

THE CITY OF LAKE FOREST
CITY COUNCIL WORKSHOP AGENDA

Monday, July 23, 2018 – 6:30 pm

Municipal Services Building

800 N. Field Ct.

Lake Forest, IL 60045

Honorable Mayor, Robert Lansing

Prudence R. Beidler, Alderman First Ward

Jack Reisenberg, Alderman Third Ward

James E. Morris, Alderman First Ward

Jim Preschlack, Alderman Third Ward

Timothy Newman, Alderman Second Ward

Michelle Moreno, Alderman Fourth Ward

Melanie Rummel, Alderman Second Ward

Raymond Buschmann, Alderman Fourth Ward

CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL

6:30 pm

1. DISCUSSION OF PRINCIPLES, CONDUCT, AND PROCEDURES FOR MEETINGS AND ACTIVITIES OF THE CITY COUNCIL AND ITS MEMBERS

A draft copy of the proposed principles, conduct and procedures is attached for Council review on **page 3**. This draft is the one included in the City Council's July 2 agenda packet. The document is intended to memorialize the expectations and guiding principles of City Council members, board and commission members, and city staff. They reflect past practice in the City, and how healthy, respectful governing and administrative boards operate.

Outline of process review (6:30-8:30 p.m.)

Agenda Time

- Welcome, Meeting Objectives and Agenda Review Bob Kiely (5 Min.)

- Proposed Plan to Finalize Document Jim Preschlack (10 Min.)
 - Discuss; build consensus on key open strategic issues by section
 - Continue to send wordsmithing edits via email
 - Compile new version of doc; determine if another workshop is necessary

- Strategic Issues: VI. General Rules for City Council Member Conduct (30 min.)
 - Sub-section b. Exparte Contacts
 - Sub-section a. Other Activities of City Representative
 - Sub-section d. Commitment of City Resources
 - Other

- Strategic Issues: IV. General Council Standards of Decorum and Conduct (30 min.)
 - Sub-section b. New Policy / Project Initiatives
 - Other

- Strategic Issues: V. Meeting Rules and Procedures (30 min.)

- Sub-section g. Public Participation, 3) Rules Governing the Scope and Length of Comments
 - New sub-section or language for submitting formal request for new agenda item
 - Other
- Other Strategic Issues (10 min.)

Next Steps

2. REVIEW OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECT (CIP) SCORING SHEET FOR POTENTIAL REVISIONS FOR FY20 BUDGET.

A copy of the CIP Scoring sheet along with a sample of completed project sheets from the FY19 budget can be found beginning on **page 16**.

3. OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC COMMENT

4. ADJOURNMENT

Office of the City Manager

July 19, 2018

The City of Lake Forest is subject to the requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990. Individuals with disabilities who plan to attend this meeting and who require certain accommodations in order to allow them to observe and/or participate in this meeting, or who have questions regarding the accessibility of the meeting or the facilities, are required to contact City Manager Robert R. Kiely, Jr., at (847) 234-2600 promptly to allow the City to make reasonable accommodations for those persons.

THE CITY OF LAKE FOREST

Principles, Conduct, and Procedures for Meetings and Activities

of the Members of the Lake Forest City Council and Staff

Draft June 28, 2018

The City Council, with the advice and recommendations of its appointed advisory Boards and Commissions, Lake Forest Citizens, and City Staff, is responsible for ordinances and regulatory oversight of the City government. The City Council is charged specifically with:

The power to pass, publish, amend and repeal all ordinances, rules and police regulations, not contrary to the constitution of the United States or this state for the good government, peace, and order of the City.

As prescribed in the City of Lake Forest charter:

The Mayor and Aldermen shall constitute the City Council of the City

The City Council includes the Mayor and eight Aldermen, two from each of the City's four wards. The Mayor is elected bi-annually for a two-year term and receives a token salary of \$10 per year. Aldermen are elected to staggered two-year terms, with one Alderman elected from each ward annually. Aldermen receive no compensation.

The City operates under the Council-Manager form of government and is a recognized Home Rule community under Illinois State Statutes. The City also operates under a City Charter. The City Manager is appointed by the Mayor with the consent of the City Council. The City Manager is the Chief Administrative Officer of the City and is responsible for the efficient administration of all departments.

I. Core Values

City Council members and City Staff must adhere to the following Core Values of the organization and apply them at all times and in every interaction with Council members, City Staff and the public:

- a. Respect and Fairness
- b. Integrity
- c. Excellence in Performance of Duties
- d. Honesty
- e. Empathy
- f. Trust

II. Principles

- a. All persons will be treated fairly and with respect.
- b. The long-term goal of the entire community outweighs any individual opinion or any private or specific interest.
- c. City Council members and City Staff will represent their constituents with truthfulness, dignity and professionalism.
- d. City Council members will be independent, impartial and fair in their judgment and actions, even when in disagreement on issues.
- e. All officials, whether elected or appointed, and City Staff, will comply with the Constitution and laws of the United States of America, the State of Illinois and the Code, ordinances and policies of the City of Lake Forest in the performance of their duties.
- f. All persons will demonstrate respect for and facilitate an open, deliberate decision-making process. City Council members and City Staff will respect the fact-finding and deliberations of the Boards and Commissions of the City and accord them due deference in the deliberative process, without abdicating the Council's ultimate responsibility as the sole legislative body of the City.
- g. City Council members and City Staff will represent the official policies and positions of the City. When presenting their personal opinions or positions, they should state that they do not represent the City Council or the City.
- h. All officials, whether elected or appointed, and City Staff, will act in a way that will enhance public confidence in the integrity of local government.
- i. Funding decisions should support effectiveness and economy in providing services and programs, while mindful of the number of citizens benefitting from such expenditures. As such, new initiatives should be quantified, qualified, and evaluated for their long-term merit and overall fiscal impact and other consequences to the community.

- j. City Council members and City Staff will maintain a productive working relationