



**PLAN COMMISSION / ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS
AGENDA**

**Thursday, July 13, 2023
6:30 P.M.**

**Frankfort Village Hall
432 W. Nebraska Street (Board Room)**

- 1. Call to Order**
- 2. Roll Call**
- 3. Approval of Minutes of June 22, 2023**
- 4. Public Hearing: 9645 Lincolnway Lane Units 105-107– El Mezcal Restaurant (Ref#107)**
Request: Proposed Special Use for outdoor seating associated with a permitted restaurant in the B-2 Community Business District (PIN: 19-09-16-402-004-0000).
- 5. Public Comments**
- 6. Village Board & Committee Updates**
- 7. Other Business**
- 8. Attendance Confirmation (July 27, 2023)**
- 9. Adjournment**

All applicants are advised to be present when the meeting is called to order. Agenda items are generally reviewed in the order shown on the agenda, however, the Plan Commission/Zoning Board of Appeals reserves the right to amend the agenda and consider items in a different order. The Commission may adjourn its meeting to another day prior to consideration of all agenda items. All persons interested in providing public testimony are encouraged to do so. If you wish to provide public testimony, please come forward to the podium and state your name for the record and address your comments and questions to the Chairperson.



MINUTES

MEETING OF VILLAGE OF FRANKFORT PLAN COMMISSION / ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS

June 22, 2023 –VILLAGE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING

432 W. NEBRASKA STREET

Call to Order: Chair Schaeffer called the meeting to order at 6:30 PM

Commissioners Present: Chair Nichole Schaeffer, Brian James, Dan Knieriem, Paula Wallrich, Jessica Jakubowski, Will Markunas, David Hogan

Commissioners Absent: None

Staff Present: Director of Community and Economic Development Mike Schwarz, Senior Planner Christopher Gruba

Elected Officials Present: None

A. Approval of the Minutes from June 8th, 2023

Motion (#1): To approve the minutes from June 8th, 2023 as presented.

Motion by: Jakubowski

Seconded by: Markunas

Approved: (7-0)

Chair Schaeffer swore in members of the public who wanted to provide testimony.

B. Preliminary/Final Development Plan: 15 Ash Street Building Addition

Chris Gruba presented the staff report.

Chair Schaeffer asked if any members had initial questions. There were none.

Chair Schaeffer asked if anyone in the public wanted to provide testimony.

Mike Cartolano approached the podium. He asked if the commission is approving a project without requiring any parking for the 9 residential units.

There was a brief discussion about a condition that could be added regarding parking. The members mentioned that a parking variation was requested and recommended for approval.

Mike Cartolano asked if there was any type of study to address the marketability and viability of the project. He mentioned a project in New Buffalo Michigan.

Chris Gruba responded that it is not typically the role of the Commission to discuss the financial aspects of a project.

Mike Cartolano asked about the CC&R's if the residential units are condos. There was a brief discussion about the previous condition that was recommended to the Board.

Mike Cartolano stated that he felt like there were "closed door meetings" on this project and that it was a done deal.

Chair Schaeffer explained that all meetings on this project have been public meetings apart from meetings that the applicants may have had with Village staff throughout the process.

Mike Schwarz explained that staff routinely meets with applicants and assists them through the Village review process, but all the Plan Commission/Zoning Board and Historic Preservation Commission meetings are public meetings. The meeting packets are also posted on the Village web site for anyone to read and review.

Motion (#2): To recommend the Village Board approve the Preliminary/Final Development Plan for 15 Ash Street, in accordance with the reviewed plans and public testimony, subject to any technical revisions prior to recording and conditioned upon the following:

1. Final engineering approval,
2. The CC&R's and HOA bylaws be submitted to staff for review and approval prior to Village Board consideration; and,
3. That the developer shall either provide a cash-in-lieu payment for the required residential parking spaces with the amount per space to be determined by the Village Board, or the developer shall secure a lease agreement with another downtown property owner to provide off-site parking for the required residential parking spaces.

Motion by: Markunas

Seconded by: Jakubowski

Approved: (7-0)

C. Final Development Plan and Preliminary/Final Plat of Subdivision: Misty Creek

Chris Gruba presented the staff report and summarized changes to the plans since the Village Board meeting.

Joe Duffy with Flaherty Builders approached the podium. He explained the one change for the serenity garden.

Commissioner Knieriem stated that there is nothing serene about Laraway Road. The pet park is much more useful for this type of development.

Commissioner Knieriem asked what the thought process was for a serenity garden.

Joe Duffy stated that they looked at their target market and their other townhome developments to make the decision. Also, previous comments from the Board and Commission.

Commissioner Knieriem stated that the number of pets has increased dramatically since Covid. He added that he wants to hear from other commissioners.

Commissioner Wallrich stated that she likes the serenity garden. She doesn't want to see fences along Laraway Road. She likes a passive park space rather than an active park space and it is rather small for people to walk their dogs. She likes the aesthetics of the space.

Commissioner Hogan asked if the other members if there is any concern about the proximity of the school and the possibility that kids will congregate there.

Joe Duffy stated that it is within the subdivision, so he does not see it as a concern.

Commissioner Markunas stated that he seconds Commissioner Knieriem's comments. The number of trucks on Laraway will increase in the coming years.

Joe Duffy stated that they envision a shade structure or maybe a pergola. The private park space is about 10,000 square feet. They do not want the space to be active in evenings out of respect to the neighboring unit.

Commissioner James asked if there would be a landscape management company.

Joe Duffy stated yes, it will be done with a professional landscape company.

Commissioner Jakubowski stated that she would like to see the aesthetics for the subdivision balanced with the needs of the townhome residents to walk pets. She would like to see a little more stone.

Joe Duffy stated that they could look at all those things.

Commissioner Wallrich stated that residents could still walk their dogs there. Maybe the developer could place waste receptacles and free waste bags.

Commissioner Jakubowski suggested maybe a stone bench could be added.

Commissioner Wallrich asked if they considered more side load garages.

Joe Duffy replied that they did try to have more 2-units as the middle units, as the 3-unit buildings are slower to sell.

Commissioner James stated that he is okay with the change to a serenity garden.

Chair Schaeffer stated that she is okay with either option.

There was some discussion about the items to be placed within the serenity garden.

Commissioner Wallrich asked Joe Duffy if they are planning on have a no-access and no fence note on the plat.

Joe Duffy stated they could add that if it is not already covered under the blanket easement on Outlot A.

Commissioner Wallrich asked if they could add a note stating on the plat.

Commissioner Wallrich asked about the right-in/right-out access on 116th. She asked does it make more sense to be on Laraway Road.

Joe Duffy stated it was the request of the school to have it there. They also did a traffic study.

Chair Schaeffer stated that the Will County Department of Transportation is planning to widen Laraway Road.

Joe Duffy added that they were also lining up with the existing street to the south.

Commissioner Wallrich stated she likes the building elevations color pallet but asked if there is a possibility of having more color options for the brick.

Joe Duffy stated that they are using the changes in architecture and trim colors to differentiate among the buildings.

Commissioner Wallrich asked about the berm between the subject property and the school.

Joe Duffy provided an explanation for the proposed berm.

Chair Schaeffer asked if any members had any other comments on the elements of the various plans that make up the Final Development Plan.

There were no comments.

Motion (#3): Recommend to the Village Board to approve the Final Development Plan of Misty Creek, in accordance with the reviewed plans, public testimony and conditioned

upon final engineering approval and that the CC&R's and HOA bylaws be submitted to staff for review and approval prior to Village Board consideration.

Motion by: Wallrich

Seconded by: James

Approved: (7-0)

Motion (#4): Recommend to the Village Board to approve the Preliminary/Final Plat of Subdivision of Misty Creek, in accordance with the reviewed plans and public testimony, subject to any technical revisions prior to recording and conditioned upon the following:

1. Final engineering approval,
2. The CC&R's and HOA bylaws be submitted to staff for review and approval prior to Village Board consideration; and,
3. That a 25' wide "no fence, no access" easement be placed on private property adjacent to the rights-of-way of both Laraway Road and 116th Avenue.

