Mystic Education RFP 18-25 Scoring Tool Evaluator: GLOBE DEVELOPERS Developer: Date: Summary of Evaluation Criteria Score A prospective developer's financial offering will not be the only criteria for evaluation. Each proposal will be evaluated by the review panel based on the following: Project Approach (20 Points Total) 15 1. Compliance with the RFP submission requirements 15 2. Clear and comprehensive submittal 15 3. Rational, detailed, and thorough approach to implementation 2 4. Degree to which the project complies with local plans 15 Team Qualifications (20 points Total) 1. Demonstrated experience in completing similar projects /8 2. Strength of the team including project lead, engineering, architectural design. /8 construction, financing, etc. 14 3. References for key completed projects Project Viability and Ability to Execute Project in a Timely Manner (30 Points Total) 1 1. Demonstrated project marketability /6 16 2. Demonstrated evidence supporting project financing 0 16 3. Reasonable timeframe to initiate and complete project 4. Demonstrated on-time completion of past projects 0 16 5. Reasonable demands or requests from the Town/State 16 Benefit to Town and State (30 Points Total) 1. Financial offering and benefit to the Town and State /6 2. Taxes to the Town and State upon full build-out 16 3. Quantitative and qualitative benefits to the Town and State /6 4. Building design and efficient use of property 3 16 5. Other community benefits (public use, amenities, other) 0 16 Total: /100 25

Comments:						
	LACKED	DESCRIPTION	of proposed	DEVELOPMENT		
		•]
			9			
C)						
	ŕ					
			S. S.			:
					240	
	G G	<u></u>				
Evaluator	Signature			Date		
		64				

Mystic Education RFP 18-25 Scoring Tool Evaluator: Week Jew Developer: RESPLER HOMES 4 1 18 1 Date: **Summary of Evaluation Criteria** Score A prospective developer's financial offering will not be the only criteria for evaluation. Each proposal will be evaluated by the review panel based on the following: Project Approach (20 Points Total) /5 1. Compliance with the RFP submission requirements 15 2. Clear and comprehensive submittal *1*5 4 C 3. Rational, detailed, and thorough approach to implementation 15 4. Degree to which the project complies with local plans Team Qualifications (20 points Total) 1. Demonstrated experience in completing similar projects /8 2. Strength of the team including project lead, engineering, architectural design, 8 /8 construction, financing, etc. 3. References for key completed projects 4 14 Project Viability and Ability to Execute Project in a Timely Manner (30 Points Total) 1. Demonstrated project marketability /6 5 (2) 2. Demonstrated evidence supporting project financing 16 4 (3) 3. Reasonable timeframe to initiate and complete project 16 4. Demonstrated on-time completion of past projects 3 /6 a 5. Reasonable demands or requests from the Town/State /6 Benefit to Town and State (30 Points Total) 1. Financial offering and benefit to the Town and State 6 /6 2. Taxes to the Town and State upon full build-out /6 6 3. Quantitative and qualitative benefits to the Town and State 6 /6 4. Building design and efficient use of property 5 (5) **/6** 5. Other community benefits (public use, amenities, other) 6 **/6** 6

Total:

91

/100

Comments:
1) REPAIR COSTS TO REC CENTER SEEM LOW
2 LACKS DIVERSITY OF HOUSING OPTIONS
3 LETTER OF INTEREST ONLY
O NOT ADDRESSED
F MULTI - PURPOSE FIELD LOCATION . ACCESSIBILITY IS PUR FOR RESIDENTS
(b) BOATING DOCK (+)
Evaluator Signature Date

Mystic Education RFP 18-25 Scoring Tool Evaluator: Developer: GROTON HOUSING AUTHORITY Date: Summary of Evaluation Criteria Score A prospective developer's financial offering will not be the only criteria for evaluation. Each proposal will be evaluated by the review panel based on the following: Project Approach (20 Points Total) 1. Compliance with the RFP submission requirements 15 15 2. Clear and comprehensive submittal 3. Rational, detailed, and thorough approach to implementation 15 5 4. Degree to which the project complies with local plans 15 Team Qualifications (20 points Total) /8 1. Demonstrated experience in completing similar projects 2. Strength of the team including project lead, engineering, architectural design, /8 construction, financing, etc. 14 3. References for key completed projects Project Viability and Ability to Execute Project in a Timely Manner (30 Points Total) 1. Demonstrated project marketability /6 6 2. Demonstrated evidence supporting project financing 16 6 3. Reasonable timeframe to initiate and complete project 6 16 3 4. Demonstrated on-time completion of past projects /6 5. Reasonable demands or requests from the Town/State 16 Benefit to Town and State (30 Points Total) 1. Financial offering and benefit to the Town and State 16 Taxes to the Town and State upon full build-out 16 6 Quantitative and qualitative benefits to the Town and State 16 Building design and efficient use of property 3 6 /6 5. Other community benefits (public use, amenities, other) 16 Total: 95 /100

Comments:	
	WAS NOT ADDRESSED
&	MOVE IN OPEN SPACE AREA
3	REFERENCES NOT LISTED
74	***
	€
Evaluator Signa	ture Date
Evaluator Signa	ture Date

Mystic Education RFP 18-25 Scoring Tool

Evaluator: Walk-Berry STACKSTONE Developer: Date: **Summary of Evaluation Criteria** Score A prospective developer's financial offering will not be the only criteria for evaluation. Each proposal will be evaluated by the review panel based on the following: Project Approach (20 Points Total) 1. Compliance with the RFP submission requirements 15 2 0 15 0 2. Clear and comprehensive submittal 2 15 3. Rational, detailed, and thorough approach to implementation 2 4. Degree to which the project complies with local plans 4 15 Team Qualifications (20 points Total) 1. Demonstrated experience in completing similar projects /8 2 2. Strength of the team including project lead, engineering, architectural design, /8 construction, financing, etc. 14 3. References for key completed projects 1 Project Viability and Ability to Execute Project in a Timely Manner (30 Points Total) 1. Demonstrated project marketability 3 16

	5. Reasonable demands or requests from the Town/State
1	

2. Demonstrated evidence supporting project financing

4. Demonstrated on-time completion of past projects

3. Reasonable timeframe to initiate and complete project

5. Reasonable demands or requests from the Town/State	3	/6
		ATTACAMENT
Benefit to Town and State (30 Points Total)	A35.35591 49 39 A395555	
1. Financial offering and benefit to the Town and State	3	/6
2. Taxes to the Town and State upon full build-out	3	/6
3. Quantitative and qualitative benefits to the Town and State	ય	/6
4. Building design and efficient use of property	4	/6
5. Other community benefits (public use, amenities, other)	4	/6
		1
Total:	49	/100

16

16

/6

3

4

3

Comments:					
	D TRAFFIC, I	20AD, UTILITIES	LACICING		
					*
Evaluator Signal	ture		Date		

Mystic Education RFP 18-25 Scoring Too	ol
Mystic Education RFP 18-25 Scoring Too Groton Housing Arthornty/ Developer: Greater Groton Realty Corp. = Evaluator: Paige Carpionate Group-Greene Constructs Date: 4 123	Bronk
Carpionate Group-Greene Construct . 14 , 22	. 2
Summary of Evaluation Criteria	Score
A prospective developer's financial offering will not be the only criteria for evaluation. Each prope	POTE METERS TO BE SHOULD AND A SECOND PROPERTY OF
review panel based on the following:	
Project Approach (20 Points Total)	
1. Compliance with the RFP submission requirements	4 15
2. Clear and comprehensive submittal	4 15
3. Rational, detailed, and thorough approach to implementation	4 15
4. Degree to which the project complies with local plans	3 /5
Team Qualifications (20 points Total)	***
1. Demonstrated experience in completing similar projects	6 /8
2. Strength of the team including project lead, engineering, architectural design, construction, financing, etc.	7 /8
3. References for key completed projects	2 /4
Project Viability and Ability to Execute Project in a Timely Manner (30 Points	s Total)
1. Demonstrated project marketability	4 /6
2. Demonstrated evidence supporting project financing	4 /6
3. Reasonable timeframe to initiate and complete project	4 /6
4. Demonstrated on-time completion of past projects	2 /6
5. Reasonable demands or requests from the Town/State	5 16
Benefit to Town and State (30 Points Total)	
1. Financial offering and benefit to the Town and State	5 /6
2. Taxes to the Town and State upon full build-out	5 /6
3. Quantitative and qualitative benefits to the Town and State	4 /6
4. Building design and efficient use of property	3 /6
5. Other community benefits (public use, amenities, other)	5 /6
Total:	/100

Comments:		
0.72	./ /	
Jaig Om	4/24/18	
Evaluator Signature	Date	
33016		

Mystic Education RFP 18-25 Scoring Tool Evaluator: Paige Bronk Developer: Stackstone Date: 4 /23/2018 **Summary of Evaluation Criteria** Score A prospective developer's financial offering will not be the only criteria for evaluation. Each proposal will be evaluated by the review panel based on the following: Project Approach (20 Points Total) 1. Compliance with the RFP submission requirements /5 2. Clear and comprehensive submittal /5 3. Rational, detailed, and thorough approach to implementation **/5** 4. Degree to which the project complies with local plans /5 Team Qualifications (20 points Total) 1. Demonstrated experience in completing similar projects /8 2. Strength of the team including project lead, engineering, architectural design, /8 construction, financing, etc. 3. References for key completed projects /4 Project Viability and Ability to Execute Project in a Timely Manner (30 Points Total) 1. Demonstrated project marketability **/6** 2. Demonstrated evidence supporting project financing /6 3. Reasonable timeframe to initiate and complete project /6 4. Demonstrated on-time completion of past projects /6 5. Reasonable demands or requests from the Town/State **/6** Benefit to Town and State (30 Points Total) 1. Financial offering and benefit to the Town and State /6 2. Taxes to the Town and State upon full build-out /6 3. Quantitative and qualitative benefits to the Town and State /6 4. Building design and efficient use of property /6 5. Other community benefits (public use, amenities, other) **/6** Total:

Comments:			
			11
Fvaluator Signature	4/24/18 Date	·	-

Mystic Education RFP 18-25 Scoring Tool Evaluator: Paige Bronk Date: 04/23/2018 Developer: **Summary of Evaluation Criteria** A prospective developer's financial offering will not be the only criteria for evaluation. Each proposal will be evaluated by the review panel based on the following: **Project Approach (20 Points Total)** 1. Compliance with the RFP submission requirements 2. Clear and comprehensive submittal 3. Rational, detailed, and thorough approach to implementation /5 4. Degree to which the project complies with local plans Team Qualifications (20 points Total) 1. Demonstrated experience in completing similar projects /8 2. Strength of the team including project lead, engineering, architectural design, /8 construction, financing, etc. 3. References for key completed projects 14 Project Viability and Ability to Execute Project in a Timely Manner (30 Points Total) 1. Demonstrated project marketability /6 2. Demonstrated evidence supporting project financing /6 3. Reasonable timeframe to initiate and complete project /6 4. Demonstrated on-time completion of past projects /6 5. Reasonable demands or requests from the Town/State /6 Benefit to Town and State (30 Points Total) Confising 1. Financial offering and benefit to the Town and State /6 2. Taxes to the Town and State upon full build-out /6 3. Quantitative and qualitative benefits to the Town and State **/6** 4. Building design and efficient use of property /6 5. Other community benefits (public use, amenities, other) **/6** Total: /100

Comments:	
(Pair m 4/24/18	
Evaluator Signature Date	

Developer: Evaluator: Paris Globe Developers Inc. Date: 4 12	ge Bronk	
Globe Developers Inc. Date: 4 12	312018	
Summary of Evaluation Criteria	Score	
A prospective developer's financial offering will not be the only criteria for evaluation. Each	CRESPONDATE OF THE STATE OF THE	the
review panel based on the following:		
Project Approach (20 Points Total)		
1. Compliance with the RFP submission requirements	1	/5
2. Clear and comprehensive submittal	1	/5
3. Rational, detailed, and thorough approach to implementation	1	/5
4. Degree to which the project complies with local plans	1	/5
Team Qualifications (20 points Total)		
1. Demonstrated experience in completing similar projects	2	/8
2. Strength of the team including project lead, engineering, architectural design, construction, financing, etc.	/	/8
3. References for key completed projects	0	/4
Project Viability and Ability to Execute Project in a Timely Manner (30 F	Points Total)	
1. Demonstrated project marketability	3	/6
2. Demonstrated evidence supporting project financing	1	/6
3. Reasonable timeframe to initiate and complete project	1	/6
4. Demonstrated on-time completion of past projects	1	/6
5. Reasonable demands or requests from the Town/State	2	/6
Benefit to Town and State (30 Points Total)		
1. Financial offering and benefit to the Town and State		/6
2. Taxes to the Town and State upon full build-out	0	/6
3. Quantitative and qualitative benefits to the Town and State	1	/6
4. Building design and efficient use of property	1	/6
5. Other community benefits (public use, amenities, other)	1	/6

Comments:	
	•
	11/2 1/
Tay m	4/24/18 Date
Evaluator Signature	Date /

Mystic Education RFP 18-25 Scoring Tool	£ 50.
Developer: town of Groton Housing Armorty Evaluator: Cullen Greater Groton Realty Corpo Carpionado Grago - Greene Construction Date: 04 / 12 / 2	018
Summary of Evaluation Criteria	Score
A prospective developer's financial offering will not be the only criteria for evaluation. Each proposal veriew panel based on the following:	will be evaluated by the
The Belliant of the Control of the C	
Project Approach (20 Points Total)	
1. Compliance with the RFP submission requirements	4/5
2. Clear and comprehensive submittal	
3. Rational, detailed, and thorough approach to implementation thretires not divertible. 4. Degree to which the project complies with local plans not yet but proposed.	315
4. Degree to which the project complies with local plans not yet but proposed	3/5
Team Qualifications (20 points Total)	
1. Demonstrated experience in completing similar projects long term patres	9 7/8
2. Strength of the team including project lead, engineering, architectural design, construction, financing, etc. Seems well balanced	7 /8
3. References for key completed projects concerns about exaggeration	1 /4
many examples couldn't find ha	rd evidence
Project Viability and Ability to Execute Project in a Timely Manner (30 Points To	tal)
1. Demonstrated project marketability good concept drawings	4 /6
2. Demonstrated evidence supporting project financing some evidence	5 /6
3. Reasonable timeframe to initiate and complete project not at all no graph	Take 1/6
4. Demonstrated on-time completion of past projects	Pertes 3/6
5. Reasonable demands or requests from the Town/State	84 4 16
of each role	
Benefit to Town and State (30 Points Total)	
1. Financial offering and benefit to the Town and State 6.2 million + condition	5 /6
2. Taxes to the Town and State upon full build-out	5 16
3. Quantitative and qualitative benefits to the Town and State	m3 4/6
4. Building design and efficient use of property nice concepts	4 /6
5. Other community benefits (public use, amenities, other) rec space, passing	5 16
Lell done	
Total:	/100

Comment	2:
COHMINGH	

impressive concepts
impressive long term professional relationships
impressive long term professional relationships
concerns about timeline and very little knowledge of
concerns about timeline and very little knowledge of
the zomestext ownerdment process plus land use parmitting
in Groton
in Groton
GGRC is taking credit for multiple projects funded and
anaged by others
concerns about previous completions

04/12/2018 Date

Pulle	
Evaluates Cianatura	

Date: 04 1 23 1 2018 Summary of Evaluation Criteria Score A prospective developer's financial offering will not be the only criteria for evaluation. Each proposal will be evaluated review panel based on the following: Project Approach (20 Points Total) 1. Compliance with the RFP submission requirements 11 gard on content 2. Clear and comprehensive submittal Great Concepts not as detailed. 3. Rational, detailed, and thorough approach to implementation 11 gard on content 4. Degree to which the project complies with local plans Sterne field of the team including project lead, engineering, architectural design. 2. Strength of the team including project lead, engineering, architectural design. 3. References for key completed projects Project Viability and Ability to Execute Project in a Timely Manner (30 Points Total) 1. Demonstrated evidence supporting project financing gap? 3. Reasonable timeframe to initiate and complete project marketability 2. Demonstrated on-time completion of past projects 5. Reasonable demands or requests from the Town/State Benefit to Town and State (30 Points Total) 1. Financial offering and benefit to the Town and State \$ 768, 808 2. Taxes to the Town and State upon full build-out 3. Quantitative and qualitative benefits to the Town and State beautiful particular and particular and complete project.	3 / 4 / 3 / 4 / 2 /
A prospective developer's financial offering will not be the only criteria for evaluation. Each proposal will be evaluated review panel based on the following: Project Approach (20 Points Total) 1. Compliance with the RFP submission requirements light on content 2. Clear and comprehensive submittal great Concepts not as detailed 3. Rational, detailed, and thorough approach to implementation light on content 4. Degree to which the project complies with local plans seemed according to the team including project lead, engineering, architectural design, construction, financing, etc. 3. References for key completed projects not enough in a second project viability and Ability to Execute Project in a Timely Manner (30 Points Total) 1. Demonstrated evidence supporting project financing graph and the complete project marketability when the complete project marketability and complete project marketability when the complete project marketability and complete project marketability when the complete project marketability and complete project marketability when the complete project marketability and complete project marketability when the complete project marketability and complete project marketability when the complete project marketability and complete project marketability when the complete project marketability and complete p	313141
Project Approach (20 Points Total) 1. Compliance with the RFP submission requirements light on content 2. Clear and comprehensive submittal great concepts not as debailed 3. Rational, detailed, and thorough approach to implementation light on content 4. Degree to which the project complies with local plans seems for the team including project lead, engineering, architectural design, construction, financing, etc. 3. References for key completed projects not enough in the project marketability lovely maturals creek. Project Viability and Ability to Execute Project in a Timely Manner (30 Points Total) 1. Demonstrated project marketability lovely maturals creek. 3. Reasonable timeframe to initiate and complete project not give local seasons and complete project not constructed by the completion of past projects not clear. 4. Demonstrated on-time completion of past projects not clear. 5. Reasonable demands or requests from the Town/State not completing and benefit to the Town and State \$768,800 2. Taxes to the Town and State upon full build-out 3. Quantitative and qualitative benefits to the Town and State believed.	313141
1. Compliance with the RFP submission requirements 11 grt on content 2. Clear and comprehensive submittal 3. Rational, detailed, and thorough approach to implementation 11 grt on content 4. Degree to which the project complies with local plans Team Qualifications (20 points Total) 1. Demonstrated experience in completing similar projects 2. Strength of the team including project lead, engineering, architectural design, construction, financing, etc. 3. References for key completed projects Project Viability and Ability to Execute Project in a Timely Manner (30 Points Total) 1. Demonstrated project marketability 2. Demonstrated evidence supporting project financing 3. Reasonable timeframe to initiate and complete project 4. Demonstrated on-time completion of past projects 5. Reasonable demands or requests from the Town/State Benefit to Town and State (30 Points Total) 1. Financial offering and benefit to the Town and State \$768,808 2. Taxes to the Town and State upon full build-out 3. Quantitative and qualitative benefits to the Town and State \$200 content of the selection	41
1. Compliance with the RFP submission requirements 11 grt on content 2. Clear and comprehensive submittal 3. Rational, detailed, and thorough approach to implementation 11444 on content 4. Degree to which the project complies with local plans Team Qualifications (20 points Total) 1. Demonstrated experience in completing similar projects 2. Strength of the team including project lead, engineering, architectural design, construction, financing, etc. 3. References for key completed projects Project Viability and Ability to Execute Project in a Timely Manner (30 Points Total) 1. Demonstrated project marketability 2. Demonstrated evidence supporting project financing 3. Reasonable timeframe to initiate and complete project 4. Demonstrated on-time completion of past projects 5. Reasonable demands or requests from the Town/State Benefit to Town and State (30 Points Total) 1. Financial offering and benefit to the Town and State \$768,808 2. Taxes to the Town and State upon full build-out 3. Quantitative and qualitative benefits to the Town and State \$2000000000000000000000000000000000000	41
2. Clear and comprehensive submittal 3. Rational, detailed, and thorough approach to implementation light on content 4. Degree to which the project complies with local plans Feam Qualifications (20 points Total) 1. Demonstrated experience in completing similar projects 2. Strength of the team including project lead, engineering, architectural design, construction, financing, etc. 3. References for key completed projects Project Viability and Ability to Execute Project in a Timely Manner (30 Points Total) 1. Demonstrated project marketability 2. Demonstrated evidence supporting project financing 3. Reasonable timeframe to initiate and complete project 4. Demonstrated on-time completion of past projects 5. Reasonable demands or requests from the Town/State Benefit to Town and State (30 Points Total) 1. Financial offering and benefit to the Town and State balanced process 3. Quantitative and qualitative benefits to the Town and State balanced process 4. Demonstrated on dualitative benefits to the Town and State balanced process 4. Demonstrated on and State upon full build-out 3. Quantitative and qualitative benefits to the Town and State	41
3. Rational, detailed, and thorough approach to implementation 4. Degree to which the project complies with local plans Team Qualifications (20 points Total) 1. Demonstrated experience in completing similar projects 2. Strength of the team including project lead, engineering, architectural design, construction, financing, etc. 3. References for key completed projects Project Viability and Ability to Execute Project in a Timely Manner (30 Points Total) 1. Demonstrated project marketability 2. Demonstrated evidence supporting project financing 3. Reasonable timeframe to initiate and complete project 4. Demonstrated on-time completion of past projects 5. Reasonable demands or requests from the Town/State Benefit to Town and State (30 Points Total) 1. Financial offering and benefit to the Town and State \$768, 808 2. Taxes to the Town and State upon full build-out 3. Quantitative and qualitative benefits to the Town and State balanced works.	3/4/
Team Qualifications (20 points Total) 1. Demonstrated experience in completing similar projects 2. Strength of the team including project lead, engineering, architectural design, construction, financing, etc. 3. References for key completed projects Project Viability and Ability to Execute Project in a Timely Manner (30 Points Total) 1. Demonstrated project marketability 2. Demonstrated evidence supporting project financing 3. Reasonable timeframe to initiate and complete project 4. Demonstrated on-time completion of past projects 5. Reasonable demands or requests from the Town/State Project Viability and State (30 Points Total) 1. Financial offering and benefit to the Town and State Sales and Complete Sales and Co	3/4/
Team Qualifications (20 points Total) 1. Demonstrated experience in completing similar projects 2. Strength of the team including project lead, engineering, architectural design, construction, financing, etc. 3. References for key completed projects Project Viability and Ability to Execute Project in a Timely Manner (30 Points Total) 1. Demonstrated project marketability 2. Demonstrated evidence supporting project financing 3. Reasonable timeframe to initiate and complete project 4. Demonstrated on-time completion of past projects 5. Reasonable demands or requests from the Town/State Benefit to Town and State (30 Points Total) 1. Financial offering and benefit to the Town and State \$768,800 2. Taxes to the Town and State upon full build-out 3. Quantitative and qualitative benefits to the Town and State	61
1. Demonstrated experience in completing similar projects 2. Strength of the team including project lead, engineering, architectural design, construction, financing, etc. 3. References for key completed projects Project Viability and Ability to Execute Project in a Timely Manner (30 Points Total) 1. Demonstrated project marketability 2. Demonstrated evidence supporting project financing 3. Reasonable timeframe to initiate and complete project 4. Demonstrated on-time completion of past projects 5. Reasonable demands or requests from the Town/State Benefit to Town and State (30 Points Total) 1. Financial offering and benefit to the Town and State \$768,808 2. Taxes to the Town and State upon full build-out 3. Quantitative and qualitative benefits to the Town and State	4/
1. Demonstrated experience in completing similar projects 2. Strength of the team including project lead, engineering, architectural design, construction, financing, etc. 3. References for key completed projects Project Viability and Ability to Execute Project in a Timely Manner (30 Points Total) 1. Demonstrated project marketability 2. Demonstrated evidence supporting project financing 3. Reasonable timeframe to initiate and complete project 4. Demonstrated on-time completion of past projects 5. Reasonable demands or requests from the Town/State Benefit to Town and State (30 Points Total) 1. Financial offering and benefit to the Town and State 7 6 8, 8 08 2. Taxes to the Town and State upon full build-out 3. Quantitative and qualitative benefits to the Town and State balanced to be a supposed to the town and qualitative benefits to the Town and State balanced.	4/
2. Strength of the team including project lead, engineering, architectural design, construction, financing, etc. 1. References for key completed projects 1. Demonstrated project marketability 2. Demonstrated evidence supporting project financing 3. Reasonable timeframe to initiate and complete project 4. Demonstrated on-time completion of past projects 5. Reasonable demands or requests from the Town/State 1. Financial offering and benefit to the Town and State 708, 808 2. Taxes to the Town and State upon full build-out 3. Quantitative and qualitative benefits to the Town and State balanced projects 1. Financial offering and qualitative benefits to the Town and State balanced project.	4/
2. Strength of the team including project lead, engineering, architectural design, construction, financing, etc. 1. No resembles or rifaces produced 2. References for key completed projects 1. Demonstrated project marketability 2. Demonstrated evidence supporting project financing 3. Reasonable timeframe to initiate and complete project 4. Demonstrated on-time completion of past projects 5. Reasonable demands or requests from the Town/State 1. Financial offering and benefit to the Town and State 708, 808 2. Taxes to the Town and State upon full build-out 3. Quantitative and qualitative benefits to the Town and State balanced property for the state of the town and State balanced project.	
Project Viability and Ability to Execute Project in a Timely Manner (30 Points Total) 1. Demonstrated project marketability 2. Demonstrated evidence supporting project financing 3. Reasonable timeframe to initiate and complete project 4. Demonstrated on-time completion of past projects 5. Reasonable demands or requests from the Town/State 1. Financial offering and benefit to the Town and State \$768,808 2. Taxes to the Town and State upon full build-out 3. Quantitative and qualitative benefits to the Town and State	21
1. Demonstrated project marketability 2. Demonstrated evidence supporting project financing 3. Reasonable timeframe to initiate and complete project 4. Demonstrated on-time completion of past projects 5. Reasonable demands or requests from the Town/State 1. Financial offering and benefit to the Town and State \$768,808 2. Taxes to the Town and State upon full build-out 3. Quantitative and qualitative benefits to the Town and State	
1. Demonstrated project marketability 2. Demonstrated evidence supporting project financing 3. Reasonable timeframe to initiate and complete project 4. Demonstrated on-time completion of past projects 5. Reasonable demands or requests from the Town/State 1. Financial offering and benefit to the Town and State 768, 808 2. Taxes to the Town and State upon full build-out 3. Quantitative and qualitative benefits to the Town and State	
2. Demonstrated evidence supporting project financing gap? 3. Reasonable timeframe to initiate and complete project 4. Demonstrated on-time completion of past projects 5. Reasonable demands or requests from the Town/State Benefit to Town and State (30 Points Total) 1. Financial offering and benefit to the Town and State 768, 808 2. Taxes to the Town and State upon full build-out 3. Quantitative and qualitative benefits to the Town and State	
2. Demonstrated evidence supporting project financing gap? 3. Reasonable timeframe to initiate and complete project 4. Demonstrated on-time completion of past projects 5. Reasonable demands or requests from the Town/State Benefit to Town and State (30 Points Total) 1. Financial offering and benefit to the Town and State 768, 808 2. Taxes to the Town and State upon full build-out 3. Quantitative and qualitative benefits to the Town and State	61
4. Demonstrated on-time completion of past projects 5. Reasonable demands or requests from the Town/State Benefit to Town and State (30 Points Total) 1. Financial offering and benefit to the Town and State \$768, 808 2. Taxes to the Town and State upon full build-out 3. Quantitative and qualitative benefits to the Town and State	5
5. Reasonable demands or requests from the Town/State not considerable clear Benefit to Town and State (30 Points Total) 1. Financial offering and benefit to the Town and State 768, 808 2. Taxes to the Town and State upon full build-out 3. Quantitative and qualitative benefits to the Town and State balanced works.	4 1
Benefit to Town and State (30 Points Total) 1. Financial offering and benefit to the Town and State \$768, 808 2. Taxes to the Town and State upon full build-out 3. Quantitative and qualitative benefits to the Town and State	4 /
 Financial offering and benefit to the Town and State \$768, 808 Taxes to the Town and State upon full build-out Quantitative and qualitative benefits to the Town and State balanced where the state of the Town and State balanced where the total and the state of the Town and State balanced where the total and the state of the Town and State balanced where the total and the state of the Town and State balanced where the total and the state of the Town and State balanced where the total and the state of the Town and State balanced where the total and the state of the Town and State balanced where the total and the state of the Town and State balanced where the total and the state of the Town and State balanced where the total and the state of the Town and State balanced where the total and the state of the Town and State balanced where the total and the state of the Town and State balanced where the total and the state of the Town and State balanced where the total and the state of the Town and State balanced where the total and the state of the Town and State balanced where the total and the state of the Town and State balanced where the total and the state of the Town and State balanced where the total and the state of the Town and State balanced where the total and the state of the state of the total and the state of the total and the state of the state of the state of the state of the state	4.1
 Financial offering and benefit to the Town and State \$768, 808 Taxes to the Town and State upon full build-out Quantitative and qualitative benefits to the Town and State balanced with the state of the Town and State balanced with the Town and State balanced	
2. Taxes to the Town and State upon full build-out 3. Quantitative and qualitative benefits to the Town and State balanced with the state of the sta	
3. Quantitative and qualitative benefits to the Town and State balanced go roal	61
	51
	61
4. Building design and efficient use of property like the concepts	61
5. Other community benefits (public use, amenities, other)	6/

Comments:		
of ¹⁹⁴		
≘ &		
Serles	04/25/2018	
Evaluator Signature	Date	

Mystic Education RFP 18-25 Scoring Tool Evaluator: Culler Developer: Globe Developers, Inc. Date: 04 / 12 / 2018 **Summary of Evaluation Criteria** Score A prospective developer's financial offering will not be the only criteria for evaluation. Each proposal will be evaluated by the review panel based on the following: **Project Approach (20 Points Total)** Incomplete proposal W/minimal info 1. Compliance with the RFP submission requirements /5 2. Clear and comprehensive submittal **/5** 3. Rational, detailed, and thorough approach to implementation /5 4. Degree to which the project complies with local plans 15 **Team Qualifications (20 points Total)** 1. Demonstrated experience in completing similar projects news articles with 2 /8 2. Strength of the team including project lead, engineering, architectural design, /8 construction, financing, etc. almost 3. References for key completed projects not provided 0/4 Project Viability and Ability to Execute Project in a Timely Manner (30 Points Total) 1. Demonstrated project marketability not demonstrated /6 2. Demonstrated evidence supporting project financing not demonstrated /6 3. Reasonable timeframe to initiate and complete project 16 4. Demonstrated on-time completion of past projects 0/6 5. Reasonable demands or requests from the Town/State /6 3 Benefit to Town and State (30 Points Total) 1. Financial offering and benefit to the Town and State /6 2. Taxes to the Town and State upon full build-out non profit school /6 3. Quantitative and qualitative benefits to the Town and State type of use (school 4. Building design and efficient use of property /6 5. Other community benefits (public use, amenities, other) /6 Total: 13 /100

Comments can be provided on page 2

Mystic Education RFP 18-25 Scoring Tool Evaluator: Cullen Developer: Respier Homes LLC Date: 04 1 23 1 2018 **Summary of Evaluation Criteria** A prospective developer's financial offering will not be the only criteria for evaluation. Each proposal will be evaluated by the review panel based on the following: **Project Approach (20 Points Total)** 1. Compliance with the RFP submission requirements /5 2. Clear and comprehensive submittal /5 **/5** 3. Rational, detailed, and thorough approach to implementation 4. Degree to which the project complies with local plans Congerned about /5 Team Qualifications (20 points Total) 1. Demonstrated experience in completing similar projects good regard of 8 18 2. Strength of the team including project lead, engineering, architectural design, /8 construction, financing, etc. Known partners w/Solid online snows good exs 3. References for key completed projects Project Viability and Ability to Execute Project in a Timely Manner (30 Points Total) 1. Demonstrated project marketability /6 16 2. Demonstrated evidence supporting project financing 3. Reasonable timeframe to initiate and complete project clocal 16 4. Demonstrated on-time completion of past projects impressive /6 5. Reasonable demands or requests from the Town/State /6 Benefit to Town and State (30 Points Total) nominal fee to acquire 1. Financial offering and benefit to the Town and State 5//yr for pratt/ease 4/6 3.3 million 2. Taxes to the Town and State upon full build-out 6/6 Quantitative and qualitative benefits to the Town and State mix of uses /6 Building design and efficient use of property /6 public use pratt 5. Other community benefits (public use, amenities, other) 16 Total: /100

Comments:			
©		113	
S c			
22			
gs = 1.			100
=			
(Cappe	04/23/2018	TI T	
Evaluator Signature	Date		
			···

Mystic Education RFP 18-25 Scoring Tool	
Developer: Globe Developers, Inc Date: 4 123 118	
Summary of Evaluation Criteria Score	
A prospective developer's financial offering will not be the only criteria for evaluation. Each proposal will be evaluated by review panel based on the following:	y the
Project Approach (20 Points Total)	
1. Compliance with the RFR submission requirements	/5
2. Clear and comprehensive submittal	/5
3. Rational, detailed, and thorough approach to implementation	/5
4. Degree to which the project complies with local plans	/5
Team Qualifications (20 points Total)	
1. Demonstrated experience in completing similar projects	/8
2. Strength of the team including project lead, engineering, architectural design, construction, financing, etc.	/8
3. References for key completed projects	/4
	美国教育
Project Viability and Ability to Execute Project in a Timely Manner (30 Points Total)	
1. Demonstrated project marketability	/6
2. Demonstrated evidence supporting project financing	
3. Reasonable timeframe to initiate and complete project	
4. Demonstrated on-time completion of past projects	
5. Reasonable demands or requests from the Town/State	/6
Benefit to Town and State (30 Points Total)	<i>y</i>
Financial offering and benefit to the Town and State	0 16
2. Taxes to the Town and State upon full build-out	0/6
3. Quantitative and qualitative benefits to the Town and State	0 16
4. Building design and efficient use of property	_O /6
5. Other community benefits (public use, amenities, other)	0/6
Total:	2/100

Comments can be provided on page 2

Comments:			
Dismiss.	11	20	i)
1 5 / 1			
Evaluator Signature	4/23 _j	118	

Mystic Education RFP 18-25 Scoring	Tool
Developer: Groton Housty Authority Date: 4 12:	rad Heep 3 : 18
Summary of Evaluation Criteria	Score
A prospective developer's financial offering will not be the only criteria for evaluation. Each review panel based on the following:	proposal will be evaluated by the
Project Approach (20 Points Total)	
1. Compliance with the RFP submission requirements	5/5
2. Clear and comprehensive submittal	5 /5
3. Rational, detailed, and thorough approach to implementation	5 /5
4. Degree to which the project complies with local plans	5 /5
Team Qualifications (20 points Total)	
Demonstrated experience in completing similar projects	8 18
Strength of the team including project lead, engineering, architectural design, construction, financing, etc.	8 /8
3. References for key completed projects	4 14
Project Viability and Ability to Execute Project in a Timely Manner (30 Pe	oints Total)
1. Demonstrated project marketability	6 16
2. Demonstrated evidence supporting project financing	6 16
3. Reasonable timeframe to initiate and complete project	6 16
4. Demonstrated on-time completion of past projects	6 /6
5. Reasonable demands or requests from the Town/State	6 16
Benefit to Town and State (30 Points Total)	
1. Financial offering and benefit to the Town and State	6 16
2. Taxes to the Town and State upon full build-out	2 /6
3. Quantitative and qualitative benefits to the Town and State	₺ /6
4. Building design and efficient use of property	4 16
5. Other community benefits (public use, amenities, other)	6 /6
4. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	
Total:	9 / /100

	nts:

Imprusive credentials.

Assumes Evaluator assumes association intends to take care of all facilities including trails and pool.

Evaluator Signature

4/23/18 Date

	cation RFP 18-25 Scoring Tool	. 0.
Developer:	Evaluator: Onrall Hele	We.
	Evaluator: <u>Conracl Hee</u> Date: 4 123 114	
		Score
A prospective developer's financial offering will review panel based on the following:	not be the only criteria for evaluation. Each proposal will be	e evaluated by the
Project Approach (20 Points Total)		
1. Compliance with the RFP submission req	quirements	5 /
2. Clear and comprehensive submittal		5 /
3. Rational, detailed, and thorough approach	h to implementation	5 1
4. Degree to which the project complies with	th local plans	5 1:
Team Qualifications (20 points Total)		
1. Demonstrated experience in completing s	similar projects	818
2. Strength of the team including project leaconstruction, financing, etc.	nd, engineering, architectural design,	8 1
3. References for key completed projects		4 1
		<i>, , ,</i>
Project Viability and Ability to Execut	te Project in a Timely Manner (30 Points Total)	
Demonstrated project marketability		6 1
2. Demonstrated evidence supporting project	et financing	Z #51
3. Reasonable timeframe to initiate and com	aplete project	3 2
4. Demonstrated on-time completion of past	t projects	6 1
5. Reasonable demands or requests from the	e Town/State	01
Benefit to Town and State (30 Points 7	Total)	
1. Financial offering and benefit to the Tov	vn and State	5 /
2. Taxes to the Town and State upon full b	uild-out	6 1
3. Quantitative and qualitative benefits to the	he Town and State	6 1
4. Building design and efficient use of prop	perty	6 1
5. Other community benefits (public use, a	menities, other)	4 1
	and the property of the company of the latter of the property of the latter of the lat	2015年在1816年的1916年的1916年

Comments:
-TIF request seems excessive.
- Regutrement that Town nanage poul
also a little reverge potential
also a little leverage potential
-I think the company is low-belling it's ability to rake franchy, es demonstrated by TIF.
to raise financity, as demonstrated by TIF.
- Looks good overtall, but concern that Town not be taken advantage of by a large, experienced
not be taken advantage of by a larger experienced
company.
- \$1.00 to State is obviously low.
Consul Pelele 4/23/16
Litativatori digitature Date

Developer:	Evaluator: Contad Heede				
	Evaluator: Conrad Heele Date: 4 123 114				
Summary of Evaluation C					
A prospective developer's financial offering will not be the only review panel based on the following:					
Project Approach (20 Points Total)					
1. Compliance with the RFP submission requirements	4 /				
2. Clear and comprehensive submittal	51				
3. Rational, detailed, and thorough approach to implement	ation 4 1				
4. Degree to which the project complies with local plans	5-1				
Team Qualifications (20 points Total)					
1. Demonstrated experience in completing similar projects	41				
Strength of the team including project lead, engineering construction, financing, etc.					
3. References for key completed projects	4 /				
the state of the s					
Project Viability and Ability to Execute Project in a	Timely Manner (30 Points Total)				
1. Demonstrated project marketability	2/				
2. Demonstrated evidence supporting project financing	21				
3. Reasonable timeframe to initiate and complete project	6 !				
4. Demonstrated on-time completion of past projects	¥ /				
5. Reasonable demands or requests from the Town/State	61				
	the state of the s				
Benefit to Town and State (30 Points Total)					
1. Financial offering and benefit to the Town and State	51				
2. Taxes to the Town and State upon full build-out	4 /				
3. Quantitative and qualitative benefits to the Town and St	ate 3 /				
4. Building design and efficient use of property	2 /				
Desirant design and enterent doe of property					
5. Other community benefits (public use, amenities, other)					

I have serious concerns about
the medium term viability of
this project. Build a restaurant, it may
failedate to tack of Too large a property to
subtain small development. It is creative,
but I don't get it.

Evaluator Signature

4/23/18

Date

Mystic Education RFP 18-25 Scori	ing Tool
Developer: 610 be Developers, INC Evaluator: Date: 4	Paul Hinsch
Summary of Evaluation Criteria	Score
A prospective developer's financial offering will not be the only criteria for evaluation.	
review panel based on the following:	
Project Approach (20 Points Total)	terangenesis, transfer 4 mil teranggan sampa 1850sh panggang at september Astrophys
Compliance with the RFP submission requirements	/ /5
2. Clear and comprehensive submittal	/ /3
3. Rational, detailed, and thorough approach to implementation	, /5
4. Degree to which the project complies with local plans	/ /5
Team Qualifications (20 points Total)	
Demonstrated experience in completing similar projects	/= /8
2. Strength of the team including project lead, engineering, architectural design construction, financing, etc.	
3. References for key completed projects	1 /4
Project Viability and Ability to Execute Project in a Timely Manner ((30 Points Total)
Demonstrated project marketability	/ /6
2. Demonstrated evidence supporting project financing	/ /6
3. Reasonable timeframe to initiate and complete project	3 /6
4. Demonstrated on-time completion of past projects	/ /6
5. Reasonable demands or requests from the Town/State	2 /6
	VE GENERAL SET OF SERVICES
Benefit to Town and State (30 Points Total)	
1. Financial offering and benefit to the Town and State	1 /6
2. Taxes to the Town and State upon full build-out	0 16
3. Quantitative and qualitative benefits to the Town and State	3 16
4. Building design and efficient use of property	2 16
5. Other community benefits (public use, amenities, other)	0 16
Total:	20 /100

Comments:	The state of the s
# C # W	
Evaluator Signature	4/20/, 8 Date
2 <u>a</u> <u>a</u>	A 8

Developer: 62 of on Housing Authority Date: 04/20/	18
Summary of Evaluation Criteria	Score
A prospective developer's financial offering will not be the only criteria for evaluation. Each proposereview panel based on the following:	sal will be evaluated by the
review panel dased on the following.	
Project Approach (20 Points Total)	The second second second second
1. Compliance with the RFP submission requirements	4 15
2. Clear and comprehensive submittal	9 15
3. Rational, detailed, and thorough approach to implementation	4 15
4. Degree to which the project complies with local plans	1/ 15
Team Qualifications (20 points Total)	
Demonstrated experience in completing similar projects	4 /8
2. Strength of the team including project lead, engineering, architectural design, construction, financing, etc.	4 18
3. References for key completed projects	3 /4
Project Viability and Ability to Execute Project in a Timely Manner (30 Points	Total)
1. Demonstrated project marketability	4 16
2. Demonstrated evidence supporting project financing	4. 16
3. Reasonable timeframe to initiate and complete project	4 16
4. Demonstrated on-time completion of past projects	4 16
5. Reasonable demands or requests from the Town/State	3 /6
Benefit to Town and State (30 Points Total)	
1. Financial offering and benefit to the Town and State	2 16
2. Taxes to the Town and State upon full build-out	3 /6
3. Quantitative and qualitative benefits to the Town and State	4 16
	4 16
4. Building design and efficient use of property	/ /
4. Building design and efficient use of property5. Other community benefits (public use, amenities, other)	3 /6

Comments:	X E	1.11	× 4	
	34	a ^{€ V}		
a				
2 T	£ 15			84
= 24 ± 25 ± 25 ± 25 ± 25 ± 25 ± 25 ± 25 ±	5 x		9	A.5.
	8 8	8		
	4.,		. *	
200				20
			20 22	
			g	
Evaluator Signature	hj - 20	18		p.,
		81	·	

Mystic Education RFP 18-25 Scoring Too	1	
	Hinsel	0.0
Summary of Evaluation Criteria	Score	
A prospective developer's financial offering will not be the only criteria for evaluation. Each proporeview panel based on the following:		by the
Project Approach (20 Points Total)	AND THE PERSON NAMED OF STREET OF STREET	Surfaces deliberation &
1. Compliance with the RFP submission requirements	7	/5
2. Clear and comprehensive submittal	72	/5
3. Rational, detailed, and thorough approach to implementation	.3	/5
4. Degree to which the project complies with local plans	1 3	/5
	. 02001.18414	and in
Team Qualifications (20 points Total)	S No. of Part of State Conference on the State of State o	AND THE PARTY.
Demonstrated experience in completing similar projects	11	/8
2. Strength of the team including project lead, engineering, architectural design, construction, financing, etc.	5	/8
3. References for key completed projects	3	/4
Project Viability and Ability to Execute Project in a Timely Manner (30 Points	Total)	
Demonstrated project marketability	4	· /6
2. Demonstrated evidence supporting project financing	4	/6
3. Reasonable timeframe to initiate and complete project	7	/6
4. Demonstrated on-time completion of past projects	2	/6
5. Reasonable demands or requests from the Town/State	2	/6
	TARREST SALES	X 1 30
Benefit to Town and State (30 Points Total)		1
1. Financial offering and benefit to the Town and State	2	/6
2. Taxes to the Town and State upon full build-out	4	/6
3. Quantitative and qualitative benefits to the Town and State	2	/6
4. Building design and efficient use of property	2	- /6
5. Other community benefits (public use, amenities, other)	7	/6
		7,50
Total:	54	/100

Comments:		477	S .
s g	86 gg		
87 1/8 18 10		e ee	
Evaluator Signature	A 2 2	4/20/18 Date	e >

Mystic Education RFP 18-25 Scoring Tool	5	
Developer: STACK Stone GREN Evaluator: Paul Date: 041 201	Hins.h	
Summary of Evaluation Criteria	Score	
A prospective developer's financial offering will not be the only criteria for evaluation. Each proposa review panel based on the following:	l will be evaluated b	y the
	**************************************	***
Project Approach (20 Points Total)		
1. Compliance with the RFP submission requirements	2	/5
2. Clear and comprehensive submittal		/5
3. Rational, detailed, and thorough approach to implementation	3	/5
4. Degree to which the project complies with local plans	3	/5
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	GHESTERINE	
Team Qualifications (20 points Total)	46	
Demonstrated experience in completing similar projects	1 ::	/8
2. Strength of the team including project lead, engineering, architectural design, construction, financing, etc.	à	/8
3. References for key completed projects	0	/4
	SALAN EN	
Project Viability and Ability to Execute Project in a Timely Manner (30 Points T	l'otal)	
1. Demonstrated project marketability	3	/6
2. Demonstrated evidence supporting project financing	2	/6
3. Reasonable timeframe to initiate and complete project	3	/6
4. Demonstrated on-time completion of past projects	/	/6
5. Reasonable demands or requests from the Town/State	- 3	/6
		S. T.
Benefit to Town and State (30 Points Total)		
Financial offering and benefit to the Town and State	2	/6
2. Taxes to the Town and State upon full build-out	0	/6
3. Quantitative and qualitative benefits to the Town and State	3	/6
4. Building design and efficient use of property	3	/6
5. Other community benefits (public use, amenities, other)	2	/6
Total:	36	/100

Comments:		ST ST 800		*	
(54) (5-		je se		ia.	-420
***	* 0	B 41 - 12			: 1
			W		
	U.	8 D		. 4	i .
*	v.	\$ 5 & 5	*		
		¥ 8		e	
4.	3 × ×	×		31 11 - 14	2
Jame Him	sch .	4-20-18	-	뼻	
Evaluator Signature	© 8 • *	Date	.	12	Sq.

Mystic Education RFP 18-25 Scoring Tool Evaluator: Developer: 6/00e Date: Summary of Evaluation Criteria Score A prospective developer's financial offering will not be the only criteria for evaluation. Each proposal will be evaluated by the review panel based on the following: **Project Approach (20 Points Total)** 1. Compliance with the RFP submission requirements /5 **/5** 2. Clear and comprehensive submittal /5 3. Rational, detailed, and thorough approach to implementation 4. Degree to which the project complies with local plans /5 Team Qualifications (20 points Total) 1. Demonstrated experience in completing similar projects /8 2. Strength of the team including project lead, engineering, architectural design, /8 construction, financing, etc. /4 3. References for key completed projects Project Viability and Ability to Execute Project in a Timely Manner (30 Points Total) 1. Demonstrated project marketability /6 2. Demonstrated evidence supporting project financing /6 3. Reasonable timeframe to initiate and complete project /6 4. Demonstrated on-time completion of past projects /6 **/6** 5. Reasonable demands or requests from the Town/State Benefit to Town and State (30 Points Total) 1. Financial offering and benefit to the Town and State /6 2. Taxes to the Town and State upon full build-out /6 3. Quantitative and qualitative benefits to the Town and State /6 4. Building design and efficient use of property /6 /6 5. Other community benefits (public use, amenities, other) Total:

Comments:
comments: .Interesting-concept but no dutails
*
4-22-18
Evaluator Signature Date

Mystic Education RFP 18-25 Scoring Tool Evaluator: - ONS Developer: espler Homes Summary of Evaluation Criteria Score A prospective developer's financial offering will not be the only criteria for evaluation. Each proposal will be evaluated by the review panel based on the following: Project Approach (20 Points Total) 1. Compliance with the RFP submission requirements /5 **/5** 2. Clear and comprehensive submittal 3. Rational, detailed, and thorough approach to implementation **/5** 4. Degree to which the project complies with local plans **/5** Team Qualifications (20 points Total) 1. Demonstrated experience in completing similar projects /8 2. Strength of the team including project lead, engineering, architectural design, /8 construction, financing, etc. 3. References for key completed projects /4 Project Viability and Ability to Execute Project in a Timely Manner (30 Points Total) 1. Demonstrated project marketability /6 2. Demonstrated evidence supporting project financing /6 /6 3. Reasonable timeframe to initiate and complete project 4. Demonstrated on-time completion of past projects /6 5. Reasonable demands or requests from the Town/State /6 Benefit to Town and State (30 Points Total) 1. Financial offering and benefit to the Town and State /6 2. Taxes to the Town and State upon full build-out /6 3. Quantitative and qualitative benefits to the Town and State /6 4. Building design and efficient use of property /6 /6 5. Other community benefits (public use, amenities, other) Total: /100

Comments: . protect + delpen the swing the ords wood?
· English
752 850 units
'Moninal Fee'
TIF, Enterprisezon
Evaluator Signature Date

Mystic Ed	ucation RFP 18-25 Scoring Tool	
Developer:	Evaluator: Vone S	
Stockstone	Date: 4/1231/	8
Summary of F	Evaluation Criteria	Score
[17] [[[[[]]] [[[]] [[]] [[]] [[] [[] [[]	ill not be the only criteria for evaluation. Each proposal will	be evaluated by the
Project Approach (20 Points Total)		
Compliance with the RFP submission re	equirements	<i>Z</i> /5
2. Clear and comprehensive submittal		4 15
3. Rational, detailed, and thorough approa	ch to implementation	3 15
4. Degree to which the project complies w	•	Z /5
Team Qualifications (20 points Total)		
1. Demonstrated experience in completing	similar projects	Ø 18
2. Strength of the team including project le construction, financing, etc.	ead, engineering, architectural design,	6 /8
3. References for key completed projects		2 14
5. 92 0年3月1日中海大学的专门。		The same of the same
Project Viability and Ability to Execu	te Project in a Timely Manner (30 Points Total	1)
1. Demonstrated project marketability		3 16
2. Demonstrated evidence supporting projection	ect financing	5- 16
3. Reasonable timeframe to initiate and co	mplete project	4/ 16
4. Demonstrated on-time completion of pa	st projects	3 /6
5. Reasonable demands or requests from the	ne Town/State	4/ 16
Benefit to Town and State (30 Points	Total)	
1. Financial offering and benefit to the To	own and State	5 /6
2. Taxes to the Town and State upon full	build-out	5 /6
3. Quantitative and qualitative benefits to	the Town and State	5 /6
4. Building design and efficient use of pro	pperty	3 /6
5. Other community benefits (public use,	amenities, other)	4 16
3. 医多型性 (1. 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18		
Total:		(08/100

Comments:	- 12/4
Tax abotement	
	==
	S
	*
	3
De D	4-23-18
Evaluator Signature	Date

Mystic Education RFP 18-25 Scoring Tool	
Developer: Groton Hasing Authory Date: / /	5
Summary of Evaluation Criteria	Score
A prospective developer's financial offering will not be the only criteria for evaluation. Each proposal review panel based on the following:	will be evaluated by the
Tevrew panier outdoor are renowing.	
Project Approach (20 Points Total)	
1. Compliance with the RFP submission requirements	5 15
2. Clear and comprehensive submittal	5 15
3. Rational, detailed, and thorough approach to implementation	4/ /5
4. Degree to which the project complies with local plans	5 15
在1964年1月1日 - 1964年 - 1	
Team Qualifications (20 points Total)	
Demonstrated experience in completing similar projects	Ø 18
2. Strength of the team including project lead, engineering, architectural design, construction, financing, etc.	6 18
3. References for key completed projects	4/ 14
Project Viability and Ability to Execute Project in a Timely Manner (30 Points To	otal)
Demonstrated project marketability	4/ /6
2. Demonstrated evidence supporting project financing	4/ /6
3. Reasonable timeframe to initiate and complete project	4/ 16
4. Demonstrated on-time completion of past projects	4/ 16
5. Reasonable demands or requests from the Town/State	4/ /6
Benefit to Town and State (30 Points Total)	
Financial offering and benefit to the Town and State	4/ /6
2. Taxes to the Town and State upon full build-out	5 16
3. Quantitative and qualitative benefits to the Town and State	4/ /6
4. Building design and efficient use of property	5 16
5. Other community benefits (public use, amenities, other)	5 16
Total:	→ 8 /100

Comments:
Poblic - Recreation
Emergency Shelter
Poblic - Recustion Emergency chalter Micro grid New town road to Relds
Meuston road to files
Aguiston gaddutional land? p.39
V-23-18
Evaluator Signature Date

Mystic Education RFP 18-25 Scoring Tool	
Developer: Globe Developers, Frc. Evaluator: Share Date: 4/6/	malloy 18
Summary of Evaluation Criteria	Score
A prospective developer's financial offering will not be the only criteria for evaluation. Each proposereview panel based on the following:	al will be evaluated by the
Project Approach (20 Points Total)	
1. Compliance with the RFP submission requirements	/5
2. Clear and comprehensive submittal	/5
3. Rational, detailed, and thorough approach to implementation	/5
4. Degree to which the project complies with local plans	/5
经企业自由企业和资本的企业的企业。在1980年,1980年,1980年,1980年	Carried States
Team Qualifications (20 points Total)	
1. Demonstrated experience in completing similar projects	/8
2. Strength of the team including project lead, engineering, architectural design, construction, financing, etc.	0 /8
3. References for key completed projects	0 /4
	Sent to a series
Project Viability and Ability to Execute Project in a Timely Manner (30 Points 7	Total)
1. Demonstrated project marketability	/ /6
2. Demonstrated evidence supporting project financing	() /6
3. Reasonable timeframe to initiate and complete project	0 /6
4. Demonstrated on-time completion of past projects	/6
5. Reasonable demands or requests from the Town/State	3 /6
Benefit to Town and State (30 Points Total)	
1. Pinancial offering and benefit to the Town and State (3)	7) /6
2. Taxes to the Town and State upon full build-out	() /6
3. Quantitative and qualitative benefits to the Town and State Proposes Scholars	7 /6
4. Building design and efficient use of property	0 16
5. Other community benefits (public use, amenities, other)	() /6
	51125
Total:	7 /100

Comments:

Paragraph 11 of executive summary references using the 33 aces (and by DEEP) for housing. This is not possible.

Bright 3 unsealistic for Allahes families and security no credentials perioded for the head of administration.

Date #120 #18

Mystic Education RFP 18-25 Scoring Tool	Springer
Developer: Coolin Husing Athorty Date: 4/6/	ralling
Summary of Evaluation Criteria	Score
A prospective developer's financial offering will not be the only criteria for evaluation. Each proposal review panel based on the following:	will be evaluated by the
Project Approach (20 Points Total)	
1. Compliance with the RPP submission requirements	/5
2. Clear and comprehensive submittal	5 /5
3. Rational, detailed, and thorough approach to implementation	5 /5
4. Degree to which the project complies with local plans	5 /5
Team Qualifications (20 points Total)	
Demonstrated experience in completing similar projects	8 /8
2. Strength of the team including project lead, engineering, architectural design,	8 /8
construction, financing, etc. 3. References for key completed projects	
3. Actionals for any completed projects	
Project Viability and Ability to Execute Project in a Timely Manner (30 Points Tot	
1. Demonstrated project marketability	
2. Demonstrated evidence supporting project financing	0 16
Reasonable timeframe to initiate and complete project	6 16
1 Demonstrated on time completion of next maintain	5 /6
TIO, TOTAL OF THE TIME	0 16
5. Reasonable demands or requests from the Town/State	
Benefit to Town and State (30 Points Total)	All the South Branch Co. S. State
1. Financial offering and benefit to the Town and State	. 7
2. Taxes to the Town and State upon full build-out	3 /6
Quantitative and qualitative benefits to the Town and State	9 16
4. Building design and efficient use of property	4 16
5. Other community benefits (public use, amenities, other)	S /6
, and the second second	6 16
Total:	76 /100

Comments:
Concerns: prehase pree of \$16.2m conditioned on!

If parke patron by Town & STATE

Remediation Costs

Road finforstructure improvements

Hosm tolloom development effort to parke that my
in assets and amendres - stated in their summany
in assets and amendres - stated in their summany

Date!

Date!

Developer: CLKCL Evaluator: Share W	allow	
Developer: Stak Stone bong W Evaluator: Share M	18	
Summary of Evaluation Criteria	Score	l'a
A prospective developer's financial offering will not be the only criteria for evaluation. Each proposal wreview panel based on the following:	vill be evaluated by	tb
	N. A. SAL	
Project Approach (20 Points Total)		
1. Compliance with the RPP submission requirements	0	
2. Clear and comprehensive submittal	3	
3. Rational, detailed, and thorough approach to implementation	ž	_
4. Degree to which the project complies with local plans	3	
	The state of the s	
Team Qualifications (20 points Total)		-
Demonstrated experience in completing similar projects	. ^	
2. Strength of the team including project lead, engineering, architectural design, construction, financing, etc.	1	74
3. References for key completed projects projects small no returnes	0	
		100
Project Viability and Ability to Execute Project in a Timely Manner (30 Points Total	al)	
. Demonstrated project marketability	2	_
2. Demonstrated evidence supporting project financing reed from to anied 35m	3	
Reasonable timeframe to initiate and complete project	2	_
. Demonstrated on-time completion of past projects	3.	
. Reasonable demands or requests from the Town/State by antennet aus boild	1	
	10 m 10 m	
enefit to Town and State (30 Points Total)		
. Financial offering and benefit to the Town and State 1888 archae are	3	
. Taxes to the Town and State upon full build-out	0	
. Quantitative and qualitative benefits to the Town and State employees, Vocal	3	
. Building design and efficient use of property	3	
Other community benefits (public use, amenities, other) leasety corter de mile	5	1
	- 1	256
otal:	271 /1	10

<u> </u>						
Comments:	- litte detan	1 prim	ded, no	trixes d	Hered.	
0			# OF #3	* B		71
			*	8 A		
	9 > 1 8		3	Œ.		
* *			A. O			¥ ::
			1. 24			e.
. 1 .	¥	ty:			7 29	
	-		2. 0			
e ** •	7 so + + +	t	4 4	· ·		
20						
Evaluator Signature	P. Mally		<u>4</u> 20	18		

Mystic Education RFP 18-25 Scoring Tool				
Developer: Respler Homes, UC Evaluator: Share Date: 4/6/				
Summary of Evaluation Criteria	Score			
A prospective developer's financial offering will not be the only criteria for evaluation. Each propose review panel based on the following:	al will be evaluated by the			
to the panel dated of the following.				
Project Approach (20 Points Total)				
1. Compliance with the RFP submission requirements	4 /5			
2. Clear and comprehensive submittal	3 /5			
3. Rational, detailed, and thorough approach to implementation	3 /5			
4. Degree to which the project complies with local plans	4 /5			
Team Qualifications (20 points Total)				
1. Demonstrated experience in completing similar projects nothing similar in	/8			
2. Strength of the feam including project lead, engineering, architectural design	~			
3. References for key completed projects many projects menting about see steer	5 /8			
to les completed projects many projects mentioned objet see lidera	res 1 /4			
Project Viability and Ability to Execute Project in a Timely Manner (30 Points T	2.4.1)			
1. Demonstrated project marketability	- , /			
Demonstrated evidence supporting project financing	4 /6			
3. Reasonable timeframe to initiate and complete project	3 /6			
Demonstrated on-time completion of past projects	3 /6			
	/6			
5. Reasonable demands or requests from the Town/State State land date grant from the Town/State	16			
Benefit to Town and State (30 Points Total)				
1. Financial offering and benefit to the Town and State employees over solves	4. /6			
2. Taxes to the Town and State upon full build-out	5 /6			
3. Quantitative and qualitative benefits to the Town and State	4 /6			
4. Building design and efficient use of property	5 /6			
5. Other community benefits (public use, amenities, other)	5 /6			
是是"这个人的,我们们是有关的。""我们们的一个人,我们们也没有一个人。" 第一章	7			
Total:	/100			

Comments:

Wants to build soccer /1a crosse frelds, atdoor bushfall courts, baseball freld on 30 years of conservation land, absolutely not permitted.

