

**CITY OF INDEPENDENCE
STREETS & SIDEWALKS COMMITTEE
MEETING MINUTES
AUGUST 1, 2023, AT 3:30 P.M.
COUNCIL CAUCUS ROOM & VIA ZOOM**

Present: Chairman Dale Veverka
Vice Mayor Dave Grendel
Councilperson Chris Walchanowicz

Also Present: Mayor Gregory P. Kurtz
Councilperson Jim Trakas
Service Director Ron McKinley
City Engineer Don Ramm
Engineering Program Coordinator Jim Riley

Chairman Dale Veverka called the meeting to order at 3:34 p.m.

Alright, we will roll through the agenda, so we'll go to the Service Department.

Service Director McKinley stated the other day we completed all of our landscaping jobs, all of the landscaping islands are completed as well, we're currently still on our grass routes, (inaudible).

We have some equipment to talk about as well. I have put together an assets management plan, and I've brought everyone a copy of it. Everything I am going to speak about here tonight is in the 5-year Capital Plan, but instead of giving this one piece at a time, my team and I have built all these pieces of equipment as a packaged deal. On the left side is what we have budgeted for 2022 and 2023 currently in the Capital Plan, our packers, a jet truck, a sweeper, and a single axle. That comes to \$1.7 million. On the right side is our package that we would like to do.

Finance Director Blaze stated you're asking for a lot of money; you should give one to the Finance Department.

Service Director McKinley stated you have one.

Finance Director Blaze stated he's asking for millions of dollars.

Service Director McKinley stated you already have a copy of this, Vern. First, are our packers; I am proposing that we lease our packers for 5 years instead of purchasing them. Other cities are doing this, for example, Shaker Heights, Lakewood, Brook Park, and Akron are all leasing packers. They lease them for 5 years while they're under warranty, and then they have the option to either buy them or turn them back in.

Vice Mayor Grendel asked Ron, is that all or can you purchase a couple of them?

Service Director McKinley stated so, this lease right now is set up to trade in 3 of our 4, lease 4 new ones, and keep one of ours as a backup. We currently have 1-2 in the shop every day, and we have 1-2 mechanics working on packers every day. All the equipment we're going to talk about tonight, I pulled all of the repairs on. For example, one packer is \$115,000, the other is \$107,000, \$77,000, and \$70,000.

Vice Mayor Grendel asked what was the period of time?

Service Director McKinley stated from July of 2017 to July of 2023.

Vice Mayor Grendel stated so, about 6 years.

Service Director McKinley stated 6 years, we're a little over a half million dollars with just the 4 packers for repairs. The release option on the packers is \$240,000 for a year.

Councilperson Walchanowicz asked is that per one?

Service Director McKinley stated for all 4, and when you break it down, it comes to \$5,000 a month per packer. Now, if we were to go rent a packer from Premier Equipment, it's \$11,600 a month to rent a rubbish truck. I can lease 1 for \$5,000, I can rent 2 of them for the same price or \$1,600 cheaper than renting one. They'll be under warranty for all 5 years, then trade it in or have the option to buy it.

Councilperson Walchanowicz asked are these the same type of packers that we're using?

Service Director McKinley stated the exact same truck that we have now, just an upgraded model of them.

Councilperson Walchanowicz asked have they worked the bugs out of these hydraulic systems that we've been having issues with?

Service Director McKinley stated yeah, so there are 2 kinds: there's the electric hydraulic system and there's air over hydraulics; we have both, 2 of each of them. The air is your Lebreze, and they pack the rubbish better and tighter, and they can hold more than the electrics on the Heil's. So, we want to stick with the Lebreze; we can pack more and get the whole route in a day, on the Heil's, we can't.

Councilperson Walchanowicz asked which is the stronger of them? Which system?

Service Director McKinley stated the air.

Councilperson Walchanowicz stated because you know how residents will tend to overpack the toters, and then those arms get strain on them. So, the air system is stronger?

Service Director McKinley stated yes, and I believe the trucks that we have, the arms are 500 pounds, and the new ones are either 600 or 800 pounds. They lift more.

Councilperson Walchanowicz asked we have a spare bucket, don't we, like if one went down?

Service Director McKinley stated yes.

Councilperson Walchanowicz asked the one that we have as a spare, would that work?

Service Director McKinley stated yes, our throttle (inaudible) can work on the new rubbish trucks. I think leasing is a more efficient way to spread our capital. For example, if we were to go buy 4 packers, it would cost \$1.7 million. We can get 4 packers, a jet truck, a sweeper, and a single axle for \$1.6 million; we get everything and still save \$100,000 by leasing. It's a more efficient way of spreading our capital around; it cuts down on the repairs, which is a half million dollars. All we have to do is tires, brakes, and general maintenance. Everything else, bumper to bumper, is under the 5-year warranty on the packer releases.

Councilperson Walchanowicz stated the general maintenance.

Chairman Veverka asked where would they have to go for repairs?

Service Director McKinley stated Best Repairs, or we would do the maintenance.

Chairman Veverka stated I heard the maintenance; I'm talking about any big items.

Service Director McKinley stated where they go now.

Chairman Veverka asked, and that would be where?

Service Director McKinley stated Best Equipment.

Chairman Veverka asked do they also come out if you have an issue?

Service Director McKinley stated yes, they do, they make room calls as well.

Councilperson Walchanowicz asked do you have any idea what their fee would be for something like that?

Service Director McKinley stated not of the top of my head.

Councilperson Walchanowicz stated I'm sure parts and stuff like that are covered under warranty, but they're still going to have a labor fee if they had to come out.

Service Director McKinley stated I would assume so, just as they do now.

Chairman Veverka stated it would be good to know the rest of the terms that go along with the lease.

Councilperson Walchanowicz stated if you could, on that lease program; I understand that the cost will be there for the 5 years, but if there's not a labor; warranty for parts, but there's no warranty for labor, maybe there's some type of program they have where if we pay X amount of dollars per unit, that's how much labor costs would be.

Service Director McKinley stated there is. We can do that. Even for the maintenance, we can throw all that in there where it's all covered.

Chairman Veverka asked Dennis, why do we get a preferred rate?

Procurement Coordinator Zdolshek stated well, we would get that because, first of all, we put it out for (inaudible) for that, and because this would be done under contract or warranty (inaudible), and we get a discount on prices and parts if there's something that is purchased, and I believe the last time I got a quote it was 15% below this.

Chairman Veverka stated thank you. Ron, you know, basically, there are 2 companies now that make packers. Is that correct?

Service Department McKinley stated there's a few more than that. We have demoed all of them out there, side loads, front loads.

Chairman Veverka asked okay, now, have we made an effort to try to see if any of these other ones offer these options?

Service Director McKinley asked other companies?

Chairman Veverka stated other companies.

Service Director McKinley stated so, all of the packers that we demoed, this is the best truck for what we use. It's the same truck that we have, so we stuck with the same company, Best Equipment, that manufactures the truck.

Chairman Veverka asked so, how many distributors are there? Is there only one distributor in Ohio? How does that work?

Service Director McKinley stated yes, Best makes the auto car, well, it's an auto car cabin chassis with a Leebreeze body. You can get, say, a mac truck with a Leebreeze body or a higher body. So, to put everything together, it's Best Equipment, which is what we currently have now.

Chairman Veverka asked do you see any question as it relates to bidding this, Dave?

Vice Mayor Grendel asked bidding?

Chairman Veverka stated how we're able to bid this, obviously, we have a supplier, we have a unit, you have a price.

Service director McKinley stated so, this has already been bid out through (inaudible).

Several people began speaking at once.

Mayor Kurtz stated Council has to approve it.

Service Director McKinley stated correctly.

Mayor Kurtz stated It's already been bid out, but Council has to approve it.

Service Director McKinley stated yes, sir.

Vice Mayor Grendel asked will the lease start this year?

Service Director McKinley stated so, everything else is 13-15 months on jet trucks, single axle truck, snowplow trucks. The packers are now 2 years out, so we won't see a packer for 2 years.

Councilperson Walchanowicz stated I thought we ordered one last year.

Service Director McKinley stated we talked about all of this last year, we put money aside, but we never did anything. Now, we have them built; I wanted to demo everything out there first before we made these big purchases and we've done that.

Councilperson Trakas stated you did your homework beforehand.

Service Director McKinley stated exactly.

Councilperson Walchanowicz asked will this number go up or is that going to lock us in?

Service Director McKinley stated the lease is 5 years.

Councilperson Walchanowicz asked but if we don't get a packer for 2 years, is this going to stay the same?

Service Director McKinley stated yes, that's it. We'll sign the paperwork, and the lease was done.

Councilperson Walchanowicz stated, and the lease will start as soon as we get them?

Service Director McKinley stated correct, and then our trade-in value is as of today, and that won't change either in 2 years.

Engineering Program Coordinator Riley stated, and keep in mind, the price, if you look down at the sweeper, what was budgeted for that; the cost of all these trucks is going up unbelievably each year. If you wait to buy them, it will cost you even more money.

Service Director McKinley stated well, with the packers we just can't wait. It's 2 years before we're even going to see them.

Councilperson Walchanowicz asked if it makes more sense to be leasing the packer, why aren't we looking into leasing some of these other trucks?

Service Director McKinley stated so, let's talk about that.

Councilperson Walchanowicz stated I can see maybe not the snowplow trucks, I get that.

Service Director McKinley stated so, let's talk about the jet truck. The jet truck is \$625,000 for a new truck. The reason I don't want to lease that is because I need 15-20 years out of that, so there's no reason to lease it. It doesn't get the wear and tear like a rubbish truck does every single day with 3,100 stops. So, it's more cost effective for the City to buy it; the maintenance is less on a 15-20-year vehicle than a 5-year vehicle that stops 3,200 times, times 4 trucks.

Vice Mayor Grendel asked with the trucks that we have, how long?

Service Director McKinley stated since 2007 we have put \$86,000 into it in 17 years, so that's why it's not advantageous to lease. The single axle snowplow truck: the 4 Mac's that we have, it's time to start phasing them out. However, I'd like to keep 2 of them and sell the other 2. The one that I'd like to start phasing out is a 2010 Mac, and we've put \$80,000 into it. This new one would replace this, but I want to keep it as a backup. Currently, we do not have any big cloud truck backups, so if a truck goes down, we're using 550's. We can't continue that way, we need a backup, so out of these 4, let's keep 2 for backups. Just like the packer, let's keep 1 packer for a backup.

Councilperson Trakas asked how frequently do you have trucks out of service?

Service Director McKinley asked with the snowplows?

Councilperson Trakas stated yes.

Service Director McKinley stated it depends on how much it snows. We haven't had a storm where a truck didn't go down. Sometimes it's a 10-minute fix, sometimes it's 2 days' worth of things, but we have to have at least one as a backup. They just go down and freeze, 550's just can't compete with the big trucks.

So, if we look back at the packaged deal, the way we have it, what we have in the 5-year plan, and the cost of everything with the lease option, we can get all of this equipment and still save \$100,000 in the Capital Plan.

Chairman Veverka asked as a consortium, has this been a possibility all along or is this something new?

Service Director McKinley stated to my knowledge, it has been all along. Shaker Heights is leasing, Lakewood, Brook Park, Akron, the City of Cleveland, they're all leasing currently.

Finance Director Blaze stated the problem with that is all of those cities that you mentioned are not cities like Independence, Beachwood, Westlake with more financial wherewithal. It's the Mayors or Councils decision on 1 of these versus 5, but I think, historically, (inaudible). I think this analysis, although it's a good start, I don't think this is a complete picture. The left side is showing \$1721.7 million, and the lease side is \$1.6 million, so there's a \$100,000 difference. On the lease side, when the lease is up, I've got zero; I have to turn that asset back unless I am going to buy it out at the end of the lease. At least on the left side I still own that asset and there's some value to it. So, I think there's more to the equation than what is shown here.

We're talking about the consortium price, and I've been showing examples of bids that we've taken from the consortium, whether it was state purchasing or any other consortiums, and those items can be found cheaper somewhere else. Dennis and I ended up with the representative from Enterprise State Management, it was more for police vehicles, not so much this, but it probably could be applied to it. The only thing that has me intrigued about it so far, this leasing program, is that they claim that because of, say purchasing is state wide, you say Enterprise is national, and thereby the power blows away the State of Ohio or any of these consortiums, and Dennis, correct me if I'm wrong, but I thought he said that Enterprise can only buy or lease 4 interceptors, \$17,000 cheaper than the state contract pricing. That's the only thing that caught my attention, where, okay, I might have to look at this a little more.

Paying even consortium prices, maybe there's better pricing out there, so I am glad we're having this discussion. I think Independence has always been an own community, and not a lease community as far as our capital equipment. If it's time to explore other options, I am open to that. We did follow up. Ron, thank you for following up with the Beachwood Service Director. Dennis and I followed up with the Beachwood Finance Director; we're going to talk further because he said that they dipped their toe in the water 3 years ago with police vehicles. He wouldn't put it in writing, but reading between the lines it sounds like he's warning me to tread lightly.

Mayor Kurtz stated I don't think they'll be making the decision today.

Finance Director Blaze stated we haven't talked to them yet, you read through the emails and everything, but (inaudible). Have the discussion, but personally; if you're at the table, it's your decision and the Mayor's, but I personally don't think we're ready to run out and say yes, we're going to lease these vehicles based on a 20-minute conversation, I think it needs more discussion.

Procurement Coordinator Zdolshek stated another thing is, by trading in the 3 packers, we're buying down the front end of that lease, so the next time you lease 4 packers, you won't have that down payment factor in it, so it'll be a greater price.

Service Director McKinley stated that is correct. The price would go from \$240 for all four to \$300 for all four.

Chairman Veverka stated, and once you get out of the leasing situation, if you decide to go back, then it becomes, based on my thoughts and along with your thoughts, it's going to be a substantially bigger mountain to climb if we find that this does not work. If it works, then you have to buy them, and then you have big, big bucks.

Councilperson Walchanowicz asked how many packers do we currently have?

Service Director McKinley stated 4.

Councilperson Walchanowicz stated, and in the last 5 years, do you have a number on what we've spent repairing or fixing? What's your overall number on all 4 machines?

Several people began speaking at once.

Finance Director Blaze stated you can just put them in the shop over the winter, you refurbish them, and you put them back on the road for the next 9 months.

Mayor Kurtz stated they could be off for 3 months; those trucks didn't need to be worked. I was under the impression that you guys had a finance meeting.

Finance Director Blaze stated oh, we did. We met, but I think the lease versus buy decision, you're talking (inaudible).

Councilperson Walchanowicz stated so, it's \$370,000 in maintenance for fixing these 4 packers in the last 5 years.

Service Director McKinley stated yes, however, that's all the data we have from our TA and Tyler. We do not have any compiled data because we cannot retrieve that. So, that's the bare minimum that we could retrieve.

Councilperson Walchanowicz stated I mean, I could see from a financial point, but they break down. So, to me, it makes more sense to lease. Factors? Just the cost of repairing them over time.

Finance Director Blaze stated here's the other thing, we're not even diving into the finances and so much of the high-level analysis. If we're the buyer, which we are, (inaudible). There's one person making money in that transaction and that's the manufacturer. If we lease, you have somebody building it and manufacturing it, who needs to make money, who then is selling it to

make money, and then it has to be financed by a finance company before it gets to us. So, now it goes from one profit center between the manufactures and us: 3 profit centers between the manufacturers and us. I have to be convinced that it's still cheaper with 3 people making money off of me versus one. Make sense? If I buy something, the guy I buy it from makes money. If I lease it, well, the person that makes the product makes money, the person that buys it from them makes money. The lease is nothing more than a financing technique, and there's somebody making money on the financing end of it. So, there's 3 people cropping in on the transaction, and that's not even diving into the numbers. It's a little skeptical at first, but I can be convinced if the facts are there.

Engineering Program Coordinator Riley stated if you wait, by the time you order the third or fourth packer, it could double in price. I just think that you've got them, (inaudible), you've got the Service Director who came up with a way to get 4 packers that will work every single day to take care of the residents. We all know the cost of equipment is going up and getting it is getting harder and harder.

Vice Mayor Grendel asked wasn't it last year that we looked at, there's a lot of communities that are having other companies do their rubbish pick up.

Mayor Kurtz stated I think Ron ran that analysis.

Service Director McKinley stated yes. I think there's 40 cities and 18 do it in house, the rest sub it out.

Finance Director Blaze stated these subs though get 10 or 12 years out of a packer and they're doing thousands of stops a day.

Councilperson Walchanowicz asked what's the purchase price if we wanted to purchase one of them at the end of the lease?

Service Director McKinley stated so, at the end of the lease, the payoff would be \$500,000 to buy all 4, or \$125,000 each.

Councilperson Walchanowicz stated okay, so what if 5 years comes and we say let's buy 2 of them, lease 2 more, and then that way we still have some equity if we wanted to cycle it and trade those 2 in 4 years down the road.

Councilperson Trakas asked what do we get in trade-in, what's the value?

Service Director McKinley stated we're getting \$350,000 credit.

Councilperson Walchanowicz asked and that's for all 3 of them?

Service Director McKinley stated all 3 of them.

Councilperson Trakas asked, and how old are those?

Service Director McKinley stated two of them are 2016 and two of them are 2017. The Heil's are 2016 and the LeBreeze's are 2017.

Engineering Program Coordinator Riley started that process of looking at an outside vendor company that collects the garbage, that would save a lot of money, but keep in mind the residents in this town expect a different type of service. Paul probably gets a call every day, hey your guy missed my garbage. Well, it wasn't that he missed it, they didn't get it out early enough, and waste management, whoever, BFI, they're not coming back; we go back. Even with that, you have to keep a truck, whether we buy a rearend loader just to go and handle things that weren't picked or missed. It's a great saving, but the customer service isn't. They lock you into a contract and the next time it comes up and they know you got rid of all of your trucks, guess what, the price goes up.

Vice Mayor Grendel asked how many companies are there? There's not that many companies that provide that service, are there?

Somebody stated 3.

Service Director McKinley stated Rumpke, Kimble, Waste Management.

Councilperson Togliatti stated those are the 3 big ones.

Several people began speaking at once.

Engineering Program Coordinator Riley stated price per household.

Councilperson Trakas stated they know what the other 3 are going to charge.

Engineering Program Coordinator Riley stated for a little community we collect a lot of waste. When you look at the numbers, we collect a lot of tonnage.

Service Director McKinley stated at the end of the day, it's just a good way to spread the capital around and increase the efficiency that we're spending our dollars.

Vice Mayor Grendel asked is that the only, just the packers, or are there others?

Service Director McKinley stated for the lease, yeah. Everything else is a purchase because we can keep the longevity of the equipment, and the wear and tear is less. For example, that jet truck, we have put \$85,000 in it over 17 years.

Mayor Kurtz stated, and the technology on the truck is different today.

Service Director McKinley stated absolutely, it's different today.

Mayor Kurtz stated it's more efficient than it was 17 years ago.

Finance Director Blaze asked Ron, what did we say that interest rate was?

Service Director Blaze stated 5.81%. We also talked about taking the \$700,000 that we have in the Capital Plan for the 2 packers and putting them in a CD at 5.5%.

Chairman Veverka asked how do the independents manage to get so much more time than we're anticipating getting out of these packers? Saying the amount of time they get out of one is maybe 10-12 years.

Service Director McKinley stated I'm not sure who gets 10 or 12 years.

Chairman Veverka stated I thought I heard someone say that earlier.

Finance Director Blaze said something but it was inaudible.

Service Director McKinley stated when I talked with Kimble, they told me 8 years on average.

Mr. Porch stated it's about 7-8 per truck; 7-8 years before they really start putting money into them (inaudible).

Service Director McKinley stated we also have mechanics that do nothing but work on packers every day. That's all they know.

Chairman Veverka asked how many stops?

Mr. Porch stated I did anywhere from 700-900 a day.

Chairman Veverka stated so, basically, they're doing 2.5 times what we're doing.

Mr. Porch stated right.

Chairman Veverka stated yet, we're saying that the life of a truck would still be 7 years for them, and we're doing 40% for the amount of stops.

Mr. Porch stated for this little city, I will say this, I've been doing trash for 3 years, this city has more waste, and I'm not going to lie to you, than Maple Heights. That's not exaggerating.

Service Director McKinley stated I mean, swing sets, decks, and couches; we take it all.

Councilperson Trakas stated so, it's a real problem.

Service Director McKinley stated they don't want to rent a dumpster.

Mr. Porch stated they'll throw out their whole kitchen on the front lawn.

Councilperson Trakas stated I would recommend that we put together a little administrative task force between the Finance Office, Service Department, and Purchasing to come up with some additional numbers, the (inaudible), and how we do things, something to report back that might increase your comfort level. We have traditionally stayed away from leases, but equipment costs these days, the average car costs \$49,000, so maybe there is a warranted change based on what's going on in society. Maybe there is also value to having hard equipment, once you pay for it it's yours, and you're saving money.

So, do you want to do something like that where we could have a little task force to go about doing that, Mayor? Then, coming back with a more thorough report. Would that be acceptable?

Chairman Veverka stated that sounds like a good idea. Before, we only looked at the Waste Management for a private company coming in, as compared to purchasing and jumping in to a third tier, which is totally different. Seems to make sense to have a lot more information on all 3 categories.

Service Director McKinley stated so, at the end of the day, if we buy or lease, it still doesn't change that the packers are still 2 years out, and we have to make some decisions sooner than later.

Councilperson Trakas asked can you come up with something in 2 weeks?

Service Director McKinley stated absolutely, I can do that.

Vice Mayor Grendel asked would you need a decision by the September meeting?

Service Director McKinley stated the September meeting, yes. I still have to call and make sure because these prices are really good. I think they gave me so many days, so I have to extend that and see if they will actually will.

Procurement Coordinator Zdolshek stated I think it was 50 or 60 days.

Service Director McKinley stated 52 days.

Councilperson Walchanowicz asked, and when did you get those prices?

Service Director McKinley stated July 21st.

Councilperson Trakas stated he's on the clock.

Vice Mayor Grendel stated it'd still be good for the September meeting; I think with 52 days. Maybe get us more information in comparison, so that we can make a decision.

Councilperson Walchanowicz stated it might not be a bad idea to put this in a workshop where all of us are here to discuss.

Councilperson Trakas stated come up with your conclusions, we'll have a workshop where we can go through it.

Several people began speaking at once.

Service Director McKinley stated he's not going to, it's 52 days because they're getting an (inaudible).

Councilperson Trakas asked 52 days from what date?

Service Director McKinley stated July 21st.

Councilperson Trakas stated so, our September meeting would fit within that, but it would be close.

Vice Mayor Grendel asked when is our September meeting?

Councilperson Walchanowicz stated I think it's the 12th.

Councilperson Trakas stated well, we have a workshop in late August.

Councilperson Walchanowicz stated we can always add it to the workshop.

Engineering Program Coordinator stated the 11th is 52 days.

Chairman Veverka asked who was saying there was another option that might be netter than purchasing from the consortium? Was that you, Jim?

Councilperson Trakas stated Vern.

Finance Director Blaze stated Enterprise management talked to Dennis and I (inaudible).

Chairman Veverka stated that was my thought, we can see what enterprise...

Engineering Program Coordinator asked do they have trucks, Vern?

Finance Director Blaze stated I don't know, it seemed like they were more focused (inaudible).

Several people began speaking at once.

Vice Mayor Grendel stated so, Ron, I think what we need is to schedule a meeting here towards the end of August with this information and we can be prepared to vote on it instead of waiting for the September meeting.

Service Director McKinley stated next, is a proposal form Prime Architects, and the Service Department expansion. This is just a little synopsis of what they're proposing. The future study

may include the Police Department, Library, and Elementary School; however, they would like to do 3 separate meetings, and below are the tasks.

The first one will be the project initiation, which consists of 2 meetings to come up with some data. Forming the planning and design process, a little site observation. Review the space options; review and evaluate the existing conditions. Then, there's an assessment and analysis which consists of 2 more meetings. Compile some data, do some analysis programming, assessment of existing campus preparation and campus master plan. Third, the functionality of the current building. The third is conceptual planning, again, 2 more meetings. Just like I said, it's a high-level footprint regarding the new building and the cost budget analysis. We'll be testing diagrams of the master plan. We'll also be including some potential emergency ingress to 77 northbound. Utilize adjacent property north of the existing campus, which is 26 acres, as well as the building improvements and expansion.

The first task is \$1,800. The second task would be \$5,500, and the third task is \$7,300. In total that's \$14,600 with a reimbursement budget fee.

Mayor Kurtz stated we're proposing this because hearing what Council had to say at the last walk through, the last couple of meetings, they want more data and information. So, the only way to get information is to bring in a professional that can segment and assess the current situation, and the need for the potential future situation. The result would be giving us, the City, Council and the Administration, a better understanding of what we have and where we want to go. Rather than just (inaudible), let's bring in someone that does this for a living, a professional, that can answer our questions that have generated over the past several months, and see if we can get something with support and direction.

There are a number of things incorporated here, and we want to be sensitive to the emergency on-ramp on I-77, the potential location for a new facility, what do we need in a new facility, what do we add to our existing facility, repurposing our existing facility; it's just too much to try to do internally. So, our request is this Council will look at this and say, you know, it makes sense, and it's something that can help the decision-making process in the future, and that's why we're raising this concept, we want to move forward with it.

Vice Mayor Grendel asked what is the prime architectural service?

Mayor Kurtz stated it's a full-service architectural design and planning firm, they have done this for others. I am not going to guess what size rooms we need; I don't want to guess. There are people that have done this for ODOT and others, give support, and give us information that the Service Department; to feed information, and get feedback.

Vice Mayor Grendel asked, and this \$14,600 is strictly for the Service Department?

Mayor Kurtz stated yes, for what you see here. And I thought, before we do anything, our list is based on priorities that were established for our long-term strategic plan, and there were 3; one was Police, one was the Service Department, and we talked about the library. So, I thought, you know, these guys could help support us, so we're not guessing, and we're not

saying I want this, and I want that. Let's let the professionals give us an assessment, then we can take that information and we'll be in a position to make an investment with strategy. I just want to bring it to your attention, and say hey, here's what we want to do, here's the direction we want to head.

We haven't had a Public Buildings and Lands Committee meeting, so I figured we would expedite it here, so that we can. It is the Service Department; it's talking about housing millions and millions of dollars of equipment. We're talking about maintaining millions and millions of dollars of equipment.

Finance Director Blaze stated if we lease, we won't have to worry about it then.

Mayor Kurtz stated we still have to maintain it, that's 4 out of 100 pieces.

Service Director McKinley stated there are 304 assets.

Mayor Kurtz stated 304 assets, I'm sorry.

Chairman Veverka asked is this company strictly a new, or is this an evaluation for upgrades?

Mayor Kurtz stated we're going to value our current facility.

Chairman Veverka stated correct.

Mayor Kurtz stated because it's our asset, and by evaluating it and digging deeper than what GPD did, we'll know what we have. We'll know the bones of that facility because repurposing that facility gives us some flexibility in the repurposing of that facility or the future use of that facility, or the fact that it's beyond repair in certain components. I just want to do a complete analysis, so that our decisions are based on information that's good for the future and not just staying stagnant or pretending we have the answers.

Councilperson Trakas asked would you not want to include the Police Station? I think those were both priorities.

Mayor Kurtz stated that could be a separate, I can ask them to give us a separate proposal for that.

Councilperson Trakas stated yeah, because if you're doing 2 projects, they might give you a better deal. As part of our strategic planning, we said both of them would be a priority.

Mayor Kurtz stated yeah, let me see how fast they can put something together. I'd like to get on this one because we need to get some momentum on this, so that we can get some direction, but I will ask them to put something together for the Police Department.

Finance Director Blaze asked how did this firm get selected?

Mayor Kurtz stated I interviewed, and I determined that they give us a better pulse than GPD or other that we've used in the past.

Chairman Veverka asked what other ones did you interview?

Mayor Kurtz stated GPD was one of our current vendors, and we've had experience with them. So, that was the one that we compared this to.

Vice Mayor Grendel asked where is Prime Architects?

Mayor Kurtz stated they're out of Akron.

Finance Director Blaze stated Dennis, in the Charter, isn't it \$5,000 to \$15,000, that we have to formally bid to get pricing?

Procurement Coordinator Zdolshek stated yes, between \$5,000 to- \$15,000, but all of this could probably be considered a professional services contract.

Mayor Kurtz stated that's exactly what it is, a professional services contract.

Procurement Coordinator Zdolshek stated but anything over \$15,000 would need Council's approval.

Mayor Kurtz stated I will check in with the Police Department and see how fast we can get a response and how comprehensive it's going to be. It's a little bit different dynamic with that, but it's the same concept.

Vice Mayor Grendel asked are you looking for some approval by Council?

Mayor Kurtz stated it's under \$15,000. So, if we do both of them it'll be over \$15,000. I just want to make sure Council is aware of what's going on, they can be engaged in the process and offer additional insight. I always want to be open minded to embrace that and see if we can incorporate that into our overall strategy.

Councilperson Trakas stated I would just ask that part of this visionary strategy, that when you have these meetings, you invite Council to make sure we're all on the same page. If they don't come out naturally, obviously whatever set of circumstances we tell them we're looking for, sometimes they match what you want. So, I don't know what we want, and maybe they'll be able to discern some of these opinions based on that, if you don't mind, Mayor.

Mayor Kurtz stated Council will be a part of the process, absolutely. We can either do it as a workshop, it could be a Public Buildings and Land workshop, and I will make sure it's part of the narrative.

Chairman Veverka stated, and it would be good to get as much of this information pre-meeting, so that we're not coming in cold.

Mayor Kurtz stated yeah, that's a good point; I'm making these notes. Don't come to the meeting and just say here's the information.

Chairman Veverka stated well, no, I'm not poking the stick at Ron, but this is kind of here it is on the sheet, and we need to decide quick because we have a 52-day limitation on potentially leasing it. So, I guess my comment to that would be that it would have been a nice thing to have had a week ago to at least try to absorb some of this. We're talking about in one case we're buying, that was the past, always, then in recent meetings, we're talking about waste management or something. Now we're in the third and totally different area, and being asked to make a rather quick decision with the shortfall of financial information in other areas related to making that decision, that we can only then turn around in 5 years and say, oh crap, we no longer have any of the assets that we had because we got rid of them, and the future problem we're going to have to deal with that we're going to have to bail out from. That's my biggest point.

Mayor Kurtz stated to piggyback off that, Dale, at the last Council meeting I mentioned that in the future, I'd like to have Council, who gets this information before the Council meeting to prepare questions for the Department heads before the Council meeting so we're not trying to hurry for a quick answer at the Council meeting. So, consistent with that, and if we get information out on these special projects or these long-term strategies, then Council could have the opportunity to prepare their questions, and get their questions back, so that we can provide some answers to expedite the process. We'll just be consistent and let's see how this all works out.

Chairman Veverka stated that goes along with the number of legislative ordinances that we get only 4 days before. The idea that when we get much more of this information, another week earlier, we're able to prepare more questions. So, it kind of puts Council, when we've got so many things from Department heads on the Friday before the Tuesday, it's pretty tough when you have a life, which some of us do or we have an occupation, to try to review and deal with the information we're provided with on such a short term. It would definitely be good to get us back on the cycle of getting the stuff early again because some of that has slipped from some of the departments, and I don't know if that's related to when the Department Heads provide, I don't know if the small point is related to the legal department putting it into a formal piece of legislation, but we're kind of getting lost in the shuffle there at the last minute.

Mayor Kurtz stated let me say this, the dynamic of all this is changed. We're trying to get answers as quickly as we can to facilitate and present presentations to City Council on various subjects, and those subjects are wide ranging. We're asking to make decisions and give information on a variety of subjects on the weekly basis to Council that you received from the administration.

I think having sat on Council, I would look at my things the weekend before and generate my questions. If we can come up with some strategy; and we'll be better and better at it, but there's no reason I can't have questions on Monday for a Tuesday meeting. So, then by Tuesday we would have an answer back for you or we can present it the answer at the meeting

rather than at meeting we're generating questions, and you could have helped us facilitate the answers. It's a two-way street is what I'm saying.

Chairman Veverka stated absolutely, and what I've done in the past, for instance, I'll talk to Dennis on a Monday about something, right, Dennis? I will talk to you, I will ask Ron questions ahead of time as much as possible, I will ask the Finance Department for something. For example, when we were talking about the value to a person to decide the wages, I asked about that ahead of time, and I ask Don ahead of time. So, in other words, we do try to ask things ahead of time as much as we can, but when we're only getting this stuff on a Friday, ask the question on Monday, then it puts them in a big pinch too, because then they've got to be able to come up with a very quick answer or they're unable to come up with an answer in the one case as far as the cost of benefits as it related to the salaries and benefits.

Mayor Kurtz stated well, I think the administration has to be made aware of these questions internally as well as holistically because maybe we have answers, other professionals on the pecking order have answers to some of those questions to expedite and raise your comfort level before you have to vote. So, there's a dynamic as I said initially; it's a dynamic process, and there's no quick cure to any of this. It's something that we have to continually work at to get better at, but I can tell you this, if you talk to your colleagues in other cities, they'll you that we provide a tremendous more amounts of information in detail, than other council people in other communities expect. So, that's another point you have to take in; we already do a lot more on a weekly basis, then on a Council meeting week, it's a lot more information than councils receive before they take action.

Chairman Veverka stated well, we are operating from our side too, and the administration side as we provide quite a bit more information to residents than your typical situation. I don't have a huge experience with having visited with a bunch of others, but we do provide a great deal of information to residents, so I will agree. I will just say that it goes back to the time, and the fact that so many members of Council have to have full-time jobs and families that make it really tough to try to get those things together, particularly when we're not going to first and second reading with a large number of pieces of legislation.

Mayor Kurtz stated the other point that you make, Dale, is that we have a meeting every month, we don't suspend our meetings in the summer time, and fortunately we have a relationship where we can call a workshop or we can call a special meeting, so, again, that dynamic sets us apart from other communities; how we can expedite things if it means saving money or providing a service. I think, to your point, and we'll know next week, but we don't have a lot of people chopping to vegetate these jobs, and I think it's because we do give them so much information. We distribute a lot of information on a weekly basis that, I think, residents are more comfortable with because they know that someone is looking out for their tax dollars, and someone is also looking at the level of services that we provide to them on an individual basis as well as an overall service. So, yeah, there's a lot of good things, but it takes a lot of effort to be able to provide all that information. We'll just keep getting better, one month at a time.

Chairman Veverka stated, and I would add, you know, my 6 colleagues and myself, I think we all try very conscientiously to be able to make ourselves available for workshops and other things, to be able to work with the administration to be able to move things forward.

Mayor Kurtz stated yeah, it's working, so thank you. We'll follow up and get that information. We're going to move forward with this, and you'll get that information to see if we can save some money.

Vice Mayor Grendel stated if we just had a resume on that Prime Architects, too.

Mayor Kurtz stated I'll deliver that also.

Engineering Program Coordinator Riley stated with what was said earlier, about Ron getting you the information quicker, he got that information from Best Equipment on Friday the 21st. So, it's been 7 business days since he's had that. He spent all last week with Paul and Tim getting all this put together and getting prices, so he didn't have any information to give you until he combined everything. I know they were working their tails off to get it, so they had something to present today with a bunch of numbers so you guys could look.

Chairman Veverka stated, and that's excellent. I guess the other piece of the puzzle might be for you, Ron, to give at least the 3 of us on the committee the facts that you're putting together, that's what you're focusing on, and if you can get any of that to us ahead of time that would be very helpful.

Service Director McKinley stated not a problem. On top of doing all this work, and we're all familiar with the original 5-year Capital Plan, I just wanted to give you a little synopsis of what we created. This is the new Capital Plan; the left side shows where we've been. For example, we bought an asphalt hotbox in 2022; this shows where we're at, and this shows where we're headed. There's a future value formula based upon your present value at a 3% inflation rate, so it shows that we bought a hotbox in 2022 for \$65,000, the longevity of it is going to be 15 years. So, in 2037 when we need a new hotbox, that \$65,000 will now be \$96,000, so we have budgeted for 3 years left in our timeframe for \$96,000. This is how we come up with our equipment replacement costs and what needs to be replaced. We put a timeframe to everything, a longevity, to every single piece of equipment; the current one has 10 items on it, and we have over 100 on the street pager.

Vice Mayor Grendel asked from various years, too?

Service Director McKinley stated absolutely. So, we're extremely comprehensive in how we're doing our Capital Plans now.

Councilperson Trakas stated it's a really great plan.

Chairman Veverka stated well, yeah, and considering how far in the past, the 5-year plan was a big deal.

Service Director McKinley stated as equipment is getting more expensive, we need more than 5 years.

Chairman Veverka stated absolutely, and I guess my that's my point, the fact that originally it was like we need something, then it became let's budget over a 5-year period, and now we're looking at a much longer period because of costs and inflation, and that's assuming only a 3% inflation.

Service Director McKinley stated, and the minute we buy something, we're budgeting for it again based on the longevity of it. Now, there are emergencies where things break and you just have to buy a new piece of equipment tomorrow, I can't control that, but overall, we have a very comprehensive plan for equipment.

Vice Mayor Grendel stated, and you'll see the hardware goes back to 2007 or so when the Fire Department was the first one to actually start a 5-year plan, it was a big thing back then. I think Jim Crooks was the Finance Chairman at that time, so we've come a long way for the analysis around as opposed to how things were 16 years ago.

Mayor Kurtz stated (inaudible) streaming information if 15 years.

Chairman Vice Mayor stated, and it's not that far down the road that we're going to be looking back at this extended plan, it goes along with the financial plan. So, obviously, having that information is going to be extremely helpful as we try to work our way through the Service Department because we have so much expensive stuff.

Service Director McKinley stated, and if anything ever happened, someone else could pick up where it's left off, anyone can read off of that.

Mayor Kurts stated at some point we have to get to Don's items.

Chairman Veverka asked yeah, I want to ask Ron a quick question. Has there been any exploring of having some perennials that bed in front of Selig that has all those perennials in there? They look absolutely gorgeous.

Service Director McKinley stated so, there has been over the winter. Did you notice the burlaps that we had to cover them all with salt? We're losing a lot of flowers due to salt. That's why some places we have to go (inaudible).

Chairman Veverka stated alright. You're up, Don.

City Engineer Ramm asked was there something about snow plowing?

Service Director McKinley stated the status of snowplowing.

Engineering Program Coordinator Riley asked is that the senior snow plowing?

Procurement Coordinator Zdolshek stated yes, actually, it will be on the agenda in August for award, of the 20 routes we can award 14 of them. It will be going out to bid for the remaining 6 routes; it will go out to bid on the 13th and 20th, it will be advertised, and the bid will close on 28th of August, and we'll have enough time to have it on the agenda for the September meeting.

Vice Mayor Grendel asked you wouldn't need it approved before then?

Procurement Coordinator Zdolshek stated no, we'll be able to get it on the agenda in September. Although, this August meeting, we will have the 20 routes that we are going to recommend the award for.

Chairman Veverka stated alright, Don, you're up.

City Engineer Ramm stated thank you, Mr. Chairman. We have the 2022 concrete road and repairs update. This is a snapshot of where we're at on the contract financially, contract time, from a contract timing standpoint. In this left column, it gives you a base bid and a couple of change orders that were added monetarily to the contract totaling \$1,884,000, billed through July 15th. We're at \$1,078,000, which represents about 57% of the budget, and we're at about 7.5 months through. We did have a suspension and resume contract time for the winter, so that's why this looks a lot better at 7.5 months. So, those things are mirrored up pretty good, 57, 63, those are the things we're looking at.

We do have a bunch of work still that we need to do, balance of the summer, Catts is going to be busy. We have alternate A of \$576,000, which we've already gone through \$143,000. So, we have a lot more work to do there. Then, we did allocate, and I thought authorized alternate C, and that was about \$196,000, but we asked for an additional \$100,000 because we were getting routinely requested for sidewalk repairs throughout the city. So, I thought there was a Council motion, and we approved \$300,000 for sidewalks, so that's going to be the next target of work for us. We do have to reserve the Meadowbrook funding that was in the alternate, but we're still hopeful to be able to get that work completed. We'll speak more about that later.

Then, this was an alternate that was out there, and it happens to mirror up with the reimbursement that we received from the ARPA grant. So, we just threw that out there in case there was a need, but we have plenty of work to do with the funds that are available and presently allocated.

Vice Mayor Grendel asked what's alternate A, again?

City Engineer Ramm the city-wide work. So, it's like the base bid work of next year; the base bid is typically city-wide, all the joints, and slabs, and curb repair. We normally have so much work to do in the base, and from a funding standpoint we always have a second year set up, and that was the \$576,000.

Chairman Veverka stated there are some blue marks on Brecksville Road, on the sidewalk in front of and next to the Methodist Church. Those blue marks, are those part of what's slated?

Blue dots specifically on sidewalk blocks, ones that are all crunched up, and then some up the side near where there's a gas or water shut off, it's lifted up. I know I've spoken to Ron about those, and I wasn't sure who was marking them or what those were a part of.

City Engineer Ramm stated not that I'm aware of. Normally, when we mark, we're using white or pink.

Chairman Veverka stated well, that was kind of a light blue.

Councilperson Trakas stated probably the water department.

Several people began speaking at once.

City Engineer Ramm stated orange is fiber optic communications, so, yeah, there's a color coding. So, blue is a little bit strange, but maybe that's the only can that they had at the time.

Chairman Veverka stated the only thing I would add to that is, Dave Snyderburn, when he replaced the slabs in front of the Methodist Church in the past, he would replace it, it'd last about 2 years, and he went to a deeper depth on the area in front of that because when they put the machine on there to put the lights on, that was just crunching it up. So, if that's part of what's scheduled I would just suggest, at least partly across where they're using that lift to put the lights on. They may want to pour some of those deep, so they don't have to replace them.

City Engineer Ramm stated thick than it was, okay.

Chairman Veverka stated yeah, because of the machine. Not all the way, but just in those areas.

City Engineer Ramm stated okay. Here are some photos of the work that's going ongoing out there on Rockside Woods Blvd., the project is moving along nicely. We've had some challenges with some underground utility conflicts, but we're working through those. This was a segmental block of a landscape wall that needed to be installed because of the high grades at the property owned by Kent State. So, this will help us build our sidewalk.

Councilperson Trakas stated I went by there today and wasn't sure what that was for.

City Engineer Ramm stated yeah, so that's just to help facilitate the grading for the new sidewalk, and still maintain the grades behind. It's about a 3-3.5 ft. wall, and it's attractive. It compliments the CBIZ building across the street, so it's going to fit nicely in there. It's almost done, and we think, overall, in the middle of the month Catts will be done with the sidewalk and move on to other work that we have specified.

Here are just some photos of bad slabs, trip hazards, these are the things that come into us, almost daily from residents, especially in the summertime when they're out walking or jogging. So, our list is seemingly ever growing.

Vice Mayor Grendel asked are they going to come back at a later time and do the east side?

Mayor Kurtz stated we want to talk to you about that. We want to go through that, prioritize, and get your perspective on a couple of things.

City Engineer Ramm stated so, these are just a sample of the bad sidewalks that we're hoping to get done, and of course, because it's a few slabs here to piece, the work is not production work. It's expensive, but it's bid accordingly. The contractors know when they bid it that it's costly, the unit price is wise because it's spread out so far, but that's just what it is unfortunately.

Chairman Veverka asked, and Ron, is now out of the sidewalk replacement business?

City Engineer Ramm stated I know he's capable of doing it on an as needed basis.

Mayor Kurtz stated just an as needed basis.

Chairman Veverka stated right, but it's not doing the more extensive stuff for a while.

Mayor Kurtz stated time permits that's all.

City Engineer Ramm stated so, that was all I needed to speak to on the concrete contract. Asphalt: here is the current funding, we had approved a change order back in, I want to say, May for this amount of money, \$775,000. We are pretty much out of money with the basic contract; we have about \$5,000 left with Ronyak. Their contract terminates, I believe August 18th, so in a week or 2. On this Council agenda for August 8th, I am requesting a time extension that they've agreed to, just through the end of the calendar year. That will buy us a few more months and allow them the ability to perform any work we need done for this amount of money that we have allocated for them. So, that's a no cost change, just strictly time, that they've agreed to work with us on to book the work.

These are possible work locations. Initially, we were thinking of some of these alternatives and came up with a cost of \$619,000. Just to show Council that with this funding we could afford to do a couple projects. We also have some smaller possible work locations that we've identified; Lombardo Center, and we can speak to that even though it's in Seven Hills since we are a part of the development circle here.

Mayor Kurtz stated a couple of things we were talking about; we're working with various businesses along for property in Independence on Lombardo. I talked to the Mayor from Seven Hills, and he's willing to work with us, make sure we have good access, and also access to the utilities; storm and sanitary along Lombardo for projects that would be a part of the east side of Lombardo.

Councilperson Trakas asked, and these are current projects or future projects?

Mayor Kurtz stated this is all future, but part of the responsibility is to make sure they have good access from the street and from utilities. By entering into an agreement with Seven Hills, we could bridge that today, so that it's not an obstacle tomorrow. One of the things, the condition of the road. So, my point is with Ronyak, and Don has done extraordinary work in terms of researching the condition of Lombardo Center. Seven Hills has some money they've allocated, they're prepared, I just think it's an opportunity for us too: a future opportunity but getting ahead of it now. If we were willing to put money into it, in exchange for utility, easement, or access, and curb cuts, it would just make some things easier when we have a customer, we don't have to think about who's going to support, who's not going to support. We're experiencing that right now with the back piece, the piece that was under contract with Catalyst.

Vice Mayor Grendel asked what happened with that?

Mayor Kurtz stated they reviewed the market overall, at least they explained to us that the clinic's going to be moving into those markets, and it might be too much saturation, so they're going to compete with different places. That was the preliminary review, we just got that news yesterday.

I guess my point is, we've exposed the issues with respect to the utilities and access to Lombardo. So, if it's okay with Council, we're going to try and see if we can come up with something in writing, and if we go in there and do something under this contract, which Ronyak is the best in favor, and if we can save some money and do it right; Don's talking overlay instead of grinding down the road, we're going to just overlay it and notch into the curb. Want to explain that, Don?

City Engineer Ramm stated yeah, right now it's a concrete road and they've done some extensive partial depth repairs similar to what we just kept doing on Rockside Road, and they've held up pretty well. Rather than disturb those and replace them with full-depth repairs, which is extremely costly, and its difficult construction because you lose access to the road for days and weeks while the concrete cures. They've done a nice job of bringing that road up to a decent standard, but for now we could stand the benefit from an overlay. So, we're either going to mill off good concrete, and put back asphalt, we thought we would just build up above and dig into the rolled curbs as the Mayor mentioned, grind nice vertical buck joints, and just put an overlay on top of the concrete, like we've done successfully on a lot of our concrete roads.

Mayor Kurtz stated so when customers, potential customers for all the property on the east side approach the City, we could say, yes, you can have your curb cut; yes, you have utilities, and the condition of the road is respectable, so they say this is nice, this will be a nice entrance for my office, a nice entrance for my medical building, a nice entrance for my use of this property. So, our strategy is let's leap for the future, and if we can come up with an agreement today because of the relationship between the cities, we can get this done, and get it done now.

Vice Mayor Grendel stated that is some forward thinking and not waiting until a project comes, let's get it attractive. I was gung-ho for that (inaudible), I can see the potential, not only for the City and income tax, but for the schools.

Several people began speaking at once.

Chairman Veverka stated so, this is similar to what we did with Rockside Woods North where we mixed it before we opened it up. Is this overlay similar to share land or did share land have cuts into the curb or no that just went up?

City Engineer Ramm stated yeah, I don't recall, I think it did because it was an existing asphalt that we had milled out. So, it's been overlaid twice, I believe. Rockside Woods, I think, was more consistent with what we're talking about now because it was a concrete road, and I think there were vertical curbs on Rockside Woods, so we figured we would just overlay without much grinding.

Mayor Kurtz stated if no objections, we're going to pursue that and present to Council once I can have an agreement arranged, and if we're able to get something done, we have a contractor in place, money is allocated, and if Seven Hills agrees, we can get this thing done.

Finance Director Blaze asked now, is that \$535,000 just the City's share or is that the total cost?

Mayor Kurtz stated that's what, and Don, correct me, we had envisioned the cost of that overlay to be. Now, we're going to negotiate with Seven Hills on that.

Vice Mayor Grendel stated well, the whole pavement is in Seven Hills.

Mayor Kurtz stated so, we're going to come up with something that's fair to them and fair to us, and all the utilities are in Seven Hills.

Finance Director Blaze asked is that 2 lanes each way?

City Engineer Ramm stated yes, they're narrow lanes, but there's 2.

Mayor Kurtz stated so, a car could be parked, and you can go around it. So, that's the strategy right now, and in recent conversation with the mayor, he's not going to hold up a project for us to get something done. I just thought it was in our best interest to get something done for the entire face of Lombardo Center Drive, and that way we can take that off our needs list while we have a potential customer. We'll pursue that, but that's one of the items we want to make sure that you're aware of, so that we can allocate some money. The second one is the shared use facilities and the parking lots in bad shape.

That is the responsibility of the schools, it's their responsibility under the shared use agreement, and I'll have Greg O'Brien pull up that shared use agreement, so that we can figure out how to modify it, how to address a capital investment with some type of equalization somewhere down the road. It's going to be in terms of use of the facility, but we need to get that done, the condition of it is not Independence standard, and the schools don't want to take the initiative to do it. It's their responsibility, so we're going to find out what our agreement says and we're going to talk to the schools, then find out the best way to facilitate upgrading

that. The cost of that is somewhere between \$400,000 to \$600,000, so we're going to have to address that in terms of the costs and how we sort that out with the schools.

Councilperson Trakas asked can they pay for half of it?

Engineering Program Coordinator Riley stated no, they have \$35,000, I think they told us.

Mayor Kurtz stated well, it's their responsibility for the whole thing.

Councilperson Walchanowicz stated they put so much money into that baseball field.

Mayor Kurtz stated prior to today, I had very little discussion. Don presented this today, and I thought, you know what, let's talk to Council.

Several people began speaking at once.

Mayor Kurtz stated but if in fact; we know who has to be responsible for it, but we also know it's not to Independence standards, so we need to figure out how to communicate with them, so we can come up with something that they recognize is their responsibility and that there has to be some way to address the repair costs. Let's not hold off on the repair costs until they're in a position to pay for it. I just need to make sure that we're on the same page that the condition is not our standard, and to go in there and mark where the dotted lines are, the full depth repair areas, and then we overlay the whole thing.

City Engineer Ramm stated, and I do intend to meet, well, we're meeting tomorrow, Director Walchanowicz, you and I are meeting to look specifically at this, but my goal is to get Ronyak out to look at both Lombardo and this parking lot.

Councilperson Trakas asked is there money set aside for either one of these?

Mayor Kurtz stated it's \$775,000.

City Engineer Ramm stated that's what's available right now in purchase orders for Ronyak.

Councilperson Trakas stated but we hadn't anticipated these 2 projects.

Mayor Kurtz stated we talked about the Civic Center, the cemetery resurfacing, and then, whether it's a school responsibility or our responsibility, we need to address the issue. At least address it in terms of what we are going to do. Or take it to them and say here's what we're recommending you do. Then, they'll say we don't have the money, and we'll say well, we need to come up with a policy or program or something that achieves our mutual goal. Let's find a way to compensate, create the money available.

Chairman Veverka asked it's not an option to do something like a crack seal and massive seal to try to hold together what's there.

Several people began speaking at once.

Finance Director Blaze asked so, that change order for \$775,000, that was through ordinance, correct?

City Engineer Ramm stated yes, it was Ordinance 2023-41, and I think it was comprised of, we had \$350,000 budget component for the Civic Center, and then we had a basic base bid item in the 2023, about \$425,000, I think. So, when we add those 2 together it got to this number.

Finance Director Blaze stated right, but the point being, when Council approved that \$775,000, it wasn't a plain check, it identified certain projects, and neither the field house nor Lombardo Center were included in that \$775,000. So, if we're taking from there, that means we're not doing something else that we originally planned to do with that \$775,000.

City Engineer Ramm stated I can speak to one item; alternate G was the Civic Center parking lot. It was quite pricey, and then speaking with Director Walchanowicz, he said look, it's in really good shape for the most part, except for one area. So, we thought at a minimum we could replace the one section back here and get a lot more surface without having to do the whole thing right now. So, we still intend to try to get this done, the small piece in the back because that's the worst part of it. We would need funding from the contract that was allocated for this, but a portion of it versus the entirety of it. It's a valid point.

Councilperson Trakas stated okay, my feeling would be, on the school, we should try to seek at least reimbursement over a period of time or something. I wouldn't want to just so oh, we can use more of the facility, I thin in addition to that we would also have an agreement that said as part of the Mannik and Smith survey, we're going to survey this, and when they say you should get this done, it has to get done.

Vice Mayor Grendel stated that should be a component.

City Engineer Ramm stated that wasn't included in our free inventory because it's a parking lot, but that's a valid point. It becomes an asset that is in bad shape.

Councilperson Trakas stated and nobody can argue or try to stick it to anybody.

Engineering Program Coordinator Riley stated, and the Mayor brought that up saying that he's having (inaudible) look at it, but Vern and I talked, and everything seems to fall on the cost of the City, like we get the bill, whatever, and it was supposed to be them handling the maintenance. Then, getting the usage, the City doesn't get a whole lot of usage of that Field House. We wait until the school says, so that might have to be, like the Mayor said, rewritten.

Councilperson Walchanowicz stated well, they let the buildings go too, so the parking lot doesn't surprise me.

Mayor Kurtz stated we just want to expose this to the people that ultimately make the decisions relative to the monies. We believe that we're looking forward relative to Lombardo, and we're

also looking in realistic terms when it comes to the parking lot and shared use facility. I will explore all the different possibilities for the schools, but it is not worth our effort if you don't say go ahead and explore it. So, I figured, you know what, I'll talk to Council, and if they say do some research and see what you can come up with for the schools. At least then we can have a plan and we can get these things done this year.

City Engineer Ramm stated, and I'll get Ronyak out here quickly to look at this.

Mayor Kurtz stated get them out here to review it, and if they say your price is going to be, whatever, twice as much, then we'll be back to Council right away. We're also taking bids this week for the cemetery; by the way, for those of you that have driven by the cemetery, the columbarium walls are up, they look really nice. Anyone know how they got the straps off and set them down? Don't say if you don't know. Don knows, don't say a word. So, they have these big straps to pick these 5,500-pound sections off and put them down on the ground. I learned something really cool; they went to a cooler and they pulled out these dry ice bags, stick the dry ice bags underneath, then descended them on the dry ice bags, and then pulled out their straps and the ice melts, and they set down. I thought that was pretty cool. But these are issues we need to address, and we're going to come up with some options and present to Council.

Vice Mayor Grendel stated the cemetery expansion, that's going to be next year.

Mayor Kurtz stated no, the bid is this week, and if we get a good bid, we're going to meet with Council next week to approve that, so we can get the groundwork; the earthwork will be done completely this year. The month of August is the time to do it, it's a drive, so, they get all that done for the whole site, and we're only going to pave from Brecksville Road, one entrance from Brecksville Road to the tie in where the columbarium wall is. It'll open up 2,000 more; we'll go from 22 to 2,000.

Vice Mayor Grendel stated, and it would make sense as far as the repaving at the turn in the cemetery.

Mayor Kurtz stated we'll wait on that until next spring, until we get all this done. So, we're taking bids, both asphalt and concrete, it will be very interesting to see how they come in based on the market right now. That's something that we won't know until later this week, but if we get it done and get the earthwork done, and open them up, then we'll be in great shape; the cemetery went from pinching to options.

Vice Mayor Grendel stated are there a number of people that are wanting to buy sections, and our pricing and everything.

Mayor Kurtz stated well, that's where we're at. Don, why don't you keep going, I'm sorry.