Motion by: Markunas

Seconded by: Jakubowski

Approved: (7-0)

D. Public Hearing: Continued from 5/11/23: 7654 W. Lincoln Highway - Circle K Redevelopment (Ref #108)

Mike Schwarz said that the applicant requested that this public hearing be continued to the July 27th, 2023 PC/ZBA meeting.

Motion (#5): Continue the public hearing at the July 27th, 2023 PC/ZBA meeting.

Motion by: James

Seconded by: Jakubowski

Approved: (7-0)

E. Public Hearing: 20855 S. La Grange Road Suite 100 - Edge Music Academy

Mike Schwarz noted that this project had been withdrawn by the applicant.

F. Public Hearing: 10677 Yankee Ridge Drive – Morgan Residence Pergola (Ref#109)

Mike Schwarz presented the staff report.

The applicant, Todd Morgan, approached the podium.

Chair Schaeffer asked the commissioners for comment.

Commissioner Wallrich said that she thought that the applicant would be permitted up to 8,297 square feet of impervious surface and that as proposed, a variation would not be required for impervious coverage. Schwarz noted that he had not received an accurate Site Plan from the applicant and therefore used the Village's Geographic Information System to measure the lot improvements and estimate the impervious coverage, which by his estimation is approximately 41 percent, just slightly over the allowable 40 percent coverage.

Commissioner Wallrich stated that by her calculation, the current and proposed lot improvements are under the allowable 40 percent.

Mike Schwarz stated that he calculated the impervious coverage based on the lot area stated on the Frankfort Township Assessor's web site and in based on the Plat of Survey which was provided.

Commissioner Markunas said that the proposed pergola would seem to be located over the existing concrete patio and asked Schwarz if this was taken into account for impervious coverage. Mike Schwarz replied that he believed that it was, but it is difficult to confirm based on the AutoCAD drawing that was submitted by the applicant. He noted that the AutoCAD drawing that was prepared by the project architect did not match with a recent aerial photograph of the property.

Mr. Morgan said that a gazebo had been removed from his property, which is the same location as the proposed pergola.

After additional discussion, it was the consensus of the PC/ZBA that there was need for the second variation for impervious lot coverage.

Mike Schwarz noted that this project was heard as a workshop on April 14, 2022, which was after the adoption of a Zoning Ordinance Text Amendment pertaining to accessory structures on March 7, 2022. He said that the PC/ZBA would not need to act on the variation request for impervious lot coverage, given the earlier discussion.

Mr. Morgan said that he was elected as a trustee in 2005 and that they worked on revamping the Zoning Ordinance, including as it related to accessory structures. Back then, accessory structures were limited to 144 square feet because this was the most common dimensions for a shed. Back then, there were few, if any, requests for accessory structures like pergolas and pool houses. He said that the east and west sides of his property are buffered from the adjacent residential properties. He said that the pergola would incorporate quality materials that matched the house. He also noted that he has support from his HOA and provided a copy of the letter to the Commission.

Commissioner Hogan asked the applicant if he could shrink the pergola by 2 feet and what impact that would have. Mr. Morgan replied that there is not a lot of room inside pergolas

and that shrinking the pergola would make the arrangement of furniture difficult. He noted that if the pergola were attached to the house, then it would not require a variation for size.

Commissioner Wallrich stated that she was not on the PC/ZBA when the Zoning Ordinance was amended for accessory structures and did not think that the 250 square foot limit made sense. She said that in the case of the applicant, his property abuts the Old Plank Road Trail, which provides a buffer from any neighbors to the south. She expressed concern that if variations for accessory structure size frequently came before the PC/ZBA, then perhaps the code should be amended.

Chris Gruba noted that he was the project manager for the accessory structure text amendment and briefly explained the research and rationale for the 250 square foot max size.

Commissioner Markunas said that prior to the text amendment, the PC/ZBA was reviewing size variations for pergolas every other week.

Commissioner Wallrich noted that it was nice that the proposed pergola had open sides. Commissioner Markunas responded that some pergola requests in the past have had up to three sides enclosed. He asked the applicant if he would be enclosing the sides of the pergola. Mr. Morgan responded no.

Commissioner Knieriem said that the proposed size was reasonable and commensurate with the lot size, so it's the right scale. Chair Schaeffer agreed. She also added that the Commission had to draw the line somewhere when it came to providing a maximum size for accessory structures such as this during the text amendment process. She asked if decks are counted toward impervious surface. Mike Schwarz responded yes.

Motion (#6): Close the public hearing.

Motion by: Jakubowski

Seconded by: Markunas

Approved: (7-0)

Motion (#7): Recommend the Village Board approve a variation from Article 5, Section D, Part 2 (b)(1) of the Village of Frankfort Zoning Ordinance to permit the construction of a 288 square foot pergola, whereas 250 square feet is permitted, in the R-2 Single-Family Residential District located at 10677 Yankee Ridge Drive in accordance with the submitted plans, public testimony, and Findings of Fact.

Motion by: Wallrich

Seconded by: Markunas

Approved: (7-0)

G. Public Comments

There were no public comments.

H. Village Board & Committee Updates

Mike Schwarz said that the following PC/ZBA items were approved by the Village Board at its regular meeting on June 20, 2023: The Special Use Permit for automobile sales for 86 Degrees Auto Group and the Special Use Permit for outdoor seating associated with a permitted restaurant for Grounded Coffee. He noted that the variation request for fence height was denied for 8563 Stone Creek Boulevard. He noted that the Special Use Permit requests for Spark Coffee were remanded back to the PC/ZBA for review and that the main concerns were the red stripe accent feature on the north façade and the parapet height of the north façade. Mike Schwarz added that the 2023 State Conference for the Illinois Chapter of the American Planning Association will be held at North Central College in Naperville from September 11 through 13. He noted that this annual conference includes Plan Commissioner training sessions. He stated that he will forward conference details as they become available.

I. Other Business

Commissioner Knieriem asked Commissioner Wallrich why she distributed copies of the plans for Sparks Coffee to each commissioner. She noted that the project could incorporate brick and stone elements that would more closely match the buildings for Steak & Shake, Autozone and the Bank.

J. Attendance Confirmation (July 13th, 2023)

Chair Schaeffer asked the members of the Plan Commission to notify staff if they know they would not be able to attend the July 13th meeting.

Motion (#8): Adjournment 8:12 P.M.

Motion by: Jakubowski

Seconded by: Markunas

The motion was unanimously approved by voice vote.

Approved July 13th, 2023

As Presented _____ As Amended _____

_____/s/ Nichie Schaeffer, Chair

_____/s/ Secretary


Project: El Mezcal Mexican Restaurant – Outdoor dining patio
Meeting Type: Public Hearing
Request: Special Use Permit – Outdoor seating associated with a permitted restaurant
Location: 9645 Lincolnway Lane Units 105-107
Applicant: Veronica Chavez, Owner of El Mezcal, Inc. (d/b/a El Mezcal Mexican Restaurant)
Prop. Owner: Jonathan Yahav, Managing Member of Crown Centre of Frankfort, LLC
Representative: NA
Plan Reviewer: Michael J. Schwarz, AICP, Dir. of Community and Economic Development

Site Details

Lot Size: 3.84 acres
PIN(s): 19-09-16-402-004-0000
Existing Zoning: B-2 Community Business District
Proposed Zoning: N/A
Buildings: 1
Total Sq. Ft.: ±500 square feet (patio area)
Adjacent Land Use Summary:

	Land Use	Comp. Plan	Zoning
Subject Property	Office/Retail	General Commercial	B-2 PUD
North	Office	General Commercial	B-2 PUD
South	High School	Public Institutional	Will County A-1/R-2
East	Commercial/Undeveloped	General Commercial	B-2 PUD
West	High School	Public Institutional	Will County A-1/R-2

Figure 1. Location Map



Project Summary

El Mezcal Restaurant is seeking to establish an outdoor dining patio along the west façade of the Crown Centre building, located adjacent to rear of the existing restaurant in Units 105-107. The outdoor seating area would measure approximately 500 square feet and would be located along the west side of the building on the existing concrete and brick paver surface. The outdoor seating area would measure approximately 12 feet wide and 43 feet long. The seating area would be enclosed by a proposed 3-foot high, black aluminum open picket fence. The outdoor seating area would contain eleven (11) 36-inch square tables with two (2) chairs at each table. The tables would have tan umbrellas which would not have any advertising. The patio area is intended to be available during the existing business hours. The owner of the business currently holds a Class E-1 Liquor License that allows the retail sale of alcoholic liquor in conjunction with a restaurant. The sale of alcoholic liquors across a bar is expressly prohibited, but a service bar or bars are permitted.

Outdoor seating areas associated with a permitted restaurant require a Special Use Permit, reviewed by the PC/ZBA, with final action by the Village Board.

Attachments

- Aerial Photograph from Will County GIS, prepared by staff

- Site Plan of property, with approximate location of outdoor seating area noted, received June 16, 2023
- Outdoor Seating Plan provided by the applicant, received June 16, 2023
- Photo of Location of Proposed Outdoor Seating Area, received June 16, 2023
- Fence Details, received June 16, 2023
- Patio Table Details, received June 16, 2023
- Patio Table Umbrella Details, received June 16, 2023
- Findings of Fact for a Special Use Permit, completed by the applicant
- Photographs of the site, taken by staff on July 7, 2023
- Special Use Findings of Fact Commissioner Evaluation Form

Analysis

In consideration of the request, staff offers the following points of discussion:

Zoning Ordinance Requirements

Article 5, Section C, Part 14 of the Zoning Ordinance states the Use Standards for Outdoor Seating associated with a Permitted Restaurant as follows:

Outdoor seating, when associated with a permitted restaurant, shall only be permitted in accordance with the following:

- a. All seating areas must be enclosed by a fence or wall of at least 3 feet in height.*
- b. Where seating is permitted adjacent to a public sidewalk, at least 5 feet in width of said sidewalk must remain unobstructed.*

Outdoor Seating Location

The proposed outdoor seating area would measure approximately 500 square feet and would be located along the west side of the building on the existing concrete and brick paver surface. The outdoor seating area would measure approximately 12 feet wide and 43 feet long.

Design and Construction Materials

The outdoor seating area would contain eleven (11) 36-inch square tables with two (2) chairs at each table. The tables would have tan umbrellas which would not have any advertising. There would be no changes to the existing concrete and brick paver surface or walls of the building.

Village Zoning Ordinance requires all outdoor seating areas be enclosed by a fence, wall, or landscaping with a minimum height of 36", regardless of whether alcohol is served. In this case, El Mezcal currently has a liquor license, and the owner intends to expand service to the outdoor seating area. The outdoor seating area would be enclosed by a proposed 3-foot high, black aluminum open picket fence, meeting this requirement.

The proposed outdoor seating area would be ADA accessible.

Lighting

The applicant is not proposing any new exterior lighting for the outdoor seating area. The existing patio which serves the tenants of the Crown Centre is currently illuminated by six (6) wall-mounted lamps, three (3) of which are located on the west/rear wall of the tenant space.

Other

The Building Department has noted that the existing restroom facilities within the El Mezcal Restaurant are adequate to serve the increase of patrons for the proposed outdoor seating area.

Standards for Special Use

No special use shall be recommended by the Plan Commission, unless such Commission shall find:

- a. That the establishment, maintenance or operation of the special use will not be detrimental to, or endanger, the public health, safety, morals, comfort or general welfare.
- b. That the special use will not be injurious to the use and enjoyment of other property in the immediate vicinity for the purposes already permitted, nor substantially diminish and impair property values within the neighborhood.
- c. That the establishment of the special use will not impede the normal and orderly development and improvement of the surrounding property for uses permitted in the district.
- d. That the exterior architectural appeal and functional plan of any proposed structure will not be so at variance with either the exterior architectural appeal and functional plan of the structures already constructed, or in the course of construction in the immediate neighborhood or the character of the applicable district, as to cause a substantial depreciation in the property values within the neighborhood.
- e. That the adequate utilities, access roads, drainage and/or necessary facilities have been or are being provided.
- f. That adequate measures have been or will be taken to provide ingress and egress so designed as to minimize traffic congestion in the public streets.
- g. That the special use shall, in all other respects, conform to the applicable regulations of the district in which it is located, except as such regulations may, in each instance, be modified by the Village Board, pursuant to the recommendations of the Plan Commission.

Findings for Consideration

The Plan Commission/Zoning Board of Appeals finds:

1. That the establishment, maintenance or operation of the special use will not be detrimental to, or endanger, the public health, safety, morals, comfort or general welfare.
2. That the special use will not be injurious to the use and enjoyment of other property in the immediate vicinity for the purposes already permitted, nor substantially diminish and impair property values within the neighborhood.
3. That the establishment of the special use will not impede the normal and orderly development and improvement of the surrounding property for uses permitted in the district.
4. That the exterior architectural appeal and functional plan of any proposed structure will not be so at variance with either the exterior architectural appeal and functional plan of the structures already constructed, or in the course of construction in the immediate neighborhood or the character of the applicable district, as to cause a substantial depreciation in the property values within the neighborhood.



5. That the adequate utilities, access roads, drainage and/or necessary facilities have been or are being provided.
6. That adequate measures have been or will be taken to provide ingress and egress so designed as to minimize traffic congestion in the public streets.
7. That the special use shall, in all other respects, conform to the applicable regulations of the district in which it is located, except as such regulations may, in each instance, be modified by the Village Board, pursuant to the recommendations of the Plan Commission.

Affirmative Motions

1. Recommend the Village Board approve a Special Use Permit to allow a permanent outdoor seating area associated with a permitted restaurant on the property located at 9645 Lincolnway Lane Units 105-107, in accordance with the reviewed plans, public testimony and Findings of Fact, subject to final review, inspection, and approval by the Building Department, and with the condition that all tables, chairs, and other fixtures annually shall be removed and stored elsewhere from the outdoor seating area by October 31st and shall not be re-established earlier than April 1st of the following season.



9645 Lincolnway Lane Units 105-107



Legend

- Address Points
- Roadways
 - Federal
 - State
 - County
 - Local and Private
- Parcels
- Townships

Notes

Date: 7/6/2023

1: 2,257






0 0.04 0.07 Miles

Projection




WGS_1984_Web_Mercator_Auxiliary_Sphere

Disclaimer of Warranties and Accuracy of Data: Although the data developed by Will County for its maps, websites, and Geographic Information System has been produced and processed from sources believed to be reliable, no warranty, expressed or implied, is made regarding accuracy, adequacy, completeness, legality, reliability or usefulness of any information. This disclaimer applies to both isolated and aggregate uses of the information. The County and elected officials provide this information on an "as is" basis. All warranties of any kind, express or implied, including but not limited to the implied warranties of merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose, freedom from contamination by computer viruses or hackers and non-infringement of proprietary rights are disclaimed. Changes may be periodically made to the information herein; these changes may or may not be incorporated in any new version of the publication. If you have obtained information from any of the County web pages from a source other than the County pages, be aware that electronic data can be altered subsequent to original distribution. Data can also quickly become out of date. It is recommended that careful attention be paid to the contents of any data, and that the originator of the data or information be contacted with any questions regarding appropriate use. Please direct any questions or issues via email to gis@willcountyillinois.com.



El MEZCAL RESTAURANT Suite 106, 107

Crown Centre



Crown centre



Professional Suites

5ft

8ft


12ft

43ft

EZ Fence Asbury 3' x 6' Black Aluminum Fence Panel

(Actual Size 36" H x 70-1/2" W)

Model Number: 1716760 | Menards® SKU: 1716760



EVERYDAY LOW PRICE

11% MAIL-IN REBATE Good Through 6/18/23

FINAL PRICE

\$59.99

\$6.60

\$53³⁹
each

You Save \$6.60 with Mail-In Rebate



Pick Up At Store 

17 In-Stock at **TINLEY PARK**

[Check Another Store for Availability](#)



Shipping & Delivery

Available

Description & Documents

This Asbury Aluminum Fence Panel is an easy-to-install fence panel that's 3 feet high by 70 inches wide. This fence panel is constructed of quality aluminum and designed with simple, elegant lines.

Brand Name: **Specrail**



Features

- Posts and fittings sold separately
- 2-rail style
- Quality aluminum construction
- Easy and fast installation
- Designed for 6' on center post installation
- Lightweight yet strong aluminum construction ensures security around your pool or your property

Specifications

Product Type	Metal Fence Panel	Material	Aluminum	Thickness	1 inch
Special Features	Rust Resistant	Overall Height	36 inch	Weight	10 pound
Overall Width	70-1/2 inch	Color/Finish	Black	Installed Height	36 inch
Rough Opening Width	72 inch	Top Style	Flat	Shipping Dimensions	72.00 H x 36.00 W x 1.50 D
Shipping Weight	11.0 lbs	Return Policy	Regular Return view Return Policy		

Please Note: Prices, promotions, styles and availability may vary by store and online. Inventory is sold and received continuously throughout the day; therefore, the quantity shown may not be available when you get to the store. This inventory may include a store display unit. Online orders and products purchased in-store qualify for rebate redemption. Mail-in Rebate is in the form of merchandise credit check, valid in-store only. Merchandise credit check is not valid towards purchases made on MENARDS.COM®. By submitting this rebate form, you agree to resolve any disputes related to rebate redemption by binding arbitration and you waive any right to file or participate in a class action. Terms and conditions available at www.rebateinternational.com

(Actual Size 36" H x 70-1/2" W)
Model Number: 1716760 | Menards® SKU: 1716760



EVERYDAY LOW PRICE **\$59.99**
11% MAIL-IN REBATE Good Through 6/18/23 **\$6.60**
FINAL PRICE \$53³⁹ each

You Save \$6.60 with Mail-In Rebate

- Posts and fittings sold separately
 - 2-rail style
 - Quality aluminum construction
 - Easy and fast installation
 - Designed for 6' on center post installation
- [View More Information >](#)



Pick Up At Store

18 In-Stock at **MORTON GROVE**
[Check Another Store for Availability](#)



Shipping & Delivery

Available

Description & Documents

This Asbury Aluminum Fence Panel is an easy-to-install fence panel that's 3 feet high by 70 inches wide. This fence panel is constructed of quality aluminum and designed with simple, elegant lines.

Brand Name: **Specrail**

SPECRAIL

Features

- Posts and fittings sold separately
- 2-rail style
- Quality aluminum construction
- Easy and fast installation
- Designed for 6' on center post installation
- Lightweight yet strong aluminum construction ensures security around your pool or your property

Specifications

Product Type	Metal Fence Panel	Material	Aluminum
Thickness	1 inch	Special Features	Rust Resistant
Overall Height	36 inch	Weight	10 pound
Overall Width	70-1/2 inch	Color/Finish	Black
Installed Height	36 inch	Rough Opening Width	72 inch
Top Style	Flat	Shipping Dimensions	72.00 H x 36.00 W x 1.50 D
Shipping Weight	11.0 lbs	Return Policy	Regular Return (view Return Policy)

Please Note: Prices, promotions, styles and availability may vary by store and online. Inventory is sold and received continuously throughout the day; therefore, the quantity shown may not be available when you get to the store. This inventory may include a store display unit. Online orders and products purchased in-store qualify for rebate redemption. Mail-In Rebate is in the form of merchandise credit check, valid in-store only. Merchandise credit check is not valid towards purchases made on MENARDS.COM®. By submitting this rebate form, you agree to resolve any disputes related to rebate redemption by binding arbitration and you waive any right to file or participate in a class action. Terms and conditions available at www.rebateinternational.com®



To see our special offers and

[email@address.com](#)

sales, please enter your email address today to get immediate access! We value your privacy and trust at Menards. We will not distribute your information to any other company. View our [Privacy Policy](#). This site is protected by reCAPTCHA and the Google [Privacy Policy](#) and [Terms of Service](#) apply.

Zip Code

[Sign Up](#)

[Close](#)



Search 400,000+ products

plus Free Shipping >

earn Earn 3% Back >

< Black Outdoor Restaurant Tables and Sets

Lancaster Table & Seating Harbor Black 36" Square Outdoor Standard Height Table with Modern Legs

Item #: 427CMSM3636B





Search 400,000+ products



Free Shipping >



Earn 3% Back* >

< Outdoor Table Umbrellas and Bases

California Umbrella ALTO 118 OLEFIN Venture 11' Round Pulley Lift Umbrella with 1 1/2" Silver Anodized Aluminum Pole - Olefin Canopy

Item #: 222TO118OSBE



Only

VILLAGE OF
FRANKFORT
INC • 1879



Application for Plan Commission / Zoning Board of Appeals Review
Special Use Permit Findings of Fact

Article 3, Section E, Part 6 of the Village of Frankfort Zoning Ordinance lists "findings" or "standards" that the Plan Commission must use to evaluate every special use permit request. The Plan Commission must make the following seven findings based upon the evidence provided. To assist the Plan Commission in their review of the special use permit request(s), please provide responses to the following "Findings of Fact." Please attach additional pages as necessary.

1. That the establishment, maintenance or operation of the special use will not be detrimental to, or endanger, the public health, safety, morals, comfort or general welfare.

NO

2. That the special use will not be injurious to the use and enjoyment of other property in the immediate vicinity for the purposes already permitted, nor substantially diminish and impair property values within the neighborhood.

No

3. That the establishment of the special use will not impede the normal and orderly development and improvement of the surrounding property for uses permitted in the district.

No

4. That the exterior architectural appeal and functional plan of any proposed structure will not be so at variance with either the exterior architectural appeal and functional plan of the structures already constructed, or in the course of construction in the immediate neighborhood or the character of the applicable district, as to cause a substantial depreciation in the property values within the neighborhood.

No

5. That the adequate utilities, access roads, drainage and/or necessary facilities have been or are being provided.
6. That adequate measures have been or will be taken to provide ingress and egress so designed as to minimize traffic congestion in the public streets.
7. That the special use shall, in all other respects, conform to the applicable regulations of the district in which it is located, except as such regulations may, in each instance, be modified by the Village Board, pursuant to the recommendations of the Plan Commission.

Yes

Site Photos – 9645 Lincolnway Lane Units 105-107



Figure 1: 9645 Lincolnway Lane, view from parking lot.



Figure 2: 9645 Lincolnway Lane, view of the front of El Mezcal Mexican Restaurant.



Figure 3: 9645 Lincolnway Lane, view of the existing outdoor seating area for all building tenants.



Figure 4: 9645 Lincolnway Lane, view of the existing outdoor seating area for all building tenants.



Figure 5: 9645 Lincolnway Lane, view of proposed outdoor seating area (rear doors of El Mezcal Restaurant at left).



Figure 6: 9645 Lincolnway Lane, view of the Crown Centre Professional Suites rear entrance.



Findings of Fact Commissioner Evaluation Form - Special Use Permit

Article 3, Section E, Part 6 of the Village of Frankfort Zoning Ordinance lists “findings” or “standards” that the Plan Commission must use to evaluate every special use permit request. No special use shall be recommended by the Plan Commission unless all the following findings are made.

	STANDARD	NOTES	MEETS	
a.	That the establishment, maintenance or operation of the special use will not be detrimental to, or endanger, the public health, safety, morals, comfort or general welfare.		YES	NO
b.	That the special use will not be injurious to the use and enjoyment of other property in the immediate vicinity for the purposes already permitted, nor substantially diminish and impair property values within the neighborhood.		YES	NO
c.	That the establishment of the special use will not impede the normal and orderly development and improvement of the surrounding property for uses permitted in the district.		YES	NO
d.	That the exterior architectural appeal and functional plan of any proposed structure will not be so at variance with either the exterior architectural appeal and functional plan of the structures already constructed, or in the course of construction in the immediate neighborhood or the character of the applicable district, as to cause a substantial depreciation in the property values within the neighborhood.		YES	NO

e.	That the adequate utilities, access roads, drainage and/or necessary facilities have been or are being provided.		YES	NO
f.	That adequate measures have been or will be taken to provide ingress and egress so designed as to minimize traffic congestion in the public streets.		YES	NO
g.	That the special use shall, in all other respects, conform to the applicable regulations of the district in which it is located, except as such regulations may, in each instance, be modified by the Village Board, pursuant to the recommendations of the Plan Commission.		YES	NO