proposals and the metrics used by each entity is different. If there is no  
388 emergency shelter in the areas it is hard to use a metric for it to justify the funds requests.  
389 Nancy stated the plan is to tie the metric to the Homeless plan.

390

391 Ms. Duncan stated Commerce has come out with new guidelines for county homeless plans.  
392 They will provide some technical assistance for a state wide measurement. So the plan will be  
393 redone to comply with those guidelines.

394

395 Commissioner Hover mentioned the Will Keller Homeless Shelter in Okanogan County that has  
396 just opened up and which the county provided some property adjustments for. How has the  
397 coalition ensured inclusion of other entities also providing homeless shelter to ensure  
398 coordination and proper Point in time and other assistance? Ms. Duncan stated they are all in  
399 touch with Mr. Keller. She said they did not want to have any public funds involved.  
400 Commissioner Branch said he thought the reason was because public funds could control the  
401 flow of religion and Mr. Keller didn't want to take the chance his real message.

402

403 Room One described what their \$10,000 request for funds would be used for. The staff only  
404 budgets for what they know, if additional funds are available, then additional people might be  
405 assisted. There are costs to participate in the coalition which helps build the homeless housing  
406 plan, but another entity is going to be needed to provide the professional services.

407  
408 OBHC Jim Novelli explained OBHC was full all summer when in the past they weren't. OBHC  
409 requested funds only for the operation of the shelter. They will still run in the red with their  
410 \$30,000 request. They see transient people requesting shelter all summer not just for those with  
411 mental health or substance abuse. The Shove House it makes a big difference on the case  
412 loads of other coalition members.

413  
414 Ms. Duncan stated one goal is to provide permanent transient housing.

415  
416 Margo Amelong said their request is for operations of the Support Center.

417  
418 Sue Edick explained she cut back on last year's request because it was thought there were less  
419 funds, so this year they will run out of funds to pay their expenses. Commissioner Hover asked  
420 how the shelter would be funded if not for these funds. Ms. Edick stated the costs will be  
421 covered around \$2,000. If Oroville Shelter runs out, then come back for additional funds  
422 request. He explained the Emergent Request allocation was for when it is -10 below and there  
423 are people under the bridge needing shelter. Ms. Duncan stated Okanogan County is not the  
424 place to be homeless in the wintertime. Other counties are able to offer more services then we  
425 can.

426  
427 OCCAC has a request for \$14,000 for Professional Services. Ms. Duncan explained that was  
428 severely cut back. Shane Barton is working on this now. She said the priority for OCCAC has  
429 been to self-resolve and not always providing emergency shelter. It is an adjustment to the  
430 frequent flyers. It has allowed more funding to get people into housing with first and last  
431 incentive to become self-reliant.

432  
433 Ms. Nancy believes the coalition desire to find ways to hire to help move the plan forward. There  
434 isn't a clear picture on how that would work from this fund. If the coalition came forward with a  
435 request how that look would it be supported by Homeless fund.

436  
437 The practice has been to use prior year receipts for current year expenses. The state is also  
438 looking at homeless planning and providing some technical assistance that could be applied for  
439 to help supplement a staff person. Commissioner Hover believed it would be beneficial for the  
440 coalition to be scheduled to go over the budget during the same time as the commissioners''  
441 visit with other departments.

442  
443 Elana believes the coalition should strive to hire someone for professional services to help the  
444 coalition with the new plan requirements. A Planning Only Grant may help provide staff funding.  
445 Commissioner Hover said if CE funds were to be used a very specific plan would need to be  
446 developed to justify. It was suggested as a pilot program for one year. Commissioner Branch  
447 would like the costs to be articulated so the board has something to consider. The group  
448 discussed grant compliance should the group apply for funding. The group discussed ways to  
449 tap into other programs to learn how to develop our own

450  
451 Jim Novelli would like to build a stabilization facility in the future.

452

453 Day of services would not be afforded if the board approved only the \$14,000 to OCCAC for  
454 Professional Services contract. It is a one stop shop for people. It depends on the weather as to  
455 the turn out for the Day of Services. Commissioner Hover stated the Agriplex could be used for  
456 free for serving the poor and infirm. This coincides with the county's point in time count, and  
457 takes quite a bit of organization. Ms. Duncan stated outreach, transportation, meals, and a  
458 facility must be coordinated. The commissioners' stated the OCCAC should come back in  
459 January to discuss the plans for staffing and funding the day of services event.

460

461 **Motion Staff Direction**

462 Commissioner Hover moved to direct staff to draft the Homeless Housing contracts for the  
463 following funding allocations:

464

465	Housing Authority of Okanogan County-	\$13,000
466	Room One-	\$10,000
467	Support Center-	\$32,006
468	Oroville Housing Authority-	\$32,000
469	OCCAC Direct-	\$30,000
470	Okanogan Behavioral Healthcare-	\$30,000
471	OCCAC Professional Services-	\$14,000

472

473 Motion was seconded, all were in favor, motion carried.

474

475 **Review Meeting Minutes**

476

477

478 The board adjourned at 5:00 p.m.

479