

OKANOGAN COUNTY COMMISSIONERS'

RESOLUTION 143 - 2020

Adoption of the Okanogan County Outdoor Recreation Plan

WHEREAS, the Okanogan County Office of Planning and Development using information gathered by the County staff and the Paths and Trails Committee has completed a detailed update of the Okanogan County Parks and Recreation Plan that describes the physical features, existing recreation facilities and the desired actions to be taken to improve and maintain recreation facilities between 2020 and 2025, and

WHEREAS, in accordance with the rules and regulations set forth by Washington State, WAC 286-13-040, Recreation Plans required for participation in committee grant programs must be complete and on file with the committee at least three calendar months before the funding meeting at which the applicant's project is first considered, and

WHEREAS, the 2020 Recreation Plan meets the requirements set forth for certification by the Recreation and Conservation Office and has been reviewed by said office, and

WHEREAS, the Okanogan County Office of Planning and Development has developed the plan for the benefit of the entire county and to adopt the plan as a document to assist in meeting the recreation needs of the community, and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held by the Okanogan County Regional Planning Commission on September 28, 2020 to receive public input and comment, and

WHEREAS, the Okanogan County Regional Planning Commission has approved resolution 2020-2 recommending the adoption of the Recreation Plan to the Okanogan County Board of County Commissioners, and

WHEREAS, the Okanogan County Board of County Commissioners held public hearing on October 27, 2020, and

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED the Okanogan County Commissioners hereby adopts the 2020 Parks and Recreation Plan as a guideline for improving recreation for the residents of the County of Okanogan, Washington.

DATED at Okanogan, Washington this 27th day of October 2020




ATTEST:

Laleña Johns, CMC
Clerk of the Board

**BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
OKANOGAN, WASHINGTON**




Jim DeTro, Chairman



Andy Hover, Member



Chris Branch, Member



**OKANOGAN COUNTY
OUTDOOR RECREATION PLAN
2020**

OKANOGANCOUNTY

OUTDOOR RECREATION PLAN

COUNTYCOMMISSIONERS

Jim Detro, Chairman
Andy Hover, Vice Chair
Chris Branch

Okanogan County

Stephanie Palmer, Planning Director
Josh Thomson P.E., Public Works Director / County Engineer
Ted Murray, GIS Planning, Recreation

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The citizens of Okanogan County and those that visit the region place a very high value on outdoor recreation, and have a strong desire for new and/or improved recreational facilities that support the lifestyle and economic sustainability of local residents. Examples of the growth of recreation demand include the Methow Valley Sports Trails Association, which has seen a ten percent annual increase in user days over the past decade. Surveys show a growing interest for ongoing trail additions and improvements in the Okanogan Valley (e.g. the conversion of the old rail bed along the Similkameen River).

Through the planning process, the public identified both specific projects as well as general needs. Specific examples include expansion and on-going maintenance of the existing Methow Valley Trail system and, ongoing improvements in the County's snowmobile grooming program. General public feedback suggests directing funding toward the projects that can leverage the greatest overall economic benefit to the region, and there is a demand for greater trails and recreation planning and coordination between agencies, businesses and private landowners.

The focus of the plan is outdoor recreation in the unincorporated lands of Okanogan County. The intent is to provide the County with a document that can provide a foundation for working with local organizations, cities, towns, state and federal governments, utilities and others on long range recreation planning, maintenance of existing facilities and development of new facilities that connect and enhance existing and proposed recreational opportunities. It is important to note that the plan does not specifically address all outdoor recreation needs on state and federal lands, but rather focuses on projects that reflect connections with existing and proposed projects that either border or provide linkages to federal, state, local or private recreation opportunities

The Action Plan recommends first, to proceed with those projects and programs already underway or which exist in a detailed form. A high priority is maintenance and enhancement of existing outdoor recreation projects, including grooming of snowmobile trails and Nordic ski trails, improvements at Sno-Parks, and continued cooperation on developing both motorized and non-motorized year-round trails in the Methow Valley and north county areas. Existing facilities are valued and used by county residents and visitors. New projects should not detract from the long term sustainability of current facilities and recreational assets.

The second recommendation is that the county commissioners establish a mechanism for plan implementation and ongoing citizen participation through the Outdoor Recreation Coordinator and advisory body to help with implementation and long term coordination.

The third recommendation is that a detailed inventory of trails, outdoor and indoor recreational facilities, highway ROW opportunities (for improved recreational safety), and potential river and lake access points be conducted.

A fourth piece is recognition and outreach the important role the County and U.S. Forest Service road systems play in providing both access as well as opportunities for enhancing recreation.

The final piece of the action plan is coordination and cooperation.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	6
OKANOGANCOUNTY YESTERDAY AND TODAY.....	8
PLANNING AREA	8
DEMOGRAPHICS.....	8
ECONOMY.....	12
PARKS AND RECREATION TRENDS.....	14
PLANNING AND SERVICES AREAS	15
WHAT IS OUR SERVICE AREA?	15
INVENTORY.....	16
WHAT RECREATIONAL FACILITIES CURRENTLY EXIST	16
WHAT PLANS ARE IN PLACE?.....	21
PLANNING FOR THE FUTURE	23
GOALS.....	23
DEMAND/NEED ANALYSIS	27
PUBLIC PARTICIPATION.....	27
DEMAND/NEEDS SUMMARY	38
ACTION PLAN	35
OUTDOOR RECREATION CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN	44
FINANCING	51

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(CONTINUED)

TABLES

Table 1 – Community populations.....	9
Table 2 – County Population 1900-2010.....	9
Table 3 – Racial Breakdown.....	12
Table 4 – Racial Breakdown with Hispanic Origin.....	12
Table 5 – Household Data.....	13
Table 6 – Intermediate Population Projections.....	14
Table 7 – Annual Growth.....	14

CHARTS

Chart 1 – County Population 1900-2010.....	9
Chart 2 – Okanogan County Age Distribution 2010 Census.....	10
Chart 3 – Washington State Age Distribution 2010 Census.....	11

APPENDICES

Appendix A – Parks and Recreation Facilities Inventory
Appendix B – SCORP 2003 Inventory
Appendix C – Public Involvement and Process & Survey Results
Appendix D – Articles, News Releases and Notices
Appendix E – Trail Usage, Purpose and Development Criteria
Appendix F – Public Comments
Appendix G – Recreation Maps
Appendix H – MVSTA Economic Impact Analysis

INTRODUCTION

An outdoor recreation plan is an integral part of and usually included as a portion of the Parks and Recreation Element of a community's comprehensive planning program. In this case the community is Okanogan County and this document reflects an update of the 2004 Okanogan County Parks and Recreation Element of the County Comprehensive Plan. This Plan, contains an inventory and description of existing public recreation facilities and opportunities, goals and objectives to guide plan implementation, the determination and analysis of recreation needs, and plans for meeting those outdoor recreation needs.

This Plan strives to strike a balance between public demands for outdoor recreation activities and facilities and available resources. Population growth, demographic characteristics, safety, historical considerations and proximity to other population centers and major recreation areas were assembled as background information. The most important considerations of this Plan were public desires and funding sources available to the County.

In addition to the facilities and opportunities provided by a wide range of public entities in the County, the private sector provides a large array of recreation opportunities. This plan recognizes the important role private individuals and businesses play in promoting, developing and capitalizing on recreation in the County. However, the focus of this document is on facilities and activities that will be developed and maintained by the County and a variety of partners (e.g. trails – year round, motorized and non-motorized).

This Plan was created using information gathered by County staff and the Paths and Trails Committee and provided the basis for setting Goals and Objectives, and for the creation of the Action Plan.



Conconully lower reservoir
Conconully is a hub for motorized recreation with connections to state and federal lands

The purposes of the Plan are:

1. To develop a comprehensive and prioritized approach for supporting maintenance, development and coordination of public recreation facilities and opportunities in the county. The plan shall provide a basis for decision making and budgeting over the long term.
2. To identify public parks and recreation needs and outline an implementation strategy.
3. To establish a vision and priorities for:
 - A. Program/project development
 - B. Land acquisition/disposal
 - C. Capital improvements
4. To ensure conformance with federal and state policy requirements for shared revenues and grant assistance.



Trail ride – Okanogan Highlands

OKANOGAN COUNTY YESTERDAY AND TODAY

PLANNING AREA

Located in north central Washington, Okanogan is the largest county in Washington State, with over 5,301 square miles. It is located directly north of Chelan and Douglas Counties and extends to the Canadian Border. The western border, shared with Skagit and Whatcom Counties, runs along the Pacific Crest of the Cascades Mountains, which provides a natural barrier to the Pacific weather systems. Ferry County comprises the eastern border. Also, encompassed within the southeastern corner of the county is the Colville Indian Reservation with approximately one-half of the Reservation's 1.4 million acres lying within Okanogan County.



DEMOGRAPHICS

The population of Okanogan County is 43,130, according to the Office of Financial Management. It is the largest county in land area in the state with 5,301 square miles. This comes to just over seven people (7.5) per square mile. Table 1 contains population data by community and the unincorporated area

Table 1 – Community Population

Area	Population 2000	Population 2010	Population 2020EST
Okanogan	2,415	2,585	2,665
Omak	4,495	4,845	4,955
Brewster	2,055	2,365	2,420
Conconully	177	220	235
Coulee Dam - part	890	910	915
Elmer City	310	240	290
Nespelem	235	235	245
Oroville	1,615	1,690	1,700
Pateros	595	667	595
Riverside	305	280	290
Tonasket	1,025	1,025	1,115
Twisp	1,000	925	985
Winthrop	375	410	500
Unincorporated area	24,072	25,803	25,220

Historical population totals show the population steadily rising, with one decade of decline. A table showing these totals from 1900 - 2010 and a graph illustrating this period follows.


Table 2 – County Population 1900 - 2010

Year	Population	Increase (or Decrease)	Percent Increase (or Decrease)
2010	41,120	1,556	3.78%
2000	39,564	6,214	15.71%
1990	33,350	2,687	8.06%
1980	30,663	4,796	15.64%
1970	25,867	347	1.34%
1960	25,520	-3,611	-14.15%
1950	29,131	4,585	15.74%
1940	24,546	6,027	24.55%
1930	18,519	1,425	7.69%
1920	17,094	4,207	24.61%
1910	12,887	8,198	63.61%
1900	4,689	***	***

Source: Washington State 1991 Data Book, Office of Financial Management

Chart 1 – County Population 1900 to 2010


Population



Source: 2010 U.S. Census

Okanogan County has a median age of 37.2 in 2010, meaning that half of the residents are above the age of 37 and half are below. The following chart compares the ages of Okanogan County residents in 2010 to those of 2000.

Chart 2 - Okanogan County Age Distribution 2000 & 2010 Census



RACIAL BREAKDOWNS

The major ethnic groups of Okanogan County are White, American Indian, and Hispanic. Tables follow illustrating this mix.

Table 3 compares minority totals to State averages. As can be seen, although Okanogan County has very few black, Asian, or Pacific Islander people, the total minorities are much higher than the State total. This is due to the presence of the Colville Indian Reservation, which overlaps about 19 percent of Okanogan County, and many Hispanics who live in the County.

Table 3 – Racial Breakdowns

Race	County Totals	Population Percentage	State Percentages
White	30,399	73.9%	74.8%
Black	159	0.4%	3.5%
American Indian & AK	4,675	11.4%	1.5%
Native			
Asian& Pac Is.	268	0.7%	7.2%
Hispanic	4,163	10.1%	10.2%
Two or More	1,456	3.5%	2.8%
Total	41,120	100%	100%

Table 4 shows which of these residents claim Hispanic origin. This can be confusing, but it must be remembered that Hispanic origin is an ethnic group, not a racial category.

Table 4 – Racial Breakdowns with Hispanic Origins

Total	41,120	100%	7,227	100.0%
				Hispanic Origin
White	30,399	73.9%	2,307	31.9%
Black	159	0.4%	28	0.4%
Native American	4,675	11.4%	284	3.9%
API	268	0.7%	18	0.2%
Other Race	4,163	10.1%	4,121	57.0%
Two or More	1,456	3.5%	469	6.5%

Source: 2010US Census

HOUSEHOLDS

There are 15,027 households in Okanogan County. There are also group facilities such as correctional and juvenile institutions, nursing homes, emergency shelters, and other group quarters where the remaining 2% of the population is housed.

Table 5 – Household Data

		Population In Households	Population In Group Quarters	Occupied Housing Units	Average Person Per Household
1970	Households	25,536	331	10,447	2.4
	Unincorporated	12,240	86	5,730	2.1
	Incorporated	13,296	245	4,717	2.8
1980	Households	30,406	257	11,371	2.7
	Unincorporated	16,423	32	5,741	2.9
	Incorporated	13,983	225	5,630	2.5
1990	Households	33,350	605	16,629	2.0
	Unincorporated	19,294	305	10,340	1.9
	Incorporated	14,056	325	6,289	2.2
2000	Households	38,713	851	15,211	2.5
	Unincorporated	23,285	362	8,769	2.7
	Incorporated	15,428	489	6,258	2.5
2010	Households	40,480	640	16,519	2.5
	Unincorporated	24,517	263	9,952	2.5
	Incorporated	15,963	377	6,567	2.4

Source: 2010 US Census, WS-OFM

PROJECTIONS

The Office of Financial Management, Forecasting Division, has made high, intermediate, and low population projections for Okanogan County. Table 6 below shows the intermediate projections. In addition, Table 7 shows other population projections, calculated using the average increase of the years 1990 to 2010 of 1.863% per year.

Table 6 – Intermediate Population Projection

2010	42,739
2015	44,923
2020	46,526
2025	48,016
2030	49,239

Table 7 – 1.86% Annual Growth

2000	39,564
2005	43,389
2010	57,584
2015	52,185
2020	57,230

OFM 2007 projections

ECONOMY

The local economy has in the past been heavily dependent on resource industries. Tree fruit production, cattle ranching, alfalfa production, logging and wood products manufacturing have historically played a critical role in the area's economic well being. However, changes in resource industries over the past few years have resulted in an increasing dependence on recreational tourism and retail sales to keep the economy growing. Furthermore, declines in timber harvests, reduction of acreage devoted to agriculture and uncertain markets for cattle have resulted in a growing interest in diversification of the economic base.

Today 70% of the County's workforce is employed in professional, service or sales occupations (closely tied to tourism), while occupations in forestry and agriculture have declined to nine and a half percent of the workforce.



Parks and Recreation Trends

Emerging trends within park and recreation planning in Washington State should be looked at to help identify future demand and needs. The following trends were highlighted by the Recreation and Conservation Office (RCO) and include both state and national surveys and research. Those trends relate to specific

opportunities within the County. People are busy and have to weigh the time available for work, live, and play. Key trends to consider include:

- Aging population: Older and retired populations continue to grow within the county with many expected to stay active likely demanding more recreation opportunities
- Ethnic diversity: County is diverse with non-native speaking residents that should be considered in marketing and services
- Changing lifestyles: More generations and changing work patterns are creating off peak demand on facilities and less structure and more options for multi-generational activities
- Physical activity: An increased interest in physical activity has emerged as obesity rises in children and adults throughout the country
- Convenient recreation: People are getting busier and costs for travel are increasing causing an increase and interest in recreating closer to home and work Recreation preference

PLANNING AND SERVICES AREAS


WHAT AREA ARE WE PLANNING FOR?

The planning area (see Planning and Service Area map on Page 15) consists of those privately owned lands outside the corporate limits of the County's thirteen cities and towns. For the County as a whole, only an estimated 23% of the land is presently in private ownership.


WHAT IS OUR SERVICE AREA?

The service area includes primarily Okanogan County; however parks and recreation facilities in the County are used by people from all over the region, state, country, Canada and other countries all over the world. In addition U.S. Forest service roads throughout County provide access to a wide array of recreational activities and are an important part of the service area.

The Plan recognizes the importance of recreation-seeking visitors, who contribute \$9.0 million annually in trail-related retail and hospitality expenditure revenue to the Methow Valley economy alone. As shown by the comments in the summary of the Visitors Profile Study in the Public Participation section of this Plan, people visit the region specifically to experience recreation opportunities unique to the physical climate of the county.



A comprehensive definition of the County's service area is difficult as a result of the wide range of users and wide array of the County's recreational opportunities. Okanogan County is a true four-season destination for people from all over the state, nation, and increasingly, the world. Residents of the County and its visitors are avid users of an amazing variety of opportunities, from mountaineering and snowmobiling to fly-fishing and driving for pleasure.

PLANNING AND SERVICE AREA MAP**OKANOGAN COUNTY - SERVICE AREA**

For the purpose of this Element, the service area has been defined as primary and secondary. The **primary** service area includes North Central Washington and South Central British Columbia. The **secondary** service area includes the Puget Sound, Southwest British Columbia, the Columbia Basin, Spokane, N.E. Washington and North Idaho.

INVENTORY

WHAT RECREATIONAL FACILITIES CURRENTLY EXISTS?

While a large number of recreation facilities exist in the County, Okanogan County's involvement in parks and recreation activities and facilities is limited to a network of Sno-Parks, grooming a 437.7 mile system of interconnected snowmobile trails, operation of the Okanogan County Fairgrounds, The Similkameen River Trail, Whistler Canyon Trail, Sa-Teekh-Wa Park and administration of grant funds for development of portions of the Methow Community Trail.





The Similkameen River Trail is the first urban trail in the Okanogan Valley. Explore the rich history of the Okanogan along this scenic path - Oroville

Other than the Fairgrounds, the parks and recreation facilities and activities operated, supported and/or planned by the County are linear in nature.




A snow groomer working on Baldy Pass near Conconully. Over 400 miles of groomed trails are available for recreation

The trail-grooming program is funded through the 1971 Snowmobile Act, which was amended in 1975 to provide funding for snowmobile trails and grooming. In Okanogan County, this program is a cooperative effort between the county, Forest Service, many private landowners, and the State. A local Snowmobile Advisory Board provides assistance and recommendations to the Public Works Department on improvements and operation of the Sno-Parks and connecting trail networks. Maps illustrating the County's current snowmobile trail and Sno-Park system are included in Appendix G.



Sa-Teekh-Wa Park, accessed by a suspension bridge from down town Winthrop honors the heritage of the First Nations. Trail signs describe history and environment.

The Whistler Canyon Trail, 3 miles south of Oroville offers spectacular views of the Okanogan river valley and a best chance to view Big Horn Sheep.



OKANOGAN COUNTY FAIRGROUNDS

LEGEND

① MAIN GATE
PAYMENT DROP BOX

② AGRIPLEX

③ MAIN OFFICE

④ SOUTH RV SITES
SEWER, WATER, ELECTRIC

⑤ ANNEX & FULL KITCHEN

⑥ GOAT & DAIRY

⑦ SHEEP

⑧ FUR-CATS

⑨ FEATHER

⑩ BERG PAVILLON SWINE

⑪ ARTS & CRAFTS

⑫ BEEF BARN

⑬ COMMERCIAL

⑭ JONES HALL

⑮ 4-H

⑯ GRANGE

⑰ HORTICULTURE

⑱ LITTLE BEEF

⑲ SHOP

⑳ HORSE BARN
NO BEDDING, SELF-CLEAN

㉑ GRAND STANDS

㉒ NORTH RV SITES
WATER, ELECTRIC

㉓ RACE HORSE AREA


㉔ NORTH GATE



MAP CREATED BY
THE CHRONICLE

The County Fairgrounds provides a wide range of opportunities for recreational pursuits and is managed by the Okanogan County Park Board. The fairgrounds offer facilities for equestrian events, rodeo and other recreational activities. The Agri-plex, a 24,000 square foot building with a 3,600 square foot annex including a commercial kitchen, provides a facility for conferences, trade shows and other special events.

In June of 1990, the County joined a cooperative, citizen's led effort to build twenty-six miles of ski and bicycle trails in the Methow Valley. The effort includes the County, the Methow Institute Foundation (MIF), the Methow Valley Sports Trails Association, (MVSTA), the U.S. Forest Service and the State. Construction of the trails was managed by the MIF and has been funded through grants to the County by the Recreation and Conservation office (RCO) and other state and federal sources. Trail maintenance is the responsibility of the MVSTA with portions of the trail operated under a U.S. Forest Service Special Use Permit. Maps illustrating the Methow trail system are included in Appendix G.



There is also a wide array of park and recreation facilities operated by cities and towns, non-profit organizations, Washington State through the State Parks, the Department of Natural Resources (DNR), the Department of Fish and Wildlife, and the federal government through the National Park Service and the U.S. Forest Service.

There are approximately 65 sites operated by cities, with various degrees of development. The communities of Tonasket, Omak, Okanogan, Brewster and Twisp have outdoor swimming pools. Oroville, Tonasket, Omak, Okanogan, Brewster and Pateros maintain public boat launches. Nearly all communities have at least one sports field, with the larger communities having recently developed new and larger fields.

There are 6 golf courses in the County – Alta Lake, Lake Woods, Bear Creek, Okanogan Valley, Gamble Sands and Oroville. All but the Gamble Sands and Alta Lake are nine holes with the courses primarily privately owned and operated.

There are two Sno-Parks dedicated for Nordic skiing. One is located at the South Summit on Loup Loup pass and the other in the Okanogan Highlands east of Tonasket. Both Sno-Parks feature access to groomed Nordic ski trails maintained by local non-profit organizations using state grant funds. There are also two alpine ski areas. The Loup Loup Ski Bowl at the Loup Loup summit and Sitzmark, near Havillah east of Tonasket are both operated by non-profit organizations. Both areas feature a chair lift and variety of terrain. The Loup Loup Ski Bowl is operated under a U.S. Forest Service Special Use Permit while Sitzmark is located on leased private land and land recently purchased by the non-profit group that operates the area.

Washington State operates four state parks in the County, the DNR operates eight sites and the Department of Fish and Wildlife has numerous river, lake and hunting area access points. The Okanogan National Forest has 26 trailheads and 39 developed campgrounds, and additionally allows dispersed recreation in 627,992 acres of wilderness area, 357,761 acres of roadless areas, and 634,106 acres of roaded forest area. The North Cascades Scenic Highway passes through 129,502 acres on the National Forest. In 2009 the Okanogan National Forest recorded a total of over 361,000 site visits. A site visit is the entry of one person into a NF site of area to participate in recreation activities for and unspecified period of time. The Federal Bureau of Reclamation also provides recreational opportunities via several access sites along the Okanogan River. The Bureau of Land Management also provides a boat launch, camping and picnic area at Palmer Lake, dispersed campgrounds on the Similkameen River, a trail at McLaughlin Canyon and is joint manager with Okanogan County for the Similkameen River Trail.

In addition to the more active outdoor recreation opportunities the County is the home of many significant historical tourist attractions including the museums in Okanogan, Oroville, Molson, Winthrop, Conconully, Pateros, Stampede Museum, Tribal museum and the Fort Okanogan Interpretive Center. Historical signs across the county tell stories of sights of interest.



The Okanogan County Historical Society maintains signs describing the county's history

Community events throughout the County also provide opportunities for recreation. The major public draws such as the Omak Stampede, Coulee Dam's Laser Light Show, Winthrop 49er Days, Oroville May Day, the Trail Day festival in Oroville, Tonasket Founders Day, Okanogan Family Faire, Pateros Apple Pie Jamboree and the Chesaw Rodeo bring tourists from around the region. In addition, the Methow Trails holds a variety of trail-based events throughout the year in the Methow Valley (Sunflower Relay, Cutthroat Classic, Mountain Bike Festival, winter Nordic ski events).

The private sector is a significant contributor to recreation opportunities. From heli-skiing in the North Cascades to ice skating in Winthrop, the private sector provides myriad opportunities for enjoying outdoor recreation in the County.

See Appendix A for a complete inventory of parks and recreation facilities in Okanogan County.

WHAT PLANS ARE IN PLACE?

Okanogan County Plans:

Okanogan Outdoor Recreation Plan

In 2016, Okanogan County prepared a recreation plan, including goals, policies and direction for Okanogan County. Following the plan, the Similkameen Trail, Whistler Canyon Trail and Sa-Teekh-Wa Park, were developed.

Methow Review District Plan

In 1990, Okanogan County adopted a Comprehensive Recreational Plan for the Methow Review District. This Plan focused primarily on trail systems for the Methow Valley.

Omak-Okanogan Greenway Plan

In 1994 the County retained a consultant to prepare a study of the feasibility and alternatives for this trail. The study was completed but not adopted.

Okanogan County Comprehensive Parks and Recreation Element


In 1993, the County and their consultant prepared the first Park and Recreation Element for the Okanogan County Comprehensive Plan.

Upper Methow Valley Comprehensive Plan

In 2000, the County updated the plan for Sub-Unit A as identified in the Methow Valley Addendum. The update included revised goals, policies and direction for parks and recreation in the Upper Methow Valley.

Comprehensive Recreational Plan for the Methow Review District 1989

In 1989 the County prepared a parks and recreation plan for the Methow Review District as part of the effort to construct the Methow Community Trail.



Town, City and Non-Profit Plans:

MVSTA Master Plan 2018

Brewster Park and Recreation Plan 2002

Omak Park and Recreation Plan 2020

Twisp Trail and Recreation Plan 2014, 2020 Draft

Tonasket Park Plan Draft 2020

Winthrop Parks and Recreation Plan Draft 1997

City of Okanogan Parks and Recreation Plan 1996

Oroville Comprehensive Park and Recreation Plan 1989

Methow Valley Trails Plan 1984

Winthrop Parks and Recreation Plan 2018

A Bikeway Criteria Digest 1973

Federal and State Plans:

The BLM is currently working on plan updates.

Okanogan-Wenatchee National Forest Recreation Facilities and Trails Plan 2016-2020

WDFW Methow, Scotch Creek and Sinlahekin Wildlife Areas Management Plans

Regional Plans

Northeast Washington Trail Strategy 2020

At this time, the County and its residents, visitors and trail advocates are invited to participate in the development of a new “all hands, all lands” regional strategy for the development and stewardship of trail systems in northeast Washington. Due to the involvement of specific parties, e.g., DNR, BLM, Forest Service, and nonprofit partners like the Pacific Northwest Trail Association, this regional initiative’s scope extends across the north county.

PLANNING FOR THE FUTURE

GOALS

Okanogan County continues to explore the various roles recreation plays in the health, safety, and well-being of its citizens and its potential to increase the area's economic base. Recreation, especially the availability of quality facilities and opportunities, not only enriches the lives of those who participate, but also improves the physical and mental health, safety, crime prevention, and citizenship of a community. Parks and recreation make a substantial contribution to the "quality of life" and economic future for Okanogan County residents.

Okanogan County is unique in Washington State as the largest county. It has a low population, high mileage distances between population centers and extensive rural areas. It is less than 30% privately owned. Major County focuses are maintenance of existing park and recreation facilities, providing connections between existing and planned facilities, filling in "gaps" where facilities are needed, coordinating a comprehensive approach to facility maintenance and development and most importantly, providing a quality recreation experience for residents and visitors alike.

The goals for parks and recreation planning in Okanogan County are as follows:

Goal 1 - Provide recreational opportunities to meet the diverse needs of residents and visitors

Objectives:

- a. Identify and analyze current and future needs for the County concerning multi-functional recreation facilities enabling recreation for all ages, backgrounds and abilities.
- b. Provide for adequate, well-planned, and well-maintained parks, trails, recreation opportunities and open spaces within the County.
- c. Encourage the development of year-round water-related access and recreation on the rivers, lakes and streams in the County.
- d. Existing and new facilities should be accessible to the physically challenged
- e. Identify and inventory current resources and improvements needed to enable recreation for all ages, backgrounds and abilities.
- f. Develop and implement a plan addressing routes, safety, travel modes, signage, and capacity issues for users for existing and planned new facilities.
- g. Incorporate elements for visual separation, conflict management, and safe bridge crossings
- h. Develop user resources online through social media and partnering entities who disseminate information in a variety of ways

Goal 2 - Protect history, environment, culture, and agriculture

Objectives:

- a. Evaluate the environmental, cultural, historical, agricultural and economic implications for all multi-modal recreation usage or potential usage throughout the County.
- b. Identify potential park areas and property of historical or ecological significance that should be protected or acquired.
- c. Maintain existing ranches, farms and other open spaces for the direct and indirect impacts (access, habitat, aesthetics, etc...) on recreation and the economic well-being of the County.

Goal 3 - Promote economic stability within Okanogan County

Objectives:

- a. Identify land use regulations, cost and other issues that may limit or improve the feasibility of identified park and recreation projects.
- b. Encourage development of regulations that provide incentives to developers for retaining open spaces and establishing trails, parks, playgrounds and other recreation improvements.
- c. Inventory recreational facilities belonging to Federal, State, Tribes, Cities and Towns, and other organizations along with their usage fees and impacts to County resources or plans. Identify partnerships and support mechanisms' to develop recreation generators.

Goal 4 - Promote public awareness of the economic, environmental, interpretive and natural resource management values of recreation and leisure activities

Objectives:

- a. Establish and maintain an active advisory committee for parks and recreation planning and facilities development.
- b. Develop reference materials for schools, organizations, taxpayers, businesses, residents, tourism promoters, and others featuring facilities available for proper use.
- c. Develop and maintain cooperative partnerships with Tribal, Federal, State and local resource and recreation agencies and organizations to further an understanding of the values and impacts of recreation and open space on the County and its citizens.

Goal 5 - Facilitate the development, maintenance, expansion and improvement of socially, economically and environmentally relevant public policy that supports recreation, parks and leisure programs and services**Objectives:**

- a. Review existing and proposed regulations to ensure that public recreation oriented uses are provided with flexibility and a simplified, expedited permitting and review process, and that County tax policy reflect the public nature of such uses.
- b. The County should conduct an economic benefit analysis on trails, recreational uses of the County Fairgrounds and other dispersed recreational uses.
- c. Consider wildfire and snow management in the design and maintenance of parks and recreation facilities.
- d. Encourage cooperation, communication and consistency among local, state and federal agencies when land use and management decisions are made that affect parks and recreation opportunities and facilities. Likewise, all parks and recreation planning should be performed in a similar coordinated fashion.