City Engineer Ramm stated we have a Meadowbrook update that we've been kind of at a standstill a little bit with. Mr. and Mrs. Hovater are trying to get some buy in on some of the work we want to do down on their property in some easements. We're still working at that; I

have a meeting with them this Thursday to share with them some exhibits. They had a concern for the amount of space and land they were giving up with a proposed 70 ft. diameter cul-de-sac, which we felt was the minimum we could live with. It was unacceptable to them, and they were looking for something less impactful to them, so we looked at 50 ft. and 60 ft.; 50 ft. is just not adequate, but 60 ft. we may be able to live with, so I want to show them this version. We're also exploring, potentially, a t-type turnaround. Rather than pave this whole front lawn. Maybe we just try to, as long as we can turn something around, it's an improvement as far as we're concerned.

So, I wanted to share that option with them both, and this is just a side-by-side example of the space that it would take; basically, being 60 ft. diameter cul-de-sac versus a t-type turnaround. The square footage is of additional pavement, so it's not much, it's almost identical. The cross-test areas are already paved areas, so we took that out, we're just looking at what's grass and pavement with these numbers here between the 2 options. I'm hopeful that they find favor with one and give us approval to move forward.

They had a concern, regardless of where and how we configured it, that people park down here now, and they feel like it's going to incentivize people to park more on the new pavement. We give more area, and I'm a little challenged.

Several people began speaking at once.

City Engineer Ramm stated or some properties back here that on occasion have a lot of guests who, rather than park on private property, they're parking out here on the pavement.

Finance Director Blaze stated what would make them accept that T, where the asphalt goes way into the property versus the circle, which doesn't even come up to that...

Councilperson Trakas stated I think he's just trying to show an alternative.

City Engineer Ramm stated, and the fact that his house is over here, maybe this being further way rather than so close to his house, but I'm not sure. I'm hopeful that he likes one of them.

Finance Director Blaze stated with the T, you get a bus in there (inaudible).

Vice Mayor Grendel asked is this one of the things holding this up?

City Engineer Ramm stated yeah, we just haven't gotten it right. We haven't come to an agreement on what we're going to do down here, so it's really holding up everything.

Finance Director Blaze asked is there going to be some landscaping or crop off that entrance on Elmwood.

City Engineer Ramm stated right, we need that access for when we're ripping out the street, so that people have access when the road is gone, so we're leaving it there. The intent was, once this gets paved, the last thing on the way out we'll get rid of that stone and spread the topsoil

back down and seed it. So, that's kind of where we're at. We're still working at it, but the goal was to do this in July and August, and here it's already August. Then, I have to update and speak to Catts about the schedule for this work because unless have an agreement on easement there's no sense in chasing Catts.

Mayor Kurtz stated so, you'll find out this week, then.

City Engineer Ramm stated yeah, I'll try to hammer this out on Thursday, at least get a better position or better feeling of where we're at. So, that's the update there.

Kleber Court is moving along nicely, we got the tree clearing that was performed a while back, the water main was installed, it's pressure tested, and it's ready to go. It's being chlorinated, so Cleveland Water does bacteria testing, and they need it to pass 3 different sets of tests before it's ready to be tapped and service is transferred. So, that's in process, and right now they're working on some storm sewer work and storm connections. Then, soon we'll be working to get into the cul-de-sac. Right now, we're at 29% of the budget thus far, it looks bad from a contract time because they didn't show up for about a month that they said they were going to be there; they got a late start, so they're going to have to make it up here this summer. They'll make better production when they start ripping half the road out at a time and start paying. I think those numbers will come back into balance.

Councilperson Walchanowicz asked when is it projected to be finished?

City Engineer Ramm stated well, I think it was June, July, August, September, so we're about halfway through.

Councilperson Trakas stated so, now it's probably October.

City Engineer Ramm stated so, the road; the concretes in, the grass maybe hasn't grown, but it's ready. So, it's good that we're out there, the residents are putting up with the construction very well, no complaints.

Vice Mayor Grendel stated hopefully, it's quicker with Longano because Longano is a longer project.

Engineering Program Coordinator Riley stated the best thing about this gas line and new water line, it's great.

City Engineer Ramm stated okay, Longano, I am still working through the design with Osborne Engineering. Those plans are about 85% complete, and we're working through the last outstanding items, and I think you've heard this before about some of the challenges we're working through. It's nothing that can't be overcome. One of them was Cornerstone of Hope, they acquired that property on the corner, and they had intentions to pave it out and add parking.

Councilperson Trakas asked the owner on Brecksville Road, directly across the street, does he know this is happening?

Mayor Kurtz stated there's nobody across the street from the entrance.

Councilperson Trakas stated no, I mean on the corner of Longano and Brecksville.

Several people began speaking at once.

Mayor Kurtz stated let's make sure we get a meeting, Don.

Councilperson Trakas stated he was the one talking about lights coming towards his house, and this will definitely have lights, so we might want to do some type of feature, a tree feature or something.

Mayor Kurtz stated fortunately, there's nobody opposite when you pull out, it's when you turn, his garage is right there, but you're right there may be an opportunity to soften that with some trees. Just make sure we get a meeting.

City Engineer Ramm stated okay. These are the plans; we're working through the winding issue on Rt. 21 yet, and there's this apron that we have to pin down with Cornerstone of Hope, but we generally know where it needs to be, so we're representing it here on the plans. The watermain will be accommodated, and we'll be able to leave the one that's in place live, and build a parallel one just like Kleber, so we don't have to build a temporary bypass, which complicates the project and adds duration and costs without much value.

The one thing that was brought up as that hard bend in the road that is difficult to get 2 cars to pass through at the same time, so we had Osbourne Engineers look at auto turn software to look at the model of how that would work after. So, right now, the pavement is being widened by 3 ft. symmetrically, 1.5 ft. on either side, it's 23 ft. presently, so it will go to 26 ft. Just that improvement alone benefits this 90-degree bend and cars can move safely through and cross each other without overlapping.

Vice Mayor Grendel stated Wood and Elmwood are the same way, and it's always a problem.

City Engineer Ramm stated yeah, and that comes to an even harder turn. So, we're moving through that, and they went and looked through it; cement mixers can also get through there nicely. So, they're opinion is we don't need to do anything additional because we were talking about potentially cutting into this inside and widening that out, and potentially the same thing on the outside, but they just don't feel the need from a safety standpoint or functionality standpoint. So, we vetted that pretty well.

Rear yard storm sewers, this is the first kind of host detention bases, it sits up on the high, and there's some steep slope that comes down to the rear yards and the services behind them, Kay Hill is down here, and then there's one between. So, we want to install some really wet area, it's poorly draining, and we've gotten routine complaints over the years. There needs to be

something done, so while we're here, we'd like to install some catch basins and storm sewers with the condition upon easement donation from the residents. We feel that should be easily facilitated.

Right now, the storm outfall at this end of the road goes down and turns hard, it nips the corner of this house; there's the outfall, it comes way in the back and outlets. We need to do some work here at the outfall, it's been neglected over the years, a lot of erosion, but because of the additional runoff and to be sensitive to the downstream neighbors with the volume of water and erosion, we're going to try to oversize the storm sewer pipe here and store the water.

Mayor Kurtz stated so, we're cutting that triangle off, so it wouldn't come to the house,

City Engineer Ramm stated yeah, kind of band it and run it straight back this way.

Mayor Kurtz stated oversize it, and it'll collect and move it to the east.

Engineering Program Coordinator Riley stated this will really help out that whole area.

City Engineer Ramm stated it should. So, we just have to work through a meeting with the residents, explaining it to them, and secure the easements. So, a little bit of work to do, but we feel it's going to be a benefit for them and with the project.

Chairman Veverka asked, so there's no cost sharing involved in those water solutions?

City Engineer Ramm stated well, that's up for discussion because in the Lafayette Subdivision, we have a known problem that was on our radar, we went into the neighborhood to solve the problem, it was a part of the project. I feel like this would be kind of in that same realm, but it's up for debate if there was a desire to maybe have the residents cost share.

Vice Mayor Grendel asked (inaudible) Cornerstone?

Mayor Kurtz stated I think some of those rear lots were always wet.

City Engineer Ramm stated the water has been draining that way forever.

Councilperson Trakas stated Cornerstone was an issue, and still is an issue.

Mayor Kurtz stated it captured a lot of the water though, but now this will clean up rear yards, and also the sensitive situation with Kay Hill. The existing storm was so close to their house, we'll eliminate any concern in the future.

Finance Director Blaze asked so, that's a storm that goes west from the road right there?

City Engineer Ramm stated yeah, this is a storm sewer outfall, and the sanitary comes back this way and heads out to another 90-degree bend.

Finance Director Blaze asked, and this storm water actually goes west from the street?

City Engineer Ramm stated from the street it's collected in this set of catch basins, it heads west, and then, wraps around and spits out in the ravine in back.

Finance Director Blaze asked isn't it going uphill?

City Engineer Ramm stated well, when you get back here, this is an underground pipe. It's pretty flat right here until you get to the houses, then it starts diving hard this way down.

Several people began speaking at once.

City Engineer Ramm stated so, we have no concerns about the capacity in the sewer because it's so deep.

Councilperson Trakas stated it looks like a bog back there.

City Engineer Ramm stated yeah, it's pretty rugged terrain back there and neglected for a while, so we're going to clean things up. We just have some work to do with the residents, we're going to have a resident meeting, sooner than later, and share all of this with them. Our goal is to wrap these plans up in August or September, and we'll be in a position to bid it in October or November, we can award something over the holidays, get the materials secured for January or February, winter construction for the water main. So, that's kind of how it's tracking, and we feel good about where we're at.

Engineering Program Coordinator Riley asked is that a new water line too?

City Engineer Ramm stated yes.

Engineering Program Coordinator Riley asked, and what about gas?