Mystic Education RFP 18-25 Scoring Tool				
	a hoewenbeg			
Summary of Evaluation Criteria	Score			
A prospective developer's financial offering will not be the only criteria for evaluation. Each proporeview panel based on the following:	sal will be evaluated by the			
Project Approach (20 Points Total)				
1. Compliance with the RFP submission requirements	0 /5			
2. Clear and comprehensive submittal	1 /5			
3. Rational, detailed, and thorough approach to implementation	1 /5			
4. Degree to which the project complies with local plans	2 /5			
Team Qualifications (20 points Total)	1			
1. Demonstrated experience in completing similar projects	2 /8			
2. Strength of the team including project lead, engineering, architectural design, construction, financing, etc.	Not shown 0/8			
3. References for key completed projects	3 /4			
Project Viability and Ability to Execute Project in a Timely Manner (30 Points	Total)			
1. Demonstrated project marketability VMB- bounding school	3 /6			
2. Demonstrated evidence supporting project financing	4 16			
3. Reasonable timeframe to initiate and complete project	3 /6			
4. Demonstrated on-time completion of past projects	0 /6			
5. Reasonable demands or requests from the Town/State	4 16			
Benefit to Town and State (30 Points Total)				
1. Financial offering and benefit to the Town and State	1 /6			
2. Taxes to the Town and State upon full build-out apply to - tax-exempt	0 /6			
3. Quantitative and qualitative benefits to the Town and State	2/6			
4. Building design and efficient use of property re-use	ک/6			
5. Other community benefits (public use, amenities, other) not demonstrate	0 /6			
Total:	28 /100			

Comments:	C						22 12	0 1
Kny	forei	ng in	vestme	ent	must	be	Weted	and
() ()	-ind	0	1. 16	14				
appn	oven	og 1	ne Iva	y				h
				•				
1								
								175
17								
1.								
M	hat							
Evaluator Signatur	re /			Date				

Mystic Education RFP 18-25 Scoring Tool Louvenberg Developer: Resplir Homes **Evaluator:** Date: Summary of Evaluation Criteria Score A prospective developer's financial offering will not be the only criteria for evaluation. Each proposal will be evaluated by the review panel based on the following: Project Approach (20 Points Total) 1. Compliance with the RFP submission requirements 2. Clear and comprehensive submittal 3. Rational, detailed, and thorough approach to implementation 644 hot state 4. Degree to which the project complies with local plans /5 Team Qualifications (20 points Total) 1. Demonstrated experience in completing similar projects 2. Strength of the team including project lead, engineering, architectural design, construction, financing, etc. 3. References for key completed projects Project Viability and Ability to Execute Project in a Timely Manner (30 Points Total) 1. Demonstrated project marketability /6 2. Demonstrated evidence supporting project financing S Penia 3. Reasonable timeframe to initiate and complete project 4. Demonstrated on-time completion of past projects 5. Reasonable demands or requests from the Town/State DEFP 16 Benefit to Town and State (30 Points Total) 1. Financial offering and benefit to the Town and State /6 2. Taxes to the Town and State upon full build-out 3. Quantitative and qualitative benefits to the Town and State 6/6 4. Building design and efficient use of property /6 5. Other community benefits (public use, amenities, other) Total: /100

Comments can be provided on page 2

Respler			
Comments:			
Whoisf	ihancing a	instruction load	, ?
Break in t	o phuses -	on struction load	2e.
Evaluator Signature		Date	

Mystic Education RFP 18-25 Scoring Tool	
Developer: The Stuck stone Group Evaluator: Maya Loc Date:	westery
Summary of Evaluation Criteria	Score
A prospective developer's financial offering will not be the only criteria for evaluation. Each proposal will review panel based on the following:	be evaluated by the
toview paner based on the ronowing.	
Project Approach (20 Points Total)	
1. Compliance with the RFP submission requirements	5 75
2. Clear and comprehensive submittal	5/5
3. Rational, detailed, and thorough approach to implementation	4 15
4. Degree to which the project complies with local plans	3 /5
Team Qualifications (20 points Total)	
Demonstrated experience in completing similar projects	6 /8
2. Strength of the team including project lead, engineering, architectural design, not construction, financing, etc.	ded 3 18
3. References for key completed projects - Lityrah's his Courbosing 3	4 14
LEFBURKFIEL	
Project Viability and Ability to Execute Project in a Timely Manner (30 Points Total)	
1. Demonstrated project marketability in RFF claim they haveit	4 /6
2. Demonstrated evidence supporting project financing Adilificant # 20 Myll	-3 ./6
3. Reasonable timeframe to initiate and complete project	4 16
4. Demonstrated on-time completion of past projects only 3 project	4 /6
5. Reasonable demands or requests from the Town/State DFFP/OPM - (?)	3 /6
是好的的的数据。但是是是是是是不是一个的。 第一个人们的数据,但是是是是是是是是是是是是是是是是是是是是是是是是是是是是是是是是是是是是	
Benefit to Town and State (30 Points Total)	
1. Financial offering and benefit to the Town and State	5 /6
2. Taxes to the Town and State upon full build-out	6 16
3. Quantitative and qualitative benefits to the Town and State	6 /6
4. Building design and efficient use of property	- 6 /6
5. Other community benefits (public use, amenities, other)	6 /6
运送的信息设计 医无线性 经自己的 医克里特氏性 医克里特氏 医皮肤 经自己的 医多种性	an Chille
Total:	+J /100

Comments: EMPOWER MILEONIE
facilitate the Startup of 10+ small Business on the
Campus
Green building/sustainable design
Historic resistry museum
participating entre preheurss Ddiswunked rates
135 tols - chuch (?/
Additional \$20 M - nederl
≋
Evaluator Signature Date

Mystic Education RFP 18-25 Scoring Tool	
Developer: Groton Housing Authority Evaluator: Maya Low	wenbeg
Date: / / Summary of Evaluation Criteria	core
A prospective developer's financial offering will not be the only criteria for evaluation. Each proposal will be review panel based on the following:	Pro-sparser on the Printing TV to him to the first of
review patter based on the following.	Cayle de la company
Project Approach (20 Points Total)	
1. Compliance with the RFP submission requirements	5/5
2. Clear and comprehensive submittal	<u> </u>
3. Rational, detailed, and thorough approach to implementation	575
4. Degree to which the project complies with local plans	5/5
	A CANADA
Team Qualifications (20 points Total)	The second secon
Demonstrated experience in completing similar projects	8 /8
2. Strength of the team including project lead, engineering, architectural design, construction, financing, etc.	3 /8
3. References for key completed projects	4 14
	915122 - 73
Project Viability and Ability to Execute Project in a Timely Manner (30 Points Total)	STATE OF STA
Demonstrated project marketability	6 /6
2. Demonstrated evidence supporting project financing	6/6
3. Reasonable timeframe to initiate and complete project	4/6
4. Demonstrated on-time completion of past projects	5/6
5. Reasonable demands or requests from the Town/State	5/6
	台社会企业
Benefit to Town and State (30 Points Total)	
1. Financial offering and benefit to the Town and State	6 /6
2. Taxes to the Town and State upon full build-out #710 K annually	6 /6
3. Quantitative and qualitative benefits to the Town and State	S /6
4. Building design and efficient use of property	- 6/6
5. Other community benefits (public use, amenities, other)	6 /6
CANAL CARE CARE TO CARE CONTROL OF THE CONTROL OF THE CARE CARE CARE CARE CARE CARE CARE CAR	
Total:	96 /100

1 Gartin	14 11
the Village at Mystic Ridge (Grotun	ri M
Comments:	
PP - Groton MA, Carpionato Group, Granker Grofon Really	2
Preserve à repurpose gremaining eld for housings	
recreational	
achnoledged - 37 acres for passive reweation use Cusement	
Cusement	
working relationship with Broton Parts; Recrisfor use of pool 5 other	
* Miles - Gil - aceen amenitics - 3.8 MW green	heel
*Micro- and -green amenities	
Geothermal HVAC, Green house & vooftop parder, solar supply power to town on emergency political	
Carse amenities to the town > political	6
Evaluator Signature Date	
very thorough!	
Mistoria Advices on the team - historic tow welli	+
Mistoric Aduser on the team - historic tow welli work with SHPO	
Permanut Jobs - 36 City of Meriller	
May nard Road Corp - Meriden mix-use der next to 7	TOD
1 11 Wheto speak with Men'd	un
highly experienced feels in removaling	
historic 10 shorataon	

Budget based on Phase I - \$159 M Pratt BU (Recreation Center) existing bld energy source, historic remarkins; while by

Mystic Education RFP 18-25 Scoring Too	ol	
Developer: Stock Stone Evaluator: Lian Date: 517	Obrey	
Summary of Evaluation Criteria	Score	
A prospective developer's financial offering will not be the only criteria for evaluation. Each propreview panel based on the following:	osal will be evaluated	by the
and the state of t		
Project Approach (20 Points Total)		1
1. Compliance with the RFP submission requirements	T 2	15
2. Clear and comprehensive submittal	2	/5
3. Rational, detailed, and thorough approach to implementation	2	/5
4. Degree to which the project complies with local plans	3	/5
	CA SERVICE SERVICE	/5
Team Qualifications (20 points Total)		
1. Demonstrated experience in completing similar projects	T =	/0
2. Strength of the team including project lead engineering architectural design	+	/8
construction, financing, etc. 3. References for key completed projects	4	/8
201 aby completed projects	1 4	/4
Project Viability and Ability to Execute Project in a Timely Manner (30 Points		
1. Demonstrated project marketability	Total)	
2. Demonstrated evidence supporting project financing	4	/6
3. Reasonable timeframe to initiate and complete project	3	/6
4. Demonstrated on-time completion of past projects	1 2	/6
5. Reasonable demands or requests from the Town/State	3	/6
	1 4	/6
Benefit to Town and State (30 Points Total)		
1. Financial offering and benefit to the Town and State		
2. Taxes to the Town and State upon full build-out	6	/6
3. Quantitative and qualitative benefits to the Town and State	5	/6
4. Building design and efficient use of property	3	/6
5. Other community benefits (public use, amenities, other)	 3 -	/6
	1 5	/6
lotal:	T 5a	/100