Goal 6 - Promote the development and dissemination of information about the economic value of recreation in Okanogan County**Objectives:**

- a. Work with the Okanogan Tourism Council to identify what opportunities are available, what opportunities are lacking, current efforts, what organizations are involved, share ideas, discuss changes that should be made and evaluate and monitor progress.
- b. Encourage and foster recreational development as a stimulus to the economy.
- c. Encourage an understanding of the contribution of recreational facilities and activities to the "quality of life" and the value of this contribution in stabilizing and strengthening the economic base of the community.
- d. Conduct a Parks and Recreation User Survey every 5 years to reevaluate trends and changing needs and desires of county residents and visitors.

Goal 7 - Develop and implement ongoing partnerships for resource sharing and cooperation among all entities with a stake in parks and recreation**Objectives:**

- a. Focus partnership efforts on federal, state and local organizations that provide and promote parks and recreation opportunities.

Goal 8 – Monitor, evaluate and revise the Capital Improvements section of this plan on an annual basis

Objectives:

- a. Annually identify and prioritize projects, with cost estimates and potential funding sources.
- b. Identify alternative revenue sources such as taxes, gifts, user fees, endowments, grants and other.

Goal 9 - Identify a county agency or organization as the lead entity for implementation of this plan and the objectives herein

Objectives:

- a. Identify a specific position in county government responsible for the implementation and periodic review and update of this plan.
- b. Prepare and submit grant applications for identified priority improvements to the Washington State Recreation & Conservation Office and other appropriate funding sources.
- c. Initiate and maintain regular Park and Recreation meetings with interested residents, organizations, city, county, and tribal officials.



Methow Valley Community Trails offer year round recreation.

DEMAND/NEED ANALYSIS

This portion of the plan focuses on the demand on existing facilities and programs and the need for new and/or improved facilities and programs. Data and input for the analysis relied on many sources of information with the Paths and Trails Advisory Committee serving as a sounding board and important review body as the plan was prepared.

The County relied on input collected during the public review process, the results from public meetings and a web-based survey conducted in 2012 and 2020.



PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

Public Participation Process and Survey Results:

PUBLIC MEETINGS

The process to update the 2012 Parks and Recreation Element began with a series of workshops held around the County.

Concurrent to the workshops, an extensive survey was offered across the service area. A complete list of survey results and general comments can be found in Appendix C.

For the 2020 update, due to the COVID 19 pandemic, the county has limited action to seeking input directly from the incorporated communities, agencies and recreation stakeholders and publishing a public survey.

Okanogan County conducted an extensive survey in 2012. Since then the county has experienced several extreme fires across the county. It has taken great efforts to rebuild the county, trails and recreation facilities have also sustained substantial damage.

Much of the work to bring our infrastructure back has fallen on volunteers with several recreation groups across the county. Okanogan County appreciates the hard work of these groups. This survey asked for information from the public and trail groups, to learn of problems and highlights of this work.

Groups that responded included Methow Trails, Evergreen Mountain Bike Alliance, Washington Trails Association WTA, Friends of Twisp Pool, Loup Loup Ski Bowl, North Central ATV Club of Washington NCATV, Okanogan Highlands Alliance OHA, Pacific Northwest Trail Association PNTA, Back Country Horsemen Methow and Okanogan BCHW. Many of these volunteers are members of more than one of these groups.

Most of the respondents mentioned maintaining trails and keeping them open. With fires burning much of Okanogan County trees falling will be an ongoing problem for

years to come. Often when trees burn out they leave a "post hole" an additional danger for trail users.


With an abundance of trail maintenance several expressed an interest in new trails, especially near town. A trail between Twisp and Winthrop was often mentioned. The highway offers very little shoulder. More Mtn bike opportunities.

Friends of the Twisp Pool are working to bring updated facilities to the Methow Valley. Many of the pools in the county are reaching an age that makes them hard to maintain.

Several mentioned better mapping and trail information along with trail signage.

There was also mention of people on ATV's and Snowmobiles going at high speeds, creating unsafe conditions. The ATV groups do a great deal of trail clearing and maintaining trails, and would like to see more forest roads open. They don't support reckless or destructive behavior.

All comments are available in Appendix Documents.



Pearrygin Lake near Winthrop features a State Park on the north shore.

Methow Valley Trails Economic Impact Study

Executive Summary

This economic impact analysis of the Methow Valley recreational trail network and appurtenant land resources has been prepared for Methow Trails. The intent of the 2015 study is to update a 2005 study and broadly assess the range of economic impacts of the trail network on the local and regional economy.

Key to the report are the findings of two surveys conducted in winter 2015 with three groups: Methow Valley residents, trail users (local and non-local), and area businesses. In sum, 504 respondents participated in the resident/trail user survey, and 93 businesses responded to the business survey. Additional methods were used to define the range of economic impacts, direct and indirect, related to Methow Valley trail lands and activities they offer.



The Big Picture *Trail User Expenditures*

- Nearly \$6.7 million dollars in direct expenditures are made annually (in 2014 dollars) to the Methow Valley economy by local and non-local trail users.
- Trail user (local, resident, non-local) expenditures average \$1,793 per trip.

- Trail user spending is heavily concentrated in the service sector. Lodging remains the top spending category, at about 28.3% of all expenditures. Restaurant/food/grocery expenditures presents a combined average share of about 38.4%, and purchase/rental of sporting goods and recreational equipment has a pooled average expenditure share of about 12.9%.
- More than 72% of business survey respondents indicated a peak season dependence on visitors, with 48.4% 'highly dependent' on visitors and 23.7% 'somewhat dependent'.
- Business survey respondents indicated that the number of visitors who came to the Methow Valley to take advantage of its trail network had increased significantly (23.7%) or increased somewhat (28.0%) over the course of time they have been in business.
- Business survey respondents indicated that summer is the season in which the greatest average percentage of revenues for area businesses (39.0%) are generated by visitors using the trail network for non-motorized recreation, followed by winter (35.9%).


Indirect Economic Impacts

- Over \$5.7 million dollars of induced or secondary expenditures within the Methow Valley's economy can be attributed to the Methow trail network, and related natural resource-based recreation opportunities unique to the Methow Valley.



properties between $\frac{1}{4}$ to $\frac{1}{2}$ mile from amenity lands, buyers are willing to pay 6.12% (\$13,182) more per acre, on average. Overall, property value impact is attenuated for land and homes further away from regional trails and open space. This suggests that greater tax revenues are produced by the sales of real estate with, or proximate to lands with particular environmental amenities.

- Revenue generation for area businesses, by group, was highest for 'Nature Enthusiasts, Wildlife Viewers'



and Birders', 'Hikers and Trail Runners' and 'Cyclists', at 81.3%, 80.0%, and 79.9%, respectively.

- The combined active and passive use benefit for the network of lands supporting Methow trail lands was estimated to be \$21.8 million per year for the region.¹

Employment Impacts

- While the Methow Valley region comprises about 16.3% of the county's total population, on average across all industries, it provides about 19.2% of all employment.
- By industry, the Methow Valley provides nearly 40% of all employment in the county in the 'arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation and food services' sector. The second largest employment sector is 'professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services', which provides almost one-third (32.2%) of all sector related employment in Okanogan County. Other sectors contributing a significant proportion of jobs against county total employment include wholesale trade (22.6%), construction (20.2%), finance, insurance, and real estate (20%), and manufacturing (19.2%).
- By occupation, those employed in service industries in the Methow Valley region represent 21.6% of Okanogan County's civilian workforce. The second largest segment, as a percent of the county total, is management, business, science, and arts occupations at 20.4%.
- Overall, Okanogan County experienced an annual rate of employment growth of -3.58% from 2005 to 2014. The Methow Valley region fared somewhat better with a -1.46% annual employment growth rate for the period. Of interest for the 10-year period is the 11% increase in full-time jobs for the Methow Valley region. *Compared against the 2005 business survey, 32% fewer businesses participated in the 2015 study. Extrapolation of current study data reflects an annualized average of 877 jobs for 2014, or about 580 FTE and 297 PTE jobs, which represents about 30.2% of the total 2,900 jobs estimated for the region (1,917 FTE and 983 PTE).*

Methow Trails, through its trail system, programs and related recreation-based activities generated the following economic impacts for the local and regional economy in 2013:

- An estimated 183.8 jobs (121.5 full-time jobs and 62.3 seasonal full-time and/or part-time jobs), or 152.7 FTEs can be directly accredited to the Methow trail system and the network of related recreation and supporting activities. Purchases of goods and services made by these individuals supported an additional 25.8 induced jobs in the region.

¹ Non-market benefits have value as indicated by measures of consumer surplus applied through travel-cost models and other methods; however, their accounting is applied here only in terms of estimates of active and passive recreation use values. Active and passive use non-market valuation studies require significant time and resources, and are outside the scope of this study.

- 30.2 indirect jobs were supported by \$11.4 million of local purchases made by businesses supplying services to Methow Trails and related recreation-, services-, and tourism-related businesses.
- \$5.7 million of direct wages and salaries were received by the 183.8 directly employed by Methow Trails and the Valley's related recreation-, services-, and tourism-related businesses.
Re-spending of this income created an additional \$871,536 of income and consumption expenditures in Washington, principally in Okanogan County. Those holding indirect jobs received \$982,444 in indirect income.
- Businesses providing services to Methow Trails and the region's recreation-, services-, and tourism-related businesses received some \$22 million of revenues.

Tax Impacts

- In the period between 2012 and 2014, visitors to the Methow Valley spent an estimated average of \$49.7 million annually in direct travel spending in the region. Of this, approximately \$18.6 million annually can be attributed to trail users and other visitors attracted to the area's natural resources and outdoor recreation opportunities.
- Expenditures by all trail users (resident, local, and non-local) for the period between 2012 and 2014 generated an estimated annual average of \$292,740 in local (city and county) tax revenues, \$94,391 in state-shared transient lodging taxes, \$97,269 in additional hotel/motel taxes, and \$211,896 in state taxes.
- Tax receipt distributions, for Twisp and Winthrop alone, attributable to all trail users and other visitors attracted to the Methow Valley's open space and outdoor recreation opportunities over the 2012-2014 period represent an average 24.1% of Okanogan County's regular state-shared lodging tax, and about 31.3% of the county's additional special lodging taxes collected. Similarly, tax receipt distributions for other areas of the Methow Valley region within unincorporated Okanogan County represents an average of 8.5% of the County's regular state-shared lodging tax, and 7.7% of the County's additional lodging taxes collected. Combined, for the 2012-2014 period, Methow Valley region's lodging tax contributions represent an average of 71.6% of those taxes collected by Okanogan County.

Resident / Trail User Views & Values

- Almost 91.9% of both resident and trail user survey respondents indicate their access to and the provision of public and private recreational facilities in the Methow Valley is very important (79.6%) or important (12.3%).
- 84.3% of trail user and 76.0% of resident respondents say the Methow trail network was the most important factor to their average visit, with another 14.5% and 20.0%, respectively, indicating the trail network was an important factor.
- Trail users reported peak trail months, in order of use/visits, as February, January, and December, with 90.2%, 85.6% and 68.4% of trail users reporting use in these months, respectively. Similarly, the 2005 and 1998 studies reported February, January and December as the primary months for trail use. There were

slight increases in visitation in August, September, October and November over 2005, but slight decreases in April and May.

- Resident and trail user respondents feel strongly about the protection of open space and aesthetic beauty in the Methow Valley. A combined average of 83.0% of all respondents stated that they felt it was either 'extremely important' or 'very important' to preserve the area's undeveloped open space. Further, a combined average of 84.1% of all respondents reported that the area's natural beauty was 'extremely important' or 'very important'.
- As in 2005, resident and trail user respondents indicated the top three reasons or characteristics influencing their decisions to move to or visit the Methow Valley are, in order of magnitude, 1) proximity to natural resources, 2) natural beauty and 3) rural character.
- The median length of non-local trail user visitor stays in the Methow Valley is about 6 days. These visitors report spending an average of \$298 locally per day, while local trail users/residents (largely second homeowners) stay an average of 11.7 days per visit, with average daily expenditures of \$228.²

DEMAND/NEEDS SUMMARY

It is clear from public response that the citizens of Okanogan County and those that visit the County place a very high value on recreation, and have strong a desire for new and/or improved recreational facilities

The focus of this plan is outdoor recreation. However, the County is in the position of supporting local community efforts to improve and expand indoor and outdoor recreational opportunities. The County can also actively work with local organizations, state and federal governments, utilities and others on long range recreation planning, maintenance of existing facilities and development of new facilities that connect existing and proposed recreational opportunities.

The following Action Plan proposes first to proceed with those projects and programs already underway or which exist and require ongoing maintenance. A high priority is continuation of and enhancement of existing County park and recreation projects, including the grooming of snowmobile trails and improvements at Sno-Parks, and the continued cooperation on developing both motorized and non-motorized trails in the Methow Valley and north County areas. The existing facilities are valued and used by County residents and new projects should not detract from them.

The second recommendation is that the County Commissioners establish a mechanism for plan implementation and ongoing citizen participation through the designation of an

²Appropriately addressing expenditures related to trail use/visits requires inclusion of all trail users (local, non-local, resident) within the analysis; thus, all are "visitors". Attribution of "visits" or "per trip" terms related to discussion of residents takes into account the spectrum of the resident population, which consists of a substantial number of second home owners, rental property owners, landowners who camp on their lands, and others with residential arrangements in Methow Valley. This framework simplifies the discussion and adheres to convention for recreation resource studies.

Outdoor Recreation Coordinator participation of an advisory body to help with implementation of this plan.

The third recommendation is that a series of feasibility studies on indoor recreation facilities, outdoor recreation facilities, and river and lake developments be conducted.

A fourth piece is recognition of the important role the County and U.S. Forest Service road systems play in providing both access as well as opportunities for enhancing recreation.

The final piece of the action plan is coordination and cooperation.

ACTION PLAN

Outdoor recreation plays a major role in the economy of Okanogan County. Implementing this plan will take time, money and dedication, but the results will be a stronger parks and recreation system and continued support and growth of that system. Leadership is a vital aspect of cohesively implementing a parks and recreation plan. Okanogan County is the best entity to fill this leadership role. The parks and recreation plan identifies proposed action items. This list and the accompanying discussion form the foundation for the Outdoor Recreation Capital Improvements Plan (CIP).

Trails

Priorities for trail development have been established to ensure the safest and highest potential use trails are developed. Trail routes together with the needed improvements are listed in order of priority in the Capital Facilities Plan. Segments of routes that are most hazardous to the trail user are accorded the highest priority. Roadways scheduled for work should be improved for trail use at the same time, and all new construction should provide improved shoulders for reasons of traffic safety, whether the road appears in this plan or not. These priorities should guide the County in its pursuit of new trails. However, this list should not preclude the County from participating in the identification and development of historic or other trails that would be beneficial to County residents. Rankings reflect local need.

A top priority identified during the public process was development of new trails and linkages, seasonal and/or year-round and motorized and/or non-motorized, between existing trails throughout the County. The following general recommendations are included in the plan related to creation of a viable trails program within the County.

1. The development of trail routes along county road rights-of-way should be coordinated with, and incorporated in the County Engineer's Six Year Program whenever possible. By doing so the development of trails or portions of trails can take place as county roads are maintained, improved and constructed.
2. The development of any given trail route can proceed in stages as funding becomes available. As a short-term solution to the problem of safety, adequate signing should precede all other types of physical modification to the trail route.
3. Various sources of funding should be actively pursued as a means of financing the development of trails. Funding in the form of grants, adding to the present level of funding from the state greatly, contributes to meeting the goals and objectives outlined in this plan.

Outdoor Recreation Coordinator and Advisory Committee

Each area of the County has many distinct needs and desires, as well as needs common with other areas of the County. Implementation of this plan and initiation of an ongoing program for maintenance and development of outdoor facilities, creation of a forum to resolve trail use issues as well as establishing a mechanism for the type of coordinated and cooperative planning and development envisioned by this document requires the Outdoor Recreation Coordinator position in County Government. This individual would be charged with implementation of the Goals and Objectives contained in this plan. The Coordinator would provide a focus and point of contact in County government for what has been identified as an important part of the County's economic future – maintenance and development of outdoor recreation facilities.

Projects

Appointment of Outdoor Recreation Coordinator and Advisory Committee

The County Commissioners should appoint an Outdoor Recreation Coordinator and Outdoor Recreation Advisory Committee and charge them with the follow tasks:

- Organize and establish bylaws
- Review outdoor recreation functions within County Government and provide recommendation on how to establish a formal Outdoor Recreation Program
- Review plan and prepare meaningful Capital Improvement Plan and work to integrate outdoor recreation projects into the County's overall Capital Facilities Plan
- Provide reviews of and recommendations on the vacating of ROW of surplus public land.
- Prepare recommendations on design criteria and guidelines for trailheads, river access and other recreation facilities that address solid waste, security, human waste, snow management, traffic management, signage, maintenance, etc. Annually review and amend plan to reflect new and changing conditions

Feasibility Studies

The goal of these studies will be to have a clear understanding of the need and demand for a variety of projects, the associated costs, available funding, and possible sites. After the feasibility studies, specific projects will be developed for each region of the County, and funding will be sought. The Outdoor Recreation Coordinator would manage the studies and the Outdoor Recreation Committee would provide review, opportunity for public input and guidance and direction to the County Commissioners throughout the process.

These feasibility studies will look at the County as a whole, and answer the following questions:

- Who are the potential users of different facilities?
- What are the different levels of potential use?
- Where in the County is the demand greatest?
- What is the range of potential cost, both for ongoing maintenance and operation?
- What are the financing options?
- Where are the possible sites?
- What citizen groups or governments maintain or are working for this type of facility?
- How can the County and these groups or governments work together most effectively?

Projects that should be studied for feasibility

Public Access to Rivers and Lakes County Wide – The Demand/Need Analysis identified a significant desire for improved and expanded access to water bodies including identified “river trails” in the County as well as improvements to those accesses which already exist.

Off-Road Hiking and Biking Paths North County, Mid-County and Columbia The Demand/Need Analysis identified a significant desire for continued maintenance and expansion of access to pedestrian (non motorized) and bike trails in the County.

Cross-Country Ski Trails County Wide- The Demand/Need Analysis Identified a significant desire for the on-going maintenance and high quality grooming of cross-country trails.

Nature and Interpretive Areas North County - The Demand/Need Analysis identified a significant desire for access to nature and interpretive areas and experiences preferably near to urban centers with easy access and trails.

Indoor Pool – Recreation Center –County wide. The Demand/Need Analysis identified a significant desire for indoor year round swimming facility.

Picnic Areas –Mid County. The Demand/Need Analysis identified a significant desire for picnic areas and play grounds. Mid County

Roads

The County, State DNR and U.S. Forest Service maintain miles of road that provide myriad opportunities for recreation, e.g.: snowmobile trails in winter and access to lakes and streams for fishing in the spring backcountry access in the summer and hunting areas in the fall. The existing road network that provides access to popular public destinations and the demand it serves must be considered an important part of recreation planning. Several roads in Okanogan County have now been identified for dual use allowing ATV or Snowmobile use. In addition the Forest Service and State DNR have identified roads for these activities. Maps of these roads are included in Appendix G, (Riders should check with respective agencies for current designations.)

Projects

Non-motorized transportation – consider non-motorized access when planning and engineering new or upgrading of county roads, ROW acquisition etc

Vacations or surplus of public land and row's - Consider recreational needs and opportunities prior to vacation or surplus of public land and rights-of-way and review past vacations of right-of-way to determine status.

Bike Lanes – There is a growing interest in road touring. Many residents and visitors commented on the need for bicycle lanes in high travel areas. High travel areas such as Elmway from Omak to Okanogan, Old 97 from Brewster to SR 20 and Hwy 7 from Janis Bridge to Oroville, Weeman Bridge to Winthrop should be studied prior to construction and include additional bike safety.

Coordination and Cooperation

The most effective way for the County to improve parks and recreation opportunities in the region is to actively engage in existing ongoing programs. Many Federal and State agencies maintain recreation improvements and engage in planning for improvements to such facilities. The same is true for the incorporated communities and a variety of non-profit organizations in the area. However, in order to be effective the County must provide a single point of contact (e.g. the proposed Outdoor Recreation Coordinator) with the charge to actively work with a wide range of agencies, communities and organizations. In addition the County should encourage the development of a process for resolution of disputes among users and supporters of non-motorized and motorized recreation. A list of such organizations

follows with short descriptions of how a coordinated and cooperative partnership can further implementation of this plan.

No priorities have been assigned under this action area because it is important that the County pursue opportunities for coordination and cooperation as they arise or as they will further the goals and objectives of this plan.

Projects

Cities and Towns within the County – Every city and town in the County contains at least one park. The larger communities have a variety of development recreation facilities and nearly every community is actively pursuing upgrades, expansion and improvements to their parks. The following projects should be supported by the County:

- **Okanogan** – Completion of Sports Complex, Omak/Okanogan Greenway
- **Omak** – Stampede Arena and Grounds Redevelopment project; new restroom/shower facility in Carl Precht RV Park, sports fields realignment, SR 97 Bridge Trail,
- **Tonasket** – Chief Tonasket Riverfront Park development – access through County shop property, pedestrian access, fish observation deck, RV park, swimming pool.
- **Conconully** - Most of the recreational opportunities in the Conconully area are under County, State or Federal jurisdiction. The Town supports the development of trails (e.g. connecting trail down Salmon Creek to old Ruby), improvement and expansion of the facilities in Conconully State Park, improved access to Conconully and Salmon Lakes and identification of mountain bike routes.
- **Oroville** – PNT connection from City east and west, trailheads, connecting trails, East Lake Ball fields, and East Lake community trails.
- **Brewster** – Riverfront trail completion, ball fields, Columbia Cove Park improvements
- **Pateros** – Waterfront Trail and Park improvements, construction of playfields, park improvements, RV Park, extend trails, expand camping/tenting, outdoor interactive historical exhibits.
- **Twisp** – Twisp pool replacement, Recreation center, Commons Park, playground at Twisp, Town Park, river trail, Sports fields at Airport
- **Winthrop** – Ice rink, south end Pedestrian Bridge/Trail, Sa-Teak-Wa Park, Heckendorn Park, Cottonwood Park, river trail and connection to school.
- **Mazama** – Community Trail System, Trailhead
- **Nespelem** – Chief Joseph Rest stop, Bicycle lane to Agency
- **Coulee Dam** – Douglas Park renovation

Okanogan County Parks Board – The County Commissioners have formed a Parks Board to oversee the operation of the County Fairgrounds. It is imperative that parks and recreation planning considers the value and potential for the Fairgrounds as a source of a wide array of recreation opportunities

Okanogan County Tourism Council – The OCTC is at the forefront of developing informational and marketing materials and educational programs to attract visitors to the County and enjoy the plentiful recreational (i.e. snowmobiling, historical tours, special events) opportunities. This organization has an important role to play in keeping the County informed of the types of activities our guests are enjoying or seeking.

Okanogan County Historical Society – The Historical Society maintains a network of museums as well as historical signs throughout the County. The Demand/Needs Analysis identified access to history and culture as an important recreational pursuit.

Economic Alliance – The Alliance is the county-wide economic development organization. It is important that parks and recreation planning and implementation include an understanding of the potential for economic development.

Confederate Tribes of the Colville Reservation – The Colville Tribes have a Parks and Recreation Department that oversees a variety of formal and informal recreation opportunities on the Reservation. The Reservation abounds in opportunities for a wide variety of opportunities sought by residents and visitors therefore the Tribes are an important partner in parks and recreation planning and implementation.

U.S. Forest Service – The Forest Service is the largest provider of backcountry recreation in the Okanogan. With its network of trails, campgrounds, picnic areas and other attractions, the Okanogan and Wenatchee National Forest is an important recreational resource for county and visitors. The Forest is presently in the process of updating the "Forest Plan". This is the time for the County to become engaged in the process to advocate for the maintenance of existing facilities and the development of new facilities consistent with this plan.

U.S. Park Service - The Park Service's presence in the County is limited, however North Cascades National Park, Lake Chelan National Recreation Area and the Lake Roosevelt National Recreation Area host large numbers of visitors that pass through the County. The Parks also provide recreation opportunities for a large number of county residents each year. This makes maintenance and development of facilities in these Parks important to Okanogan County.

U.S. Bureau of Land Management – The BLM manages lands along the Similkameen River and elsewhere that may have potential for trail or other recreation development. It is important that the County maintain a relationship with the BLM to enhance cooperation and coordination of activities.

U.S. Bureau of Reclamation – The BOR owns and works with irrigation districts that manage water storage and delivery systems. Some of these facilities (e.g. Conconully and Salmon Lakes and pipeline/canal rights-of-way) offer existing as well as potential recreation opportunities.

State Parks – Washington State Parks operates and maintains four parks in Okanogan County: Conconully, Bridgeport, Pearrygin Lake and Alta Lake. The Park facilities offer camping, water access and picnic facilities. The Parks provide an important asset for residents and provide an attraction for visitors. The County needs to work with State Parks to ensure that the facilities are open and maintained. In addition, State Parks has provided grant funding for over 20 years for the maintenance and grooming of miles of snowmobile and Nordic ski trails as well as development and plowing of SnoParks.

State Department of Fish and Wildlife – WDFW owns and manages significant acreage in Okanogan County and has developed and maintains water access points throughout the County. As a significant landowner and agency responsible for the management of fish and wildlife resources, the WDFW plays an important role in ensuring the existence and quality of hunting and fishing opportunities in the County.

State Department of Natural Resources – DNR is also a significant landowner and manager in the County. While most of the lands managed by DNR are dedicated to timber production, all is open for a variety of recreational pursuits.

State Department of Transportation – WSDOT maintains the principal arterials that connect Okanogan County to the “outside world”. These routes are, in many cases, the only means of access to and through the County and with only one exception, link all of the incorporated municipalities. In addition, WSDOT is the conduit for a variety of state and federal funding programs that can be used to improve pedestrian and bicycle access.

State Recreation and Conservation Office – The RCO is one of the principle sources of grant funding for parks and recreation projects. Nearly all significant recreation projects in the County (e.g. swimming pools, athletic fields, picnic areas etc....) outside of the National Forest have been funded to some extend through this agency. This plan must be approved by the agency and updated every 6 years in order for the County to qualify for grant funding.

Okanogan County PUD – While the local PUD does not have the facilities that require extensive licensing and subsequent requirements from the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission like the PUD’s to the south, the utility does own properties and control rights-of-way with potential for recreational development.

Douglas County PUD – Douglas PUD is just finishing the relicensing process for Wells Dam. The existing parks in Pateros, Brewster and Bridgeport were developed by the PUD as part of current license requirements. Additional or improved facilities will be the subject of review and comment during the relicensing process therefore it is important that the County, Cities of Brewster and Pateros and other organizations work with the PUD to find opportunities to address the needs contained in this plan.

Farm Bureau – Agri-tourism – There is a growing interest in the County and region to provide a more direct connection between agricultural producers and consumers as a means to maintain and revitalize the areas agricultural base. Agri-tourism provides opportunities for residents and visitors alike to learn more about agriculture as well as provide new economic activities.

Cascade Fisheries – This organization has several planned projects that address many of the activities and opportunities supported by this plan. Projects such as the development of interpretive sites on Driscoll Island and the proposed International Salmon Center both tie into the County's top outdoor recreation priority – the Pacific Northwest Trail. In addition the proposed research area along Okanogan River in the vicinity of McLaughlin and Keystone Canyons offer excellent opportunities for additional recreational and education trails.

Methow Valley Sports Trail Association – The MVSTA maintains and operates the Methow Community Trail System on a year round basis. This organization provides an excellent example of the type of partnerships with local organizations the County can participate in order to implement this plan.

Loup Loup Ski Education Foundation – The LLSEF operates the Loup Loup Ski Bowl under a Special Use Permit from the U.S. Forest Service. The Foundation has recently completed repayment of a loan used, along with an incredible amount of volunteer labor and donation of time, materials, equipment and money, to construct the quad chair lift. The Foundation has completed development of a new lodge, restrooms, tube tow and other much needed improvements. The LLSEF also maintains popular Nordic ski trails on Bear Mt. and at the Loup Loup South Summit and has been seeking grant funds to acquire better equipment for grooming the trails in the winter as well as improving maintenance in the summer. The County can be a valuable partner to the LLSEF as that non-profit begins to identify funding needs for desired improvements.

Sitzmark Ski Area – Sitzmark Ski Area is dedicated to providing healthy and affordable winter activities for families and youth. The Sitzmark Ski Club supports this effort by developing and promoting low cost instructional programs in alpine skiing and snowboarding. Local school systems, both public and private, benefit from the midweek instructional programs the ski area offers, and weekend ski school allows local youth and families to learn to ski and snowboard close to home and at a reasonable cost.

Pacific Northwest Trail Association – This association is spearheading efforts to complete a trail from the Pacific Coast to Glacier National Park in Montana. In April of 2009 the trail was recognized as a National Scenic Trail. Efforts in Okanogan County have focused on access and development of an Oroville trailhead and a 3.5 mile segment of the Oroville – Nighthawk portion of the Washington and Great Northern rail-trail. Along with acquisition of property 3 miles south of Oroville for a trailhead and access to Whistler Canyon. Both facilities are part of the Pacific Northwest National Scenic Trail.

Backcountry Horsemen of Washington – This association is working with Okanogan County and BLM on the Whistler Canyon Trail a part of the Pacific Northwest Trail. BCHW maintains many of the trails on state and federal lands.

Methow Valley Cycling (MVC) - is a non-profit organization comprised of individuals, organizations and businesses committed to promoting bicycling – road, mountain, and cycle cross - for all ages and abilities in the Methow Valley. MVC is currently engaged in the

process of inventorying all the trails in the Methow Valley for the purpose of creating a bicycle trails strategic plan

Mazama Advisory Committee (MAC) - MAC was created in 1984 when Okanogan County appointed a group of upper Methow Valley citizens to provide planning recommendations as part of "Sub-unit A", which generally encompasses the upper Methow Valley from the Wolf Creek area (northwest of Winthrop) up through the Mazama area. Today MAC members continue to be appointed by the County Commissioners to advise the County on Land Use Planning. MAC is involved with Comprehensive Plan updates for the upper Methow Valley; development of a conceptual Master Plan for the Mazama Center area in coordination with property owners and local residents; and MAC comments to the County on land use proposals in the upper Methow Valley, including highlighting and recommending recreational options afforded by the various proposals.

North Central ATV Club - The North Central ATV Club has been established to promote the sport, be family-oriented, be good stewards and to educate the government and public about ORV use. The club maintains miles of trails in Okanogan County.

Omak Stampede board, Owners & Jockeys Committee, and the Colville Confederated Tribes Encampment & Pow Wow combine to present a three day community event that provides a great economic benefit to businesses across the central part of the county and also each year contributes to park improvements.

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

The Action Plan includes a wide range of actions, all of which have been identified as important to the County, from specific development projects to recommendations for changes in County policy.

1. Community priority as identified through the public participation process, surveys, questionnaires, written and oral comments, meetings and public hearings.
2. Level of potential use.
3. Potential for economic development.
4. Funding potential and possible partnerships.
5. Basic need for implementation of plan.

All projects are considered important priorities that need to be accomplished to implement this plan. Projects can move to a High priority as funding and/or organizational support develops to promote the effort or a County road is being upgraded and other land use and access changes.

TRAILS								
Project	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	Cost Estimate	Potential Funding
Adopt trail usage, purpose development criteria							\$5,000	County
Oroville to Nighthawk Trail, improvements							\$800,000	County, BLM, RCO
Similkameen Trail - Oroville Trailhead, restroom							\$107,000	
Whistler Canyon to Bonaparte Mountain							\$600,000	County, BLM, USFS, Other
School to Winthrop and Twisp, Methow Community Trail							\$1,000,000	County, RCO, WSDOT, Methow Trails, WDFW, Donations, Other
Driscoll Island Trail							\$300,000	County, WDFW
Oroville Community Trail							\$1,500,000	County, City RCO, Donations, Other
Rehabilitate Sandy Butte Trails							\$800,000	USFS, County
Winthrop Trailhead Facility							\$150,000	Town, County, RCO, Donations, Other

Sa Teekh Wa Park Trail						\$5,000	County
Paving of high traffic rec routes; Sun Mtn Thompson Rd, Little Wolf, Mazama Suspension Bridge Community trail (ADA), Cub Creek.						\$1,000,000	USFS, Methow Trails, FHA County, Grants, Donations
High-elevation Nordic Ski Trail Development (Hwy 20, Boulder Cr, Cedar Cr, Klipchuck, Rendezvous)						\$750,000	County, RCO, Donations, Other
Mazama Fire Break Trail						\$800,000	USFS, County, Other funding sources
Methow Community Trail On-going Maintenance						\$450,000	County, RCO, other funding sources
Golf Cart Trail – Pearygin Lake							State Park, County
Winthrop to Weeman Bike Trail							DOT, County
River Walk Trail - Winthrop							City, County
Mazama bridge- widen						\$375,000	County, RCO, other funding sources
Upper Goat Creek Bridge - replacement						\$100,000	USFS, RCO, other funding sources
USFS Pedestrian Bridge – Ballard Campground							USFS, Grants
Chewuch River - New Sno-Park - toilet						\$24,000	County, USFS, State Parks
Bonaparte Sno-Park - Relocate - toilet						\$42,000	County, USFS, State Parks
Early Winters/ Hwy 20 Relocate Parking						\$80,000	County, USFS, State
Highlands Nordic Sno-park - Expansion						\$15,000	County, USFS, State Parks
Twisp River Sno-park - Expansion						\$15,000	County, USFS, State Parks
Crawfish Lake Snow-park - Expansion - toilet						\$42,000	County, USFS, State Parks

ORV								
Off-Road Vehicle Park and Trails							\$100,000	County, Grants, Donations
Equipment								
Grooming Equipment - Purchase							\$100,000	County, Grants, MVSTA, Donations
Whistler Canyon Trailhead facilities - toilet							\$45,000	County, BLM, USFS, BCHW
EQUESTRIAN								
Equestrian Facilities							\$100,000	County, Fair, Grants, Donations
Whistler Canyon Trailhead facilities - toilet							\$45,000	County, BLM, USFS, BCHW
OTHER								
Outdoor Recreation Coordinator and Committee							\$0	County, grants
Public Access to Rivers and Lakes							\$0	County, Grants, Donations WADFW
Non-motorized transportation							\$0	County Staff
Vacations or surplus of public land and row's							\$0	County Staff
Twisp pool and Recreation Center								
Mazama Biathlon Range								

TRAILS

Adopt trail usage, purpose and development criteria. During 2012, the plan calls for the County Public Works and Planning Departments to work together on preparation and adoption of amendments to various transportation plans, zoning and development standards codes to incorporate the paths and trails standards, or a modified version thereof, included in Appendix E. The cost estimate of \$5,000 includes primarily contributions of staff time for research, amendment preparation and public review and adoption.

Oroville to Nighthawk Trail. This project entails development of pedestrian/non-motorized trail on the old Great Northern Railroad corridor. The project starts at the town of Oroville and crosses the Similkameen River on a 375-foot span steel girder bridge, passing through a 1,832 foot tunnel and ending in Nighthawk. Three and one half miles of the 12.5 mile trail is now developed. Trail surface improvements, restroom facilities.

Similkameen Trail. Develop Oroville Trailhead facility, restroom, parking area, kiosks, picnic area, and ongoing maintenance.

Whistler Canyon to Bonaparte Mountain. This project entails development of a pedestrian/non-motorized trail starting on SR 97 then up USFS Rd 100 to Summit Lake area across to Wilcox Mt Rd to Dry Gulch Rd, to Mill Creek (Highlands Trailhead). In 2010 the county purchased the property secured a Title II grant and will begin trailhead construction fall of 2012. Trail improvements were completed by BCHW in 2011.

School to Winthrop and Twisp, Methow Community Trail. These Pedestrian/non-motorized trail extensions connecting Winthrop to Methow Valley School campus, the school campus to Twisp, and from Mazama to the USFS West Fork Methow River Trail has been in the planning stages for many years. The segment from Winthrop to the School campus will be designed as a first priority, property acquired, permits obtained and funding sought. The other segments will follow as support, time and funding permit.

Driscoll Island Trail. This pedestrian/non-motorized trail from Oroville to Driscoll Island is intended to be developed in cooperation with the International Salmon Center and extend to bottom of Whistler Canyon. The trail will need to be designed, property acquired, permits obtained and funding sought. The trail will link Whistler Canyon with the Similkameen Trail and provide a missing link to the National Scenic Trail.

Oroville Community Trail. With the acquisition of Veterans Park from the state parks. Oroville would connect developing east lake properties to the park and downtown shopping with shoreline trails and a bridge crossing the Okanogan River at the south end of Osoyoos Lake.

Rehabilitate Sandy Butte Trails – An existing network of trails needs to be rehabilitated to provide for non-motorized uses.

Winthrop Trailhead Facility. Town of Winthrop owns 4 acres that was purchased for development as a central trailhead for the Methow Community Trail. The plan calls for the

County to work with the Town of Winthrop for the long-term development and maintenance of the planned facility. Remaining additions to the project include landscaping, signage, parking area improvements.

Sa-Teak-Wa Park. A private landowner donated approximately 12 acres of land within the Town of Winthrop a pedestrian trail and utility bridge connecting the property to downtown Winthrop was constructed in 2008. Further park and trail development is needed.

Paving of high traffic rec routes; Sun Mtn Thompson Rd, Little Wolf, Mazama Suspension Bridge Community trail (ADA), Cub Creek. Paving of roads that serve as early season high volume winter groomed trails will aid in low snow year grooming of cross country ski trails. This will also help with a growing need for road maintenance on high trafficked summer roads used by the exponential growth in mountain bikers.

High-elevation Nordic Ski Trail and Trailhead Development. In response to warmer winter conditions, planning for and development of higher elevation non-motorized trails and trailheads in the Methow Valley is vital to the on-going sustainability of the MVSTA trail system.

Mazama Fire Break Trail. An established fire-break trail along the base of Lucky Jim Bluff represents an opportunity to make an additional link within the Methow Community Trail, and is of high value due to the late-season durability of the snow along the existing fire-break.

Methow Community Trail Maintenance - The county holds the right-of ways/easements and supports funding for the on-going maintenance of the Methow Community Trail including summer mowing, limbing, regular bridge upgrades or replacements, and winter grooming machine replacement costs to support the 200km Methow Valley network of non-motorized recreational trails. Regular replacement/maintenance of the MVSTA grooming equipment is essential for the reliable operations of the Nordic ski trail system in the Methow Valley.

Pearrygin Lake Golf Cart Trail – Pearrygin Lake State Park to Bear Creek Golf Course. Area residents have recently begun efforts with State Parks and local landowners to secure easements for a golf cart accessible trail to connect Pearrygin Lake State Park with the Bear Creek Golf Course.

Winthrop to Weeman Bike Trail – Paved bike path parallel to Highway 20 between the Town of Winthrop and Weeman Bridge. Creates safe, off-highway path that connects Goat Creek (county road) and East County (county road) along the popular cross-country "Through Route" for bikers.

River Walk Trail – Town of Winthrop plans to create a pedestrian trail between the Chewuch River and downtown store front.

BRIDGES

Mazama bridge- widen/extension and 4-Way Stop – The bridge along the county roads that connects HWY 20 and Mazama should be widened to allow for a safe crossing along a popular section of the Methow Community Trail. The Mazama road junction is an adjacent safety issue for pedestrians, and improved signage and/or road adjustment to accommodate a 4-way stop is recommended.

Upper Goat Creek Bridge Replacement - An essential link bridge in the Methow Community Trail system is overdue for replacement for safety and ecological reasons.

USFS Pedestrian Bridge Ballard Campground. The former bridge over the Methow River at this campground has been removed which severed an important link from the Methow Community Trail to the USFS trail system in the upper Methow Valley and beyond

Recreational Related Parking

New Sno-Park – Chewuch River - The growing popularity of snowmobiling in the Chewuch River valley is beginning to strain existing facilities. Local snowmobile groups are beginning to identify suitable alternative locations for a new or expanded sno-park serving this area.

Relocate Bonaparte Sno-Park – Due to property ownership and access issues the existing Sno-park needs to be moved. Local snowmobile groups have identified a suitable alternative location for a new sno-park to serve this area.

Relocate -Parking at Early Winters – The small Nordic parking lot at Early Winters is over capacity most weekends. Local ski groups are beginning to identify suitable alternative locations for a new or expanded parking to serve this area.

Expansion of Highlands Nordic Sno-park – The growing popularity of Nordic Skiing in the Okanogan Highlands has begun to strain the existing Sno-park and trail system. Local user groups have begun to identify potential options and alternatives for expansion of the existing facility.

Twisp River Sno-park (Buttermilk) – parking is limited in this location.

Crawfish Lake Snow-park – parking is limited in this location.

ORV

Off-Road Vehicle Park and Trails – While there are many informal opportunities for residents and visitors to pursue their interest in ORV riding, formal trail systems are primarily limited to a few trails along the divide between the Methow and Twisp River Valleys and Lake Chelan. A developed ORV park could provide an attraction for visitors as well as provide a place for area residents to enjoy their chosen form of recreation.

EQUIPMENT

Grooming Equipment Purchase – Regular replacement of the MVSTA grooming equipment is essential for the reliable operations of the Nordic ski trail system in the Methow Valley.

EQUESTRIAN

Equestrian Facilities – equestrian events generate significant economic activity. The Okanogan County Fair has recently received a significant contribution that will be used to construct a riding facility.

Whistler Canyon Trailhead – Whistler Canyon is a new trailhead being established south of Oroville on the Pacific Northwest National Scenic Trail. A toilet, picnic area, loading ramp, corrals, Kiosk and hitching posts are needed.

OTHER

Outdoor Recreation Coordinator and Committee. The plan calls for the County Commissioners to appoint an Outdoor Recreation Coordinator and Paths and Trails Committee as part of the adoption of this plan. The Coordinator and Committee would be charged with implementation of this plan.

Public Access to Rivers and Lakes – The Demand/Need Analysis identified a significant desire for improved and expanded access to water bodies including identified “river trails” in the County as well as improvements to those accesses which already exist. The plan calls for such a feasibility to be conducted during 2012.

Non-motorized transportation – consider non-motorized access when planning and engineering new or upgrading of county roads, ROW acquisition etc... This is an ongoing activity that should be integrated into normal County operations.

Vacations or surplus of public land and row's - Consider recreational needs and opportunities prior to vacation or surplus of public land and rights-of-way and review past vacations of right-of-way to determine status. This is an ongoing activity that should be integrated into normal County operations.

Mazama Biathlon Range – A group of local residents have begun development of a Biathlon Range in the Mazama Area. This facility will provide a unique opportunity for residents and visitors to the County and should be supported.

POOLS

Twisp Pool – The Twisp pool like most in the county is more than 50 years old. Cracks have continued to appear in the pool's shell during the offseason. Water collecting under the pool from a persistent leak contracts and expands with the change of seasons,

Omak Pool – The city of Omak is considering pool replacement.


FINANCING

Several sources of grants and loans exist to help finance park and recreation development, and major sources are described below. Since funding guidelines and funding levels change constantly, and since new programs come into existence and old ones are sometimes terminated, this list should be examined and updated regularly.

Recreation & Conservation Office (RCO)

The RCO is a state-federal partnership which sponsors five funding programs for different types of facilities, as follows:

1. Land and Water Conservation Fund provides funding to preserve and develop outdoor recreation resources, including parks, trails and wildlife lands. Eligible projects include development, renovation, and land acquisitions for playgrounds, athletic fields, swimming pools, trails, picnic areas, campgrounds. This is a fifty percent grant. Maximum grant amount varies.
2. Boating Facilities Program. Funded by taxes on fuel used by motorboats, these funds are earmarked for "the acquisition and development of land for recreational boating purposes. Both shoreline and upland acquisition and development projects directly related to boating are eligible, including launch, ramps, transient moorage, and support facilities". Local agencies and special purpose districts must provide 25 percent match for each project.
3. The Washington Wildlife and Recreation Program provides funding for a broad range of land protection and outdoor recreation, including park acquisition and development, habitat conservation, farmland preservation, and construction of outdoor recreation facilities. This is a fifty percent reimbursement grant. Maximum grant varies.