City Engineer Ramm stated we engaged Dominion, just like we did on Kleber, and they were of the opinion to come in there to do anything with the gas main. We may have to lower service connections like we did on Kleber, but that's ongoing. We have time to try and lobby it.

Chairman Veverka asked is the end of Longano close to where that one gentleman was having problems with the water from Cornerstone of Hope undermining trees? How close is that?

City Engineer Ramm stated it's a little bit north, it's this water course for sure.

Chairman Veverka stated well, that's what I'm saying. Does it help solve it?

City Engineer Ramm stated this is why we're so sensitive oversizing the pipes and slowing the water down. We're really not obligated; I don't think our code requires it.

Mayor Kurtz stated (inaudible), there's just no way. By the way, at the last Utilities meeting, there was a suggestion that the Engineering team was non-responsive to the Hillside Residents, and then we checked our history, and we had people out there, talked to the husband, showed them what was going on, so there was full response. In fact, we're not doing anything because it would cause chaos through that entire water course, but there was full response and understanding by the husband.

Chairman Veverka asked where was this, Hillside?

Engineering Program Coordinator Riley stated he didn't tell anybody.

Councilperson Walchanowicz stated they knocked their house down.

Engineering Program Coordinator Riley stated Jimmy Horn, right, I think he was knocking it down.

City Engineer Ramm stated okay, moving on, the next item was Brecksville Road Engineering notice. So, the residents out there had received a letter in late June saying that surveyors were coming out to the property, and this really was just an extension of a project that we embarked on a while back that we had piece parted in order to get the project moving forward. So, we concentrated our efforts on the intersection, but ultimately that job initially started from Cloverleaf, all the way to Rockside Road.

At that time, we just worried about the right of way, or the intersection job and we just neglected the rest, the balance of the job because it was pushed off. So, now we're circling back, and now we need to move forward to secure and acquire the right of way to facilitate the rest of the project. These are the components of the project, very similar to what you saw a number of years ago; the water main replacement, storm drain improvements, some widening, new curbs, drive apron sidewalks, major utility relocation with pole lines and aerials, and the Decker street lighting is going to continue.

It's expensive, \$5 million is the estimate. It's a big job, it's going to take multiple years to get there, so this is a target timeline. It's going to be 2023/2024 for finalizing the design and acquiring the right of way, and then, 2024/2025 for all of the physical work. We do anticipate, similar to the first phase, where we had a contractor working on the water main, and we also had those poles being worked on the same side of the street, so that they were able to try to work around each other and make best use of the calendar. We were able to get a lot of work done together and not having to hold somebody up, so we're trying to coordinate that work.

We do think that we probably will need to come back and have a separate meeting just on this project alone because of how big it is, the impact, some of the widening, and costs.

Chairman Veverka asked about 2 ft. wider?

City Engineer Ramm stated at the minimum, we're thinking 2 ft. on each side to keep it symmetrical of 4 ft. total. I know there was talk about, because there is so much right of way

out here on the outside of the curve, we had plenty of right of way that we can easily accommodate 4 ft. on one side, but there's just complications in doing that. You still have to come back on the ends and that's where it gets problematic; it impacts the utilities and other things to consider. So, another meeting, I think, would be beneficial to hear all of those dynamics and understand in detail.

Finance Director Blaze asked do you think that \$5 million is today's cost or today's cost is like \$4 million and you're projecting some inflation in there.

City Engineer Ramm stated yeah, it's like \$4.2-\$4.3 million presently, but this is out a couple of years. I'm just already bumping that number up. It's really just construction, this doesn't count for inspection, project management. We're still working off of the old Engineering contract from 2018, and we need to revisit that as well. So, that's another reason for the meeting that we'll schedule, hopefully, within the month or before the September meeting. I'd be open to that.

Crack seal; we're doing good and we're about done. So, Specialized has been here for the last 3 weeks, doing a lot of work around town; focusing a lot on Pleasant Valley and Rockside Road. Also, doing a lot of partial depth repairs on Rockside, and then we went into the neighborhoods and did a lot of work. These are the gallons that we've used; it was about 9,000 gallons set up in the bid, and we're right there, so we're about complete with that work.

Everything went well. We did some partial depth repairs to the Fire Department's back entrance to help clean their area up. These are some photos. Just to reiterate, we did receive some grant money from the County for some preventative maintenance on these major County routes, so that's why we focused on doing a lot of that work to be able to get reimbursement from the County. So, we'll facilitate that request later this year.

The Mastick surface seal was the next item in preparation for this meeting. On occasion, I'd speak with Specialized Construction, and they mentioned they're having a very difficult time finding someone to supply the material. The normal place that they purchase it from was a plant in West Virginia, they have closed the plant and relocated operations, or they had plants in Texas where they're going to sell most of their product. The problem was this stuff has a 12-hour shipping specification, it exceeds Cleveland from Texas, so they're hard-pressed to find the material. They're not too optimistic that this work is going to get done this year because of the lack of availability of the material. If indeed that does pan out, we would just not perform this \$51,000 worth of work.

Councilperson Trakas asked what did that do again, I don't remember?

City Engineer Ramm stated it's the mastic surface seal, it's a nice wearing surface, black; almost like a seal coat for a parking lot, but it's for the roadways.

Vice Mayor Grendel asked will it be the whole street?

City Engineer Ramm stated the whole street.

Vice Mayor Grendel stated we did it on Oakwood and Lafayette, I think.

Several people began speaking at once.

City Engineer Ramm stated we also did Hemlock, Cherry. So, we intended to do the Lafayette Subdivision because we had resurfaced up there 2 years ago, and in order to maintain and keep those pavements pristine we were going to go in there and apply it.

Engineering Program Coordinator Riley stated it's like when you seal coat your whole driveway.

City Engineer Ramm stated so, we intended to do, typically August is the best time when it's hot and school is still out, so you're not competing with buses. Unfortunately, it doesn't seem like it's going to happen this season.

Vice Mayor Grendel asked do you think we'll be in the same boat next year?

City Engineer Ramm stated I'm not sure. The other matters, I just want to touch on to bring it up to you. Here are some photos, it's really a Public Lands and Buildings update, but because of the roads and the cemetery is why we're bringing it up. We're taking bids this Thursday, and we hope to award it quickly. So, as the Mayor mentioned, this entire area is going to be graded, cleared and graded, and then the green is what's in the bid to be paved.

Mayor Kurtz stated no, we're just going to do that small section.

City Engineer Ramm stated well, remember Mayor, when we talked about how the road used to be out over here, then there was going to be circulation.

Mayor Kurtz stated yeah, but the circulation from what I understand...

City Engineer Ramm stated it was from here and down here.

Mayor Kurtz stated that's it, we have circulation.

City Engineer Ramm stated well, we talked about this because it's such a small area that we would get walked on pricing; you're saying split this phase into 2 phases?

Mayor Kurtz stated yeah.

City Engineer Ramm stated so, that's the entire phase, the section green, which frees up and creates about 1,480 graves in this green section. Then, this would be a future phase.

Mayor Kurtz stated that's way down the road.

City Engineer Ramm stated yeah, down the road. We have 9 plan holders, so a lot of bidders are interested. Some of them just look like subs for asphalt and concrete, but there's excavating companies we might team up with.

Several people began speaking at once.

City Engineer Ramm stated we thought it was a pretty small job, but because the intent is to get it all built this season, the contractors are looking to secure work; this is 45-60 days after activation. So, we feel like with an August award, we still have plenty of good calendar days to get these improvements constructed this season. We have a little bit more time with weather, but even with asphalt, we're typically good through Thanksgiving. So, whether it's asphalt or concrete we think we can get this knocked out if the funding is available.

Vice Mayor Grendel said something, but it was inaudible.

City Engineer Ramm stated the last thing, CMAQ. We just got some good news from NOACA, that our CMAQ grant for the traffic signalization upgrades. I received some positive review and made the first cut, so to speak, at NOACAs planning meeting was in July, so it still needs to go before their board for final approval in September, but it looks like we might get selected for funding.

Councilperson Walchanowicz stated I've gotten some calls from residents on lower Brookside, the landscaping never really came in that well, there's big rocks and stuff when they're cutting grass, it just never came it, so I don't know if that's a finished deal or if we can have somebody come back. Or you can take a look at some of those.

City Engineer Ramm stated yeah, we can take a look if you have the address.

Mayor Kurtz stated I'd look at the entire area, just like we had to do on Lafayette. They just put it in too late, and it's summer, it's too hot.

Councilperson Walchanowicz stated I know she's called you a couple of times, Ms. Stanovich.

Mayor Kurtz stated that's a different situation. That's a landscape situation.

Chairman Veverka stated quick question. What is the status of Cuyahoga County with Pleasant Valley bridges? Is that going to happen next year?

City Engineer Ramm stated yeah, I'm a little bit out of the loop with that, I have to touch base with Cuyahoga County. I thought that it was going to be pretty quick after that first phase when they cleared the trees and built those intersections on Hillside and Canal, but I haven't heard of a bid being put out, so I'll have to follow up on that.

Chairman Veverka asked so, on Canal they're going to put in a light?

City Engineer Ramm stated it's going to be temporary.

Chairman Veverka stated because that's where they're widening and paving, that area. If nothing else, I'll take a motion to adjourn. Thank you all for coming.

Moved by Grendel, seconded by Walchanowicz, to adjourn the Streets & Sidewalks meeting of August 1, 2023. Voice Vote: 3 yes/0 no; motion carried.

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 5:24 p.m.

Amanda Sharrer, Deputy Clerk of Council
Minutes Unapproved at Time of Release 08/10/23