Comments:
_
Tust didn't que enough information
σ
Dig
dean Olylis
Evaluator Signature Date

Mystic Education RFP 18-25 Scorin	g Tool
33 - O - O - O - O - O - O - O - O - O -	vian Obrey
Summary of Evaluation Criteria	Score
A prospective developer's financial offering will not be the only criteria for evaluation. E review panel based on the following:	ach proposal will be evaluated by the
To view paner cased on the following:	
Project Approach (20 Points Total)	
1. Compliance with the RFP submission requirements	
2. Clear and comprehensive submittal	5 /5
3. Rational, detailed, and thorough approach to implementation	5 /5
4. Degree to which the project complies with local plans	5 /5
	5 /5
Team Qualifications (20 points Total)	Incharacture Constitution of the Parising
1. Demonstrated experience in completing similar projects	4 40
2. Strength of the team including project lead, engineering architectural design	<u>6</u> /8
construction, financing, etc. 3. References for key completed projects	У /8
5. Additionates for key completed projects	4 14
Project Vightlity and Ability to Everyte Project to The	
Project Viability and Ability to Execute Project in a Timely Manner (30 1. Demonstrated project marketability	Points Total)
Demonstrated evidence supporting project financing	5 /6
Reasonable timeframe to initiate and complete project	5 /6
4. Demonstrated on-time completion of past projects	5 16
	5 /6
5. Reasonable demands or requests from the Town/State	5 16
Benefit to Town and State (30 Points Total)	A
Financial offering and benefit to the Town and State	
2. Taxes to the Town and State upon full build-out	5 16
	6 16
3. Quantitative and qualitative benefits to the Town and State4. Building design and efficient use of property	6 16
	6 16
5. Other community benefits (public use, amenities, other)	6 16
Total:	
	/100

Comments:	
This group hits all that C	roton is
100 bury for especally mirac	mo.
3 , , ,	
#1	8
P. Or	
Evaluator Signature	_
Date /	

Mystic Education RFP 18-25	Scoring Tool			
Developer: Evaluator: Lian Obres				
GHA Date:	5 / /			
Summary of Evaluation Criteria	Score	Score		
A prospective developer's financial offering will not be the only criteria for evareview panel based on the following:	hation. Each proposal will be evaluated by th	e C		
review panes based on the fonowing.		-000		
Project Approach (20 Points Total)				
1. Compliance with the RFP submission requirements		/5		
2. Clear and comprehensive submittal	5	/5		
3. Rational, detailed, and thorough approach to implementation	3	15		
4. Degree to which the project complies with local plans	i i	15		
Team Qualifications (20 points Total)				
1. Demonstrated experience in completing similar projects		/8		
2. Strength of the team including project lead, engineering, architectural construction, financing, etc.	design,	/8		
3. References for key completed projects	Ц	/4		
Project Viability and Ability to Execute Project in a Timely Ma	nner (30 Points Total)	SEC.		
1. Demonstrated project marketability	T U	/6		
2. Demonstrated evidence supporting project financing	1	/6		
3. Reasonable timeframe to initiate and complete project		/6		
4. Demonstrated on-time completion of past projects	6	/6		
5. Reasonable demands or requests from the Town/State	6	/6		
Benefit to Town and State (30 Points Total)				
1. Financial offering and benefit to the Town and State	6	/6		
2. Taxes to the Town and State upon full build-out		/6		
3. Quantitative and qualitative benefits to the Town and State		/6		
4. Building design and efficient use of property		/6		
5. Other community benefits (public use, amenities, other)		/6		
Total				
Total:	94 /1	00		

Comments:						
Would be a great company to work with except						
Lould be a great company to work with except not be nough mixed use !						
'						
et e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e						
Evaluator Signature 5/4/18 Date						

Mystic Education RFP 18-25 Scoring Tool Evaluator: Lian (Developer: Date: **Summary of Evaluation Criteria** Score A prospective developer's financial offering will not be the only criteria for evaluation. Each proposal will be evaluated by the review panel based on the following: **Project Approach (20 Points Total)** 1. Compliance with the RFP submission requirements 15 2. Clear and comprehensive submittal 15 3. Rational, detailed, and thorough approach to implementation 15 4. Degree to which the project complies with local plans 15 Team Qualifications (20 points Total) 1. Demonstrated experience in completing similar projects /8 2. Strength of the team including project lead, engineering, architectural design, /8 construction, financing, etc. 3. References for key completed projects 14 Project Viability and Ability to Execute Project in a Timely Manner (30 Points Total) 1. Demonstrated project marketability /6 2. Demonstrated evidence supporting project financing 16 3. Reasonable timeframe to initiate and complete project 16 4. Demonstrated on-time completion of past projects 16 5. Reasonable demands or requests from the Town/State 16 Benefit to Town and State (30 Points Total) 1. Financial offering and benefit to the Town and State 16 2. Taxes to the Town and State upon full build-out 16 3 3. Quantitative and qualitative benefits to the Town and State 16 4. Building design and efficient use of property 16 വ 5. Other community benefits (public use, amenities, other) 16 Total: /100

ents:	A	0	\circ	0	
10	little	n formation	Greet	use of m	zin baildin
bud	obs on +	orp IsnoitiE	dteuc		
		·	`		

Evaluator Signature

5/7/18 Date

Mystic Education RFP 18-25 Scoring Tool Evaluator: SHEVENS oper: Globe **Summary of Evaluation Criteria** spective developer's financial offering will not be the only criteria for evaluation. Each proposal will be evaluated by the panel based on the following: ect Approach (20 Points Total) ompliance with the RFP submission requirements lear and comprehensive submittal ational, detailed, and thorough approach to implementation legree to which the project complies with local plans m Qualifications (20 points Total) Demonstrated experience in completing similar projects Strength of the team including project lead, engineering, architectural design, estruction, financing, etc. References for key completed projects oject Viability and Ability to Execute Project in a Timely Manner (30 Points Total) Demonstrated project marketability Demonstrated evidence supporting project financing Reasonable timeframe to initiate and complete project Demonstrated on-time completion of past projects Reasonable demands or requests from the Town/State Benefit to Town and State (30 Points Total) 1. Financial offering and benefit to the Town and State 2. Taxes to the Town and State upon full build-out 3. Quantitative and qualitative benefits to the Town and State 4. Building design and efficient use of property 5. Other community benefits (public use, amenities, other) Total:

Mystic Education RFP 18-25 Scoring Tool

Evaluator: HEVEND Respler oper: 4,23,18 **Summary of Evaluation Criteria** spective developer's financial offering will not be the only criteria for evaluation. Each proposal will be evaluated by the panel based on the following: ect Approach (20 Points Total) ompliance with the RFP submission requirements lear and comprehensive submittal ational, detailed, and thorough approach to implementation egree to which the project complies with local plans m Qualifications (20 points Total) 6 18 Demonstrated experience in completing similar projects Strength of the team including project lead, engineering, architectural design, struction, financing, etc. References for key completed projects oject Viability and Ability to Execute Project in a Timely Manner (30 Points Total) 16 Demonstrated project marketability Demonstrated evidence supporting project financing 16 Reasonable timeframe to initiate and complete project Demonstrated on-time completion of past projects Reasonable demands or requests from the Town/State enefit to Town and State (30 Points Total) Financial offering and benefit to the Town and State Taxes to the Town and State upon full build-out Quantizative and qualitative benefits to the Town and State Building design and efficient use of property 5. Other community benefits (public use, amenities, other) Total:

Mystic Education RFP 18-25 Scoring Tool

reloper:

GHA

Evaluator: Stevens

Date:	23, 18	
Summary of Evaluation Criteria	Score	
rospective developer's financial offering will not be the only criteria for evaluation. Each panel based on the following:	in proposal will be evaluated by the	
oject Approach (20 Points Total)		
	5 15	
Compliance with the RFP submission requirements		
Clear and comprehensive submittal	5 15	
Rational, detailed, and thorough approach to implementation	5 /5	
Degree to which the project complies with local plans	5 15	
eam Qualifications (20 points Total)		
Demonstrated experience in completing similar projects	6 18	
. Strength of the team including project lead, engineering, architectural design, onstruction, financing, etc.	7-18	
References for key completed projects	4 14	
Project Viability and Ability to Execute Project in a Timely Manner (30	Points Total)	
Demonstrated project marketability	4 16	
2. Demonstrated evidence supporting project financing	3 16	
3. Reasonable timeframe to initiate and complete project	4 16	
4. Demonstrated on-time completion of past projects	3/6	
5. Reasonable demands or requests from the Town/State	3 16	
Benefit to Town and State (30 Points Total)		
1. Financial offering and benefit to the Town and State	3 16	
2. Taxes to the Town and State upon full build-out	4 16	
3. Quantitative and qualitative benefits to the Town and State	4 /6	
4. Building design and efficient use of property	5/6	
5. Other community benefits (public use, amenities, other)	5/6	
	/100	
Total:	15,7100	

Mystic Education RFP 18-25 Scoring Tool Evaluator: Stevens

Date: 4 123 118 Stack veloper: Summary of Evaluation Criteria respective developer's financial offering will not be the only criteria for evaluation. Each proposal will be evaluated by the ew panel based on the following: oject Approach (20 Points Total) Compliance with the RFP submission requirements Clear and comprehensive submittal Rational, detailed, and thorough approach to implementation Degree to which the project complies with local plans eam Qualifications (20 points Total) /8 Demonstrated experience in completing similar projects Strength of the team including project lead, engineering, architectural design, construction, financing, etc. /4 3. References for key completed projects Project Viability and Ability to Execute Project in a Timely Manner (30 Points Total) 3 16 1. Demonstrated project marketability 2-16 2. Demonstrated evidence supporting project financing 3. Reasonable timeframe to initiate and complete project 4. Demonstrated on-time completion of past projects 5. Reasonable demands or requests from the Town/State Benefit to Town and State (30 Points Total) 1. Financial offering and benefit to the Town and State 2. Taxes to the Town and State upon full build-out 3. Quantitative and qualitative benefits to the Town and State 4. Building design and efficient use of property

Total:

5. Other community benefits (public use, amenities, other)