4. The Non highway and Off-road Vehicle Activities program NOVA provides funding to develop and manage recreation opportunities for such activities as cross-country skiing, hiking, horseback riding, mountain bicycling, hunting, fishing, sightseeing, motorcycling, and riding all-terrain and four-wheel drive vehicles. NOVA is funded by a portion of the fuel tax and by ORV permits fees. No match is required but it is strongly encouraged
5. Firearms Range Program. Funded by a portion of the cost of a concealed weapons permit, this program provides funds for the acquisition, development, and renovation of public and private nonprofit firearm range and archery training and practice facilities. This is a fifty percent reimbursement grant with a limit of \$100,000.
6. The Recreational Trails Program provides funds to rehabilitate and maintain recreational trails and facilities that provide a backcountry experience. Project sponsors must match at least 20 percent of the grant award. All matching resources must be an integral and necessary part of the approved project.

DNR Aquatic Lands Enhancement Account

ALEA grants may be used for the acquisition, improvement, or protection of aquatic lands for public purposes. They also may be used to provide or improve public access to the waterfront. The ALEA program is targeted at re-establishing the natural, self-sustaining ecological functions of the waterfront, providing or restoring public access to the water, and increasing public awareness of aquatic lands as a finite natural resource and irreplaceable public heritage. ALEA is funded almost entirely by revenue generated by the Washington State Department of Natural Resources' management of state-owned aquatic lands.

Federal Transportation Act – Enhancement Programs

This program, administered by the Washington State Department of Transportation with recommendations from the North Central Regional Transportation Planning Organization, funds transportation systems "enhancement" including the following:

- Provision of Facilities for Bicycles and Pedestrians
- Acquisitions of Scenic Easement and Scenic or Historic Sites
- Scenic or Historic Highway Programs
- Landscaping and other Highway Programs
- Historic Preservation
- Rehabilitation and Operation of Historic Transportation Buildings
- Preservation of Abandon Railway Corridors
- Control and Removal of Outdoor Advertising
- Archaeological Planning and Research
- Mitigation of Water Pollution due to Highway Runoff

Projects must be primarily for transportation rather than recreation purposes, however such items as bicycle trail between Okanogan and Omak should be eligible, as should walking trails.

Debt Financing

Debt financing instruments such as general obligation bonds, revenue bonds, and commissioner bonds can be used to fund recreational improvements. They are more typically used for solid waste, sewer, and road improvements, however. General obligation bonds require voter approval. They also cannot be used for maintenance and operation.

Special Levies

Special levies are often proposed by taxing districts such as a Park and Recreation District. They must be voter approved and can be used for operation and maintenance (but only for a one year period). Other types of levies may or may not require voter approval, depending on limits imposed by state statute.

Donations

Many of the projects in the CIP list donations as a funding source. Donated labor and materials have been an integral part of existing outdoor recreation opportunities in the County. There are many benefits to working together to achieve the goals of this outdoor recreation plan, including a sense of pride and community ownership of recreation facilities.

Gift catalogs are an excellent way to fund items such as outdoor furniture, picnic tables, signs and other physical objects on which appreciation for the benefactors can be expressed. Donations can come from business interests as well as individuals. Volunteer time is always an invaluable contribution to recreation facilities, without which the parks and recreational opportunities would certainly be diminished.

User Fees

Although local fees area rarely significant enough to fund capital projects, user fees are often used to help cover operation and maintenance costs. Care must be taken, however, to make sure increases in user fees do not unintentionally deny County residents and visitors the ability to enjoy publicly funded facilities. User fees such as snowmobile licensing fees³, sno-park permits² and trail pass sales are used to operate and maintain the extensive groomed snowmobile trail system, plowing of Sno-parks and grooming of the Nordic trails in the Methow Valley and on Bear Mt. at the Loup Loup.

³ - fees imposed by the State which are collected into a fund for granting back to local organizations for M&O cost as well as capital projects.

Cooperative or Joint Use Agreements

Agreements are more a way to reduce expenses than a source of funds. Many communities have found that one agency is not able to take on a commitment to new or expanded facilities alone. In many cases, inter-local agreements have been established between neighboring cities, counties, school districts, and other public entities to lay the ground work for cooperative use, staffing, and maintenance.

The County, cities and the school districts are provided the ability to enter into agreements that serve legitimate public purposes, although the County cannot "give" public funds to an entity without a contract specifying what services are being provided by the entity. Doing so would be a violation of the state's constitution (Article 8, Section 7).

Although subjects covered by additional inter-local agreements are limited only by the imagination, care must be taken to make sure they are mutually beneficial to all parties involved. In most cases, inter-local agreements are set up to save public funds by avoiding duplicate efforts and the construction of redundant facilities. The County, cities and school districts and any other interested parties could begin exploring opportunities to establish additional or expanded inter-local agreements by considering the questions below.

1. Currently, who is responsible for taking care of which facilities?
2. Currently, who is using what facilities?
3. Who is most capable of taking care of the various existing facilities, both from a practical and financial standpoint?
4. What percentage of park users live inside City limits or outside of the County? What, if anything, might cause this percentage to go up or down in the future?
5. What agreements are currently in place? How could they be improved?
6. Would establishing long term leases or even transferring title to property or portions of property be in the interest of the parties involved?

Real Estate Excise Tax (REET)

In 1994, the County began collecting a one-quarter of one percent Real Estate Excise Tax. This is above and beyond the real estate tax collected by the State of Washington. The tax is imposed each time real property changes hands within the County. For obvious reasons, the amount received by the County is proportional to level of real estate activity in the area.

Proceeds from the Real Estate Excise Tax can be used for many things. The state statute gives some examples, focusing on improvements that can also be funded through a Local Improvement District (LID): streets, parks, sewers, water mains, swimming pools, and gymnasiums.

The REET cannot be used for items such as fire engines and computers. Projects must be things than can be done to or on a parcel of property. Arguably, the REET also cannot be used for the repair and rehabilitation of existing facilities or the acquisition of park land, unless the County finds itself planning under the Growth Management Act at some point in the future.

Lodging Excise Tax

Also called the Hotel/Motel Tax, the Lodging Excise Tax is a 2% charge applied at the time hotel rooms, trailer sites, and other accommodations are furnished to visitors. Compared to the REET (above), the purposes for which this tax can be used are severely limited. The funds are typically used for promotion of the area in various publications. Particularly in more populated areas where larger amounts are generated, the tax is also used for the construction of convention centers, stadiums, and performing art centers. The Agriplex was funded in part by dedicating a portion of this tax to repay debt incurred to construct the facility.

General Fund

With the exception of the County Fairgrounds, most outdoor recreation improvements in the County have been predominantly funded by cities, state and federal grants, state and federal agencies and donations of dollars, land, labor and materials from the private and non-profit sectors.

Use of the general fund for recreation improvements is perfectly appropriate, subject to the approval of the County Commissioners. It is hoped that this plan will be a useful tool that can be used to guide general fund decision regarding outdoor recreation improvements.

It goes without saying that the County has many obligations to fill, many of them mandated by either state or federal agencies. The fact that outdoor recreation improvements are not mandated may help explain why they tend to get little of the budget pie. Still, implementation of this plan will likely require the County to consider using the General Fund to pay for lower cost improvements and to help meet match requirements of state funding agencies.

Budget Line Item

A strategy used for larger projects with significant economic impact to the County is to work with state and federal elected representatives and senators on inclusion of project funding as a line item in the state or federal budget.

Bibliography

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Office of Energy Projects, Revised List of Comprehensive Plans (Statewide Comprehensive Recreation Plan SCORP Inventory Appendix B), October 2003

Methow Valley Sports Trails Association (MVSTA), information regarding effort to build ski and bicycle trails and growth information, map information.

Okanogan County, Okanogan County Comprehensive Parks and Recreation Element. Okanogan County Comprehensive Plan, April 12, 1993

Okanogan County, Okanogan County Department of Public Works, Paths and Trails Committee, web based survey and results from focus groups and a survey conducted in 1999; Snowmobile park maps; along with many sources of information

Okanogan County Fairgrounds, The Agri-Plex and Annex Facility, 2001.
<http://www.okanogancountyfair.org/agriplex.html> Agri-plex and Annex facility information and map.

Okanogan County, Washington. Okanogan County demographic information
<http://www.okanogancounty.org/DEMO.HTM>

RJR and Associates, Visitor Profile and Preferences Study. December 1999

United States Forest Service, Okanogan and Wenatchee National Forest. information regarding trailheads, campsites, and other attractions.

United States, Bureau of Census. April 2010 Census, State of Washington, OkanoganCounty. Population, age distribution, racial breakdown, and household information.

Washington State 2007 Data Book, Office of Financial Management, Forecasting Division. Population projections

Washington State Labor market information, Access Washington. Economic and workforce information <http://www.wa.gov/esd/lmea/>

Washington State Parks, Okanogan County park information

Washington State Department of Natural Resources (DNR), park and recreational facilities

MVSTA 2005 Economic Analysis

Attachment C
SEPA Checklist

Okanogan County Planning & Development
Outdoor Recreation
Environmental Checklist

Wednesday, August 19, 2020

WAC 197-11-960 Environmental checklist.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

Purpose of checklist:

The State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA), chapter 43.21C RCW, requires all governmental agencies to consider the environmental impacts of a proposal before making decisions. An environmental impact statement (EIS) must be prepared for all proposals with probable significant adverse impacts on the quality of the environment. The purpose of this checklist is to provide information to help you and the agency identify impacts from your proposal (and to reduce or avoid impacts from the proposal, if it can be done) and to help the agency decide whether an EIS is required.

Instructions for applicants:

This environmental checklist asks you to describe some basic information about your proposal. Governmental agencies use this checklist to determine whether the environmental impacts of your proposal are significant, requiring preparation of an EIS. Answer the questions briefly, with the most precise information known, or give the best description you can.

You must answer each question accurately and carefully, to the best of your knowledge. In most cases, you should be able to answer the questions from your own observations or project plans without the need to hire experts. If you really do not know the answer, or if a question does not apply to your proposal, write "do not know" or "does not apply." Complete answers to the questions now may avoid unnecessary delays later.

Some questions ask about governmental regulations, such as zoning, shoreline, and landmark designations. Answer these questions if you can. If you have problems, the governmental agencies can assist you.

The checklist questions apply to all parts of your proposal, even if you plan to do them over a period of time or on different parcels of land. Attach any additional information that will help describe your proposal or its environmental effects. The agency to which you submit this checklist may ask you to explain your answers or provide additional information reasonably related to determining if there may be significant adverse impact.

Use of checklist for nonproject proposals:

Complete this checklist for nonproject proposals, even though questions may be answered "does not apply." IN ADDITION, complete the SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS (part D).

For nonproject actions, the references in the checklist to the words "project," "applicant," and "property or site" should be read as "proposal," "proposer," and "affected geographic area," respectively.

State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) WAC 197-11-340

Threshold Determination of Non-Significance (DNS)

Project Name: Okanogan County Outdoor Recreation Plan 2020

Proponent(s): Okanogan County Planning
123 5th Ave North Suite 130
Okanogan, WA 98840

Agent(s): Same

Project Summary

The Planning Department has submitted a SEPA checklist for the adoption of a zoning map amendment of the Lower Methow. Analysis of the existing and potential lots in the Lower Methow River Reach of Water Resource Inventory Area 48 raises concerns that the number of undeveloped lots may exceed the water in the reserve appropriated by WAC 173-548. This amendment changes the zoning from R1 to R5 in the Lower Methow Reach outside the Columbia River Influence.

Threshold Determination

- DNS:** Okanogan County Planning & Development issued a Threshold Determination of Non-Significance in accordance with WAC 197-11-340, identifying the proposal would not have a probable, significant, and adverse environmental impact.
- MDNS:** Okanogan County Planning & Development issued a Threshold Mitigated Determination of Non-Significance in accordance with WAC 197-11-350, identifying this proposal would not have a probable, significant, and adverse environmental impact if mitigation measures are imposed.
- DS:** Okanogan County Office of Planning & Development made a Threshold Determination of Significance in accordance with WAC 197-11-360, identifying this proposal would have a probable, significant, and adverse environmental impact.

Comments must be submitted in writing to the Okanogan County Office of Planning & Development, 123 5th Ave N Ste. 130, Okanogan, WA 98840, no later than September 16, 2020. All comments will be reviewed for relevance, and if necessary the DNS will be changed to a Mitigated Determination of Non-Significance. If no comments are received the DNS will stand. The date of publication in Okanogan County's legal periodical of record is September 2, 2020.

Administrative Approval

Lead Agency: Okanogan County
Responsible Official: Pete Palmer
Position/Title: Director of Planning
Phone: (509) 422-7160
Address: 123 5th Avenue North, Suite 130
Okanogan, Washington 98840

Signature: Pete Palmer
(Pete Palmer, Director)

8/28/2020
Date

Okanogan County Planning & Development
Outdoor Recreation
Environmental Checklist

Wednesday, August 19, 2020

WAC 197-11-960 Environmental checklist.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

Purpose of checklist:

The State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA), chapter 43.21C RCW, requires all governmental agencies to consider the environmental impacts of a proposal before making decisions. An environmental impact statement (EIS) must be prepared for all proposals with probable significant adverse impacts on the quality of the environment. The purpose of this checklist is to provide information to help you and the agency identify impacts from your proposal (and to reduce or avoid impacts from the proposal, if it can be done) and to help the agency decide whether an EIS is required.

Instructions for applicants:

This environmental checklist asks you to describe some basic information about your proposal. Governmental agencies use this checklist to determine whether the environmental impacts of your proposal are significant, requiring preparation of an EIS. Answer the questions briefly, with the most precise information known, or give the best description you can.

You must answer each question accurately and carefully, to the best of your knowledge. In most cases, you should be able to answer the questions from your own observations or project plans without the need to hire experts. If you really do not know the answer, or if a question does not apply to your proposal, write "do not know" or "does not apply." Complete answers to the questions now may avoid unnecessary delays later.

Some questions ask about governmental regulations, such as zoning, shoreline, and landmark designations. Answer these questions if you can. If you have problems, the governmental agencies can assist you.

The checklist questions apply to all parts of your proposal, even if you plan to do them over a period of time or on different parcels of land. Attach any additional information that will help describe your proposal or its environmental effects. The agency to which you submit this checklist may ask you to explain your answers or provide additional information reasonably related to determining if there may be significant adverse impact.

Use of checklist for nonproject proposals:

Complete this checklist for nonproject proposals, even though questions may be answered "does not apply." IN ADDITION, complete the SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS (part D).

For nonproject actions, the references in the checklist to the words "project," "applicant," and "property or site" should be read as "proposal," "proposer," and "affected geographic area," respectively.

A. BACKGROUND

1. Name of proposed project, if applicable:

Okanogan County Outdoor Recreation Plan 2020

2. Name of applicant:

**Okanogan County, Department of Planning & Development
Outdoor Recreation**

3. Address and phone number of applicant and contact person:

**Ted Murray
Outdoor Recreation Coordinator
123 5th Ave N.
Okanogan, Wa 98840
Phone: 509-422-7118
FAX: 509-422-7349**

4. Date checklist prepared:

August 20, 2020

5. Agency requesting checklist:

Okanogan County, Office of Planning and Development

6. Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable):

This SEPA checklist has been prepared to request a pre-project determination pursuant to the WA State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) to help the proponent for the project and the reviewing agencies identify potential impacts that may be associated with the proposal

The SEPA checklist provides a detailed review of the proposed project elements and potential project phasing. Each of these elements is more fully described throughout this checklist.

An outdoor recreation plan is an integral part of and usually included as a portion of the Parks and Recreation Element of a community's comprehensive planning program. In this case the community is Okanogan County and this document reflects an update of the 2012 Okanogan County Parks and Recreation Element of the County Comprehensive Plan. This Plan, intended to serve as the Parks and Recreation Element of the Okanogan County Comprehensive Plan, contains an inventory and description of existing public recreation facilities and opportunities, goals and objectives to guide plan implementation, the determination and analysis of recreation needs, and plans for meeting those outdoor recreation needs.

7. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain.

Yes. Several future actions are recommended by this plan. Recommended actions will, in most cases, require project-specific environmental review. This includes, but is not limited to, SEPA review and compliance at a project specific level.

8. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal.

N/A This is non project action.

9. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain.

There will likely be several projects associated with this plan and each project will go through SEPA as necessary.

10. List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known.

Individual projects that may result from this plan must comply with all applicable local, state, and federal requirements, permits, and approvals.

11. Give brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page. (Lead agencies may modify this form to include additional specific information on project description.)

The focus of the plan is outdoor recreation in the unincorporated lands of Okanogan County. The intent is to provide the County with a document that can provide a foundation for working with local organizations, state and federal governments, utilities and others on long range recreation planning, maintenance of existing facilities and development of new facilities that connect and enhance existing and proposed recreational opportunities. It is important to note that the plan does not specifically address all outdoor recreation needs on state and federal lands, but rather focuses on projects that reflect connections with existing and proposed projects that either border or provide linkages to federal, state, local or private recreation opportunities. Upon completion, the plan fulfills a primary requirement for the County to receive funds from the State of Washington, Recreation and Conservation Office and Federal agencies.

12. Location of the proposal. Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township, and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit applications related to this checklist.

Located in north central Washington, Okanogan is the largest county in Washington State, with over 5,301 square miles. It is located directly north of Chelan and Douglas Counties and extends to the Canadian Border. The western border, shared with Skagit and Whatcom Counties, runs along the Pacific Crest of the Cascades Mountains, which provides a natural barrier to the Pacific weather systems. Ferry County comprises the eastern border. Also, encompassed within the southeastern corner of the county is the Colville Indian Reservation with approximately one-half of the Reservation's 1.4 million acres lying within Okanogan County.

B. ENVIRONMENTAL ELEMENTS

1. Earth

- a. General description of the site: Flat, rolling, hilly, steep slopes, mountainous,
N/A This is non project action. Site characteristics will vary by site.

- b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)?
N/A This is non project action. Slopes will vary by site.

N/A This is non project action.

9. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain.

There will likely be several projects associated with this plan and each project will go through SEPA as necessary.

10. List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known.

Individual projects that may result from this plan must comply with all applicable local, state, and federal requirements, permits, and approvals.

11. Give brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page. (Lead agencies may modify this form to include additional specific information on project description.)

The focus of the plan is outdoor recreation in the unincorporated lands of Okanogan County. The intent is to provide the County with a document that can provide a foundation for working with local organizations, state and federal governments, utilities and others on long range recreation planning, maintenance of existing facilities and development of new facilities that connect and enhance existing and proposed recreational opportunities. It is important to note that the plan does not specifically address all outdoor recreation needs on state and federal lands, but rather focuses on projects that reflect connections with existing and proposed projects that either border or provide linkages to federal, state, local or private recreation opportunities. Upon completion, the plan fulfills a primary requirement for the County to receive funds from the State of Washington, Recreation and Conservation Office and Federal agencies.

12. Location of the proposal. Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township, and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit applications related to this checklist.

Located in north central Washington, Okanogan is the largest county in Washington State, with over 5,301 square miles. It is located directly north of Chelan and Douglas Counties and extends to the Canadian Border. The western border, shared with Skagit and Whatcom Counties, runs along the Pacific Crest of the Cascades Mountains, which provides a natural barrier to the Pacific weather systems. Ferry County comprises the eastern border. Also, encompassed within the southeastern corner of the county is the Colville Indian Reservation with approximately one-half of the Reservation's 1.4 million acres lying within Okanogan County.

B. ENVIRONMENTAL ELEMENTS

1. Earth

- a. General description of the site: Flat, rolling, hilly, steep slopes, mountainous,
N/A This is non project action. Site characteristics will vary by site.

- b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)?
N/A This is non project action. Slopes will vary by site.

- c. What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any prime farmland.

N/A This is non project action. Soil types will vary by site.

- d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe.

N/A This is non project action.

- e. Describe the purpose, type, and approximate quantities of any filling or grading proposed. Indicate source of fill.

N/A This is non project action. No development is part of this action.

- f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe.

N/A This is non project action. Therefore, no clearing or construction is proposed at this time. However, erosion may occur during the construction or implementation of individual recommended actions. Best Management Practices and proper erosion control will be used during and after any future projects.

- g. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt or buildings)?

This is non project action. No additional impervious surface is proposed as part of this plan.

- h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion, or other impacts to the earth, if any:

This is non project action. Best Management Practices and proper erosion control will be used during and after any future projects.

a. **Air**

- a. What types of emissions to the air would result from the proposal (i.e., dust, automobile, odors, industrial wood smoke) during construction and when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known.

This is non project action. Therefore, no emissions to the air are proposed at this time. If recommended project actions are implemented in the future, any construction equipment used will have minor air emissions (e.g. engine exhaust, dust).

- b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe.

N/A This is non project action.

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any:

Does not apply

3. Water

a. Surface:

- 1) Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into.

Yes. The Recreation Plan, which includes the main stem rivers and their tributaries. These systems typically include associated riparian wetlands of various sizes, functions, and values. While the larger tributary systems have been thoroughly documented, there are many smaller streams and wetlands that have not yet been identified. This type of environmental review (e.g. wetland delineation) will occur at a project-specific level.

- 2) Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans.

No. This plan is a non-project action, and will not require any work over, in, or adjacent to the described waters. However, several of the recommended actions in the plan may work within 200 feet of a waterbody, or within a stream's ordinary high watermark. Individual proposals will undergo project-specific environmental review to address this work.

- 3) Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material.

Does not apply. This plan is a non-project action, and will not require any fill in or excavation from surface water or wetlands. It is not known at this time if recommended actions implemented in the future would result in wetland fills. Individual proposals will undergo project-specific environmental review to address this type of work.

- 4) Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

Does not apply. This plan is a non-project action, and will not require any surface water withdrawals. It is not known at this time if recommended actions implemented in the future would result in surface water withdrawals or diversions. Individual proposals will undergo project-specific environmental review to address this type of work.

- 5) Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan.

This plan is a non-project action

- 6) Does the proposal involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.

This plan is a non-project action

b. Ground:

- 1) Will ground water be withdrawn, or will water be discharged to ground water? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

This plan is a non-project action, and will not require any ground water withdrawals or discharges.

- 2) Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: Domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals... ; agricultural; etc.). Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve.

TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT

EVALUATION FOR
AGENCY USE ONLY

- 1) Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into.

Yes. The Recreation Plan, which includes the main stem rivers and their tributaries. These systems typically include associated riparian wetlands of various sizes, functions, and values. While the larger tributary systems have been thoroughly documented, there are many smaller streams and wetlands that have not yet been identified. This type of environmental review (e.g. wetland delineation) will occur at a project-specific level.

- 2) Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans.

No. This plan is a non-project action, and will not require any work over, in, or adjacent to the described waters. However, several of the recommended actions in the plan may work within 200 feet of a waterbody, or within a stream's ordinary high watermark. Individual proposals will undergo project-specific environmental review to address this work.

- 3) Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material.

Does not apply. This plan is a non-project action, and will not require any fill in or excavation from surface water or wetlands. It is not known at this time if recommended actions implemented in the future would result in wetland fills. Individual proposals will undergo project-specific environmental review to address this type of work.

- 4) Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

Does not apply. This plan is a non-project action, and will not require any surface water withdrawals. It is not known at this time if recommended actions implemented in the future would result in surface water withdrawals or diversions. Individual proposals will undergo project-specific environmental review to address this type of work.

- 5) Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan.

This plan is a non-project action

- 6) Does the proposal involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.

This plan is a non-project action

b. Ground:

- 1) Will ground water be withdrawn, or will water be discharged to ground water? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

This plan is a non-project action, and will not require any ground water withdrawals or discharges.

- 2) Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: Domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals . . . ; agricultural; etc.). Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve.

This plan is a non-project action, and no waste materials will be discharged to ground waters.

c. Water runoff (including stormwater):

- 1) Describe the source of runoff (including storm water) and method of collection and disposal, if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe. **None**

- 2) Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe.

Does not apply. No storm water run-off is proposed as part of this plan.

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water impacts, if any:

Does not apply. No surface, ground, or storm water run-off impacts will result from this plan.

4. Plants

a. Check or circle types of vegetation found on the site:

Since the Recreation Plan deals with an entire county, numerous species of flora are present (including both upland and facultative varieties). The quantity and types of vegetation will vary by site.

b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?

None. This plan is a non-project action. No vegetation will be removed at this time as a result of this plan. It is likely that the future implementation of certain recommended actions will disrupt vegetation during construction. Individual proposals will undergo project-specific environmental review to address this type of impact.

b. List threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site.

None. This is non project action.

d. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any:

None. This plan is a non-project action. No landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation are proposed at this time.

5. Animals

a. Circle any birds and animals which have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site:

N/A This is non project action. Since the Recreation Plan deals with an entire county, numerous species are present. The quantity and types of species will vary by site.

c. List any threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site.

N/A This is non project action. Since the Recreation Plan deals with an entire county, numerous species are present. The quantity and types of species will vary by site.

c. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain.

N/A This is non project action. Since the Recreation Plan deals with an entire county, migration routes do exist but this will vary by site.

d. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any:

N/A This is non project action.

6. Energy and natural resources

a. What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc. **None**

b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? If so, generally describe. **None**

c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any: **None**

7. Environmental health

a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste, that could occur as a result of this proposal? If so, describe.

None. This plan is a non-project action. No environmental health hazards will occur as a result of this plan.

1) Describe special emergency services that might be required.

None

2) Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any:

None. This plan is a non-project action. No environmental health hazards will occur as a result of this plan.

b. Noise

1) What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other)?

None. This plan is a non-project action. No noise will be created or associated with this plan.

2) What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site.

N/A This is non project action.

N/A This is non project action. Since the Recreation Plan deals with an entire county, numerous species are present. The quantity and types of species will vary by site.

- c. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain.

N/A This is non project action. Since the Recreation Plan deals with an entire county, migration routes do exist but this will vary by site.

- d. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any:

N/A This is non project action.

6. Energy and natural resources

- a. What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc. **None**

- b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? If so, generally describe. **None**

- c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any: **None**

7. Environmental health

- a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste, that could occur as a result of this proposal? If so, describe.

None. This plan is a non-project action. No environmental health hazards will occur as a result of this plan.

- 1) Describe special emergency services that might be required.

None

- 2) Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any:

None. This plan is a non-project action. No environmental health hazards will occur as a result of this plan.

b. Noise

- 1) What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other)?

None. This plan is a non-project action. No noise will be created or associated with this plan.

- 2) What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site.

N/A This is non project action.

3) Proposed measures to reduce or control noise impacts, if any:

None. This plan is a non-project action. No noise will be created or associated with this plan.

8. Land and shoreline use

a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties?

Okanogan County uses include forestry, agriculture, rural and residential dwellings, and industrial and commercial operations. Forestry and range land is the primary use, in upland areas. Agriculture is conducted throughout the county, but primarily takes place on the fertile floodplain lower in the watersheds. Several incorporated and unincorporated communities are also present in the county. Aside from small high-density city centers, these communities exhibit low-density development, including rural and suburban residential dwellings, small businesses, and industry (e.g. sawmills and fruit processors).

b. Has the site been used for agriculture? If so, describe.

Yes. Many properties within the county have been used, or are presently used, for agriculture.

c. Describe any structures on the site.

There are a variety of structures located within the county. These include, but are not limited to, residential dwellings, commercial and industrial buildings, and public infrastructure (e.g. roads and utilities).

d. Will any structures be demolished? If so, what?

No. This plan is a non-project action. No structures will be demolished as a result of this plan. In the future, however, various structures may be replaced or modified in conjunction with the implementation of recommended project actions.

e. What is the current zoning classification of the site?

Local zoning within Okanogan County covers a wide range of land uses and densities. Zoning is regulated by Okanogan County and several other local jurisdictions (e.g. Omak, Oroville, Winthrop, Brewster). Zoning classifications vary by site, but are generally forestry, agriculture, residential, commercial, and industrial.

f. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site?

The Okanogan County Comprehensive Plan designations vary by site, but are generally forestry, agriculture, and low-density residential uses. Smaller independent jurisdictions within this basin are similar, but have a greater proportion of comprehensive plan designations dedicated to commercial and industrial land uses.

g. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site?

Varies. There are many properties within the county that are subject to the Shoreline Management Master Program (SMMP). The majority of the current SMMP designations within the basin include the Rural Environment and the Conservancy Environment. To a lesser extent, the current SMMP designations also include the Natural Environment, the Suburban Environment, and very limited

sections of the Urban Environment. If implemented, recommended actions subject to the SMMP will undergo additional developmental and environmental review to determine if a Shoreline Substantial Development Permit is required.

h. Has any part of the site been classified as an "environmentally sensitive" area? If so, specify.

Yes. The county numerous natural watercourses, wetlands, fish and wildlife habitat, and the buffers associated with all the aforementioned. In addition, many areas are considered environmentally sensitive due to the high potential for landslide and erosion.

i. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project?

N/A This is non project action.

j. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace?

None. This plan is a non-project action. No people will be displaced by the plan. However, certain recommended actions, if implemented, would propose voluntary relocation of people currently living in high flood hazard areas.

k. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any:

N/A This is non project action.

l.

l. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any:

Since this is a non-project action, no existing or projected land uses will be affected by the plan. Therefore, the plan is compatible in regards to this element. Future implementation of actions recommended by the plan will be dependent upon a consistency determination at a project-specific level.

9. Housing

a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

None. This plan is a non-project action. No additional dwelling units will be provided as a result of this plan.

b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

None. This plan is a non-project action. No dwelling units will be eliminated as a result of this plan.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any:

Does not apply

10. Aesthetics

a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed?

sections of the Urban Environment. If implemented, recommended actions subject to the SMMP will undergo additional developmental and environmental review to determine if a Shoreline Substantial Development Permit is required.

h. Has any part of the site been classified as an "environmentally sensitive" area? If so, specify.

Yes. The county numerous natural watercourses, wetlands, fish and wildlife habitat, and the buffers associated with all the aforementioned. In addition, many areas are considered environmentally sensitive due to the high potential for landslide and erosion.

i. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project?

N/A This is non project action.

j. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace?

None. This plan is a non-project action. No people will be displaced by the plan. However, certain recommended actions, if implemented, would propose voluntary relocation of people currently living in high flood hazard areas.

k. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any:

N/A This is non project action.

l.

I. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any:

Since this is a non-project action, no existing or projected land uses will be affected by the plan. Therefore, the plan is compatible in regards to this element. Future implementation of actions recommended by the plan will be dependent upon a consistency determination at a project-specific level.

9. Housing

a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

None. This plan is a non-project action. No additional dwelling units will be provided as a result of this plan.

b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

None. This plan is a non-project action. No dwelling units will be eliminated as a result of this plan.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any:

Does not apply

10. Aesthetics

a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed?

Not applicable. No structures are proposed as a portion of this plan.

- b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?

Does not apply

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any:

Does not apply

11. Light and glare

- a. What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur?

None. This plan is a non-project action. No light or glare will be produced by this plan.

- b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views?

Does not apply

- a. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal?

Does not apply

- d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any:

Does not apply

12. Recreation

- a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity?

Passive recreation opportunities are available throughout the county. These include, but are not limited to, fishing, sightseeing, camping, wildlife viewing, and nature study. Active recreation opportunities are also available throughout the county. These include, but are not limited to, hiking, biking, boating, and organized sporting events (e.g. baseball, soccer, golf). Organized sporting event venues are provided at scattered sites.

- b. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe.

None. No recreational opportunities will be displaced result of the plan.

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any:

None. This plan is a non-project action. It will not result in adverse impacts to recreational opportunities located within the county.

13. Historic and cultural preservation

- a. Are there any places or objects listed on, or proposed for, national, state, or local preservation registers known to be on or next to the site? If so, generally describe.

None known at this time. As this is a non-project action, detailed analysis and research to determine the presence of such places or objects will be conducted on a project-specific level.

- b. Generally describe any landmarks or evidence of historic, archaeological, scientific, or cultural importance known to be on or next to the site.

Archaeological sites of cultural significance to the Colville Tribe are presumed present within Okanogan County. Other significant historical and cultural resources may also be located in the county. As this is a non-project action, detailed analysis and research to determine the presence of such sites will be conducted on a project-specific level.

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts, if any:

None proposed. This plan is a non-project action. No impacts will occur as a result of this plan.

14. Transportation

- a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site, and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any.

Okanogan County includes portions of State Routes 97, 20, 155, 153 and 17. There is also an extensive system of arterials, collectors, and local streets. Since this a non-project action, no access is proposed at this time for individual recommended actions.

- b. Is site currently served by public transit? If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop?

Some areas may be served by public transit. The distance to the nearest transit stop will vary by site.

- c. How many parking spaces would the completed project have? How many would the project eliminate?

N/A This is non project action. No parking spaces will be provided or eliminated by this plan.

- d. Will the proposal require any new roads or streets, or improvements to existing roads or streets, not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private).

No. This plan is a non-project action. No new roads, streets, or improvements to existing roads will be required as a result of this proposal. Recommended actions that may include improvements to roads will undergo project-level environmental review at the time of their implementation.

- e. Will the project use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail, or air transportation? If so, generally describe.

N/A This is non project action.

- f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project? If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur.

N/A This is non project action. This plan will not generate increased vehicular trips.

- g. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any:

- b. Generally describe any landmarks or evidence of historic, archaeological, scientific, or cultural importance known to be on or next to the site.

Archaeological sites of cultural significance to the Colville Tribe are presumed present within Okanogan County. Other significant historical and cultural resources may also be located in the county. As this is a non-project action, detailed analysis and research to determine the presence of such sites will be conducted on a project-specific level.

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts, if any:

None proposed. This plan is a non-project action. No impacts will occur as a result of this plan.

14. Transportation

- a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site, and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any.

Okanogan County includes portions of State Routes 97, 20, 155, 153 and 17. There is also an extensive system of arterials, collectors, and local streets. Since this a non-project action, no access is proposed at this time for individual recommended actions.

- b. Is site currently served by public transit? If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop?

Some areas may be served by public transit. The distance to the nearest transit stop will vary by site.

- c. How many parking spaces would the completed project have? How many would the project eliminate?

N/A This is non project action. No parking spaces will be provided or eliminated by this plan.

- d. Will the proposal require any new roads or streets, or improvements to existing roads or streets, not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private).

No. This plan is a non-project action. No new roads, streets, or improvements to existing roads will be required as a result of this proposal. Recommended actions that may include improvements to roads will undergo project-level environmental review at the time of their implementation.

- e. Will the project use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail, or air transportation? If so, generally describe.

N/A This is non project action.

- f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project? If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur.

N/A This is non project action. This plan will not generate increased vehicular trips.

- g. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any:

None proposed. This plan is a non-project action. No transportation impacts will occur as a result of this plan.

15. Public services

- a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe.

N/A This is non project action.

- b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any.

N/A This is non project action.

16. Utilities

- a. Circle utilities currently available at the site: electricity, natural gas, water, refuse service, telephone, sanitary sewer, septic system, other.


N/A This is non project action. Available utilities vary throughout Okanogan County. The plan will not adversely impact utilities or delivery of service.

- b. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed.

None proposed

C. SIGNATURE

The above answers are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the lead agency is relying on them to make its decision.

Signature: 

Date Submitted: 8-26-20

D. SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS

(do not use this sheet for project actions)

Because these questions are very general, it may be helpful to read them in conjunction with the list of the elements of the environment.

When answering these questions, be aware of the extent the proposal, or the types of activities likely to result from the proposal, would affect the item at a greater intensity or at a faster rate than if the proposal were not implemented. Respond briefly and in general terms.

1. How would the proposal be likely to increase discharge to water; emissions to air; production, storage, or release of toxic or hazardous substances; or production of noise?

Adoption of the Okanogan County Recreation Plan would not increase discharges to water and emissions to air. The plan would not affect the production, storage, or release of toxic or hazardous substances. Additionally, the plan would not produce noise. The future implementation of actions recommended by this plan may temporarily increase emissions to air and production of noise. However, it is unlikely that the recommended actions will increase discharges to water or production, storage, or release of toxic or hazardous substances.

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce such increases are:

All construction activities will be consistent with adopted County and State standards

2. How would the proposal be likely to affect plants, animals, fish, or marine life?

minimal impact.

Proposed measures to protect or conserve plants, animals, fish, or marine life are:

The plan will not implement specific projects, individual projects will undergo SEPA.

3. How would the proposal be likely to deplete energy or natural resources?

The plan's implementation would not contribute directly to depletion of energy or natural resources. Specific project actions using mechanical equipment would use minor quantities of fuel when constructing improvements.

Proposed measures to protect or conserve energy and natural resources are:

None are proposed

4. How would the proposal be likely to use or affect environmentally sensitive areas or areas designated (or eligible or under study) for governmental protection; such as parks, wilderness, wild and scenic rivers, threatened or endangered species habitat, historic or cultural sites, wetlands, floodplains, or prime farmlands?

The plan contains recommended actions that would potentially affect environmentally sensitive areas. Short-term impacts associated with construction activities may occur. However, the primary goal of these recommendations is to protect existing facilities (such as parks and cultural sites) and encourage the restoration of natural processes (such as endangered species habitat).

Proposed measures to protect such resources or to avoid or reduce impacts are:

None are proposed.

D. SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS

(do not use this sheet for project actions)

Because these questions are very general, it may be helpful to read them in conjunction with the list of the elements of the environment.

When answering these questions, be aware of the extent the proposal, or the types of activities likely to result from the proposal, would affect the item at a greater intensity or at a faster rate than if the proposal were not implemented. Respond briefly and in general terms.

1. How would the proposal be likely to increase discharge to water; emissions to air; production, storage, or release of toxic or hazardous substances; or production of noise?

Adoption of the Okanogan County Recreation Plan would not increase discharges to water and emissions to air. The plan would not affect the production, storage, or release of toxic or hazardous substances. Additionally, the plan would not produce noise. The future implementation of actions recommended by this plan may temporarily increase emissions to air and production of noise. However, it is unlikely that the recommended actions will increase discharges to water or production, storage, or release of toxic or hazardous substances.

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce such increases are:

All construction activities will be consistent with adopted County and State standards

2. How would the proposal be likely to affect plants, animals, fish, or marine life?

minimal impact.

Proposed measures to protect or conserve plants, animals, fish, or marine life are:

The plan will not implement specific projects, individual projects will undergo SEPA.

3. How would the proposal be likely to deplete energy or natural resources?

The plan's implementation would not contribute directly to depletion of energy or natural resources. Specific project actions using mechanical equipment would use minor quantities of fuel when constructing improvements.

Proposed measures to protect or conserve energy and natural resources are:

None are proposed

4. How would the proposal be likely to use or affect environmentally sensitive areas or areas designated (or eligible or under study) for governmental protection; such as parks, wilderness, wild and scenic rivers, threatened or endangered species habitat, historic or cultural sites, wetlands, floodplains, or prime farmlands?

The plan contains recommended actions that would potentially affect environmentally sensitive areas. Short-term impacts associated with construction activities may occur. However, the primary goal of these recommendations is to protect existing facilities (such as parks and cultural sites) and encourage the restoration of natural processes (such as endangered species habitat).

Proposed measures to protect such resources or to avoid or reduce impacts are:

None are proposed.

5. How would the proposal be likely to affect land and shoreline use, including whether it would allow or encourage land or shoreline uses incompatible with existing plans?

The plan would be consistent with adopted comprehensive land use plans and Shoreline Management Master Plans that direct and regulate land development in floodplain areas.

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce shoreline and land use impacts are:
None are proposed.

6. How would the proposal be likely to increase demands on transportation or public services and utilities?

Implementation of the plan would not increase demands on transportation, public services and utilities.

Proposed measures to reduce or respond to such demand(s) are:
None are proposed.

7. Identify, if possible, whether the proposal may conflict with local, state, or federal laws or requirements for the protection of the environment.

None are anticipated.

Attachment D
Notices

Stephanie Palmer

From: Angela Hubbard
Sent: Wednesday, September 2, 2020 2:04 PM
To: Josh Thomson; Anna Randall; Jan Million; Lani McKee; Michael Harr; Janet Nelson; Tony Hawley; Dan Higbee; Gene Wyllson; jerit@okpud.org; allena@okpud.org; crosepacoordinator@ecy.wa.gov; separegister@ecy.wa.gov; SEPADesk@dfw.wa.gov; northeast.region@dnr.wa.gov; jasa.holt@dnr.wa.gov; craign@okanogancd.org; Gretchen.Kaehler@dahp.wa.gov; dennis.wardlaw@dahp.wa.gov; karri.quill@colvilletribes.com; guy.moura@colvilletribes.com; John.Davis.HSY@colvilletribes.com; gouldw@wsdot.wa.gov; Phil_Rigdon@Yakama.com; warp@yakamafish-nsn.gov; shona@yakamanation-olc.org
Cc: Stephanie Palmer
Subject: Okanogan County Outdoor Recreation Plan
Attachments: SEPADETERMINATIONOKRP.PDF; Outdoor Recreation Plan_2020.pdf

Outdoor Recreation Plan 2020

Notice of Threshold SEPA Determination and Hearing

Project Summary

The Planning Department has submitted a SEPA checklist for the adoption of the Okanogan County Outdoor Recreation plan 2020. The goals and objectives of the Recreation Plan will be included in the Okanogan County Comprehensive Plan. This document reflects an update of the 2004 Okanogan County Parks and Recreation Element of the County Comprehensive Plan. The plan contains an inventory and description of existing public recreation facilities and opportunities, goals and objectives to guide the plan implementation, the determination and analysis of recreation needs, and plans for meeting those outdoor recreation needs.

The SEPA Responsible Official has reviewed the Environmental Checklist and project description and has issued a Threshold Determination of Non-Significance (DNS) on this proposal in accordance with WAC 197-11-340. All comments will be reviewed for relevance, and if necessary the DNS will be changed. If no comments are received or the comments received do not provide probable, significant, and adverse impacts from the proposal the DNS will stand.

Comments for SEPA threshold must be submitted in writing to the Okanogan County Office of Planning & Development, 123 5th Ave North, Suite 130, Okanogan, WA 98840, no later than September 16, 2020.

A public hearing has been scheduled with the Okanogan County Regional Planning Commission to give interested parties the opportunity to provide verbal testimony. The public hearing will be conducted on September 28, 2020 at 7:00 p.m. over webex

platform. Written comments may be submitted at the hearing or prior to the hearing at the email and address listed.

Information is available on our website www.okanogancounty.org/planning. Direct questions and comments to: Pete Palmer, Director of Planning, Okanogan County Office of Planning & Development, 123 5th Ave. N, Suite 130, Okanogan, WA 98840, (509) 422-7218 or spalmer@co.okanogan.wa.us.

Date of Publication: September 2, 2020

Angela Hubbard
Natural Resource Planner
Okanogan County Office of Planning & Development
509-422-7090
ahubbard@co.okanogan.wa.us

platform. Written comments may be submitted at the hearing or prior to the hearing at the email and address listed.

Information is available on our website www.okanogancounty.org/planning. Direct questions and comments to: Pete Palmer, Director of Planning, Okanogan County Office of Planning & Development, 123 5th Ave. N, Suite 130, Okanogan, WA 98840, (509) 422-7218 or spalmer@co.okanogan.wa.us.

Date of Publication: September 2, 2020

Angela Hubbard
Natural Resource Planner
Okanogan County Office of Planning & Development
509-422-7090
ahubbard@co.okanogan.wa.us

(2020-275 Sept. 2)
Outdoor Recreation Plan 2020
Notice of Threshold SEPA Determination and Hearing

Project Summary

The Planning Department has submitted a SEPA checklist for the adoption of the Okanogan County Outdoor Recreation plan 2020. The goals and objectives of the Recreation Plan will be included in the Okanogan County Comprehensive Plan. This document reflects an update of the 2004 Okanogan County Parks and Recreation Element of the County Comprehensive Plan. The plan contains an inventory and description of existing public recreation facilities and opportunities, goals and objectives to guide the plan implementation, the determination and analysis of recreation needs, and plans for meeting those outdoor recreation needs.

The SEPA Responsible Official has reviewed the Environmental Checklist and project description and has issued a Threshold Determination of Non-Significance (DNS) on this proposal in accordance with WAC 197-11-340. All comments will be reviewed for relevance, and if necessary the DNS will be changed. If no comments are received or the comments received do not provide probable, significant, and adverse impacts from the proposal the DNS will stand.

Comments for SEPA threshold must be submitted in writing to the Okanogan County Office of Planning & Development, 123 5th Ave North, Suite 130, Okanogan, WA 98840, no later than September 16, 2020.

A public hearing has been scheduled with the Okanogan County Regional Planning Commission to give interested parties the opportunity to provide verbal testimony. The public hearing will be conducted on September 28, 2020 at 7:00 p.m. over webex platform. Written comments may be submitted at the hearing or prior to the hearing at the email and address listed.

Information is available on our website www.okanogancounty.org/planning. Direct questions and comments to: Peter Palmer, Director of Planning, Okanogan County Office of Planning & Development, 123 5th Ave. N, Suite 130, Okanogan, WA 98840, (509) 422-7218 or spalmer@co.okanogan.wa.us.

Date of Publication: September 2, 2020
Published by Omak Okanogan County Chronicle.

Will publish:

Sept. 2

\$35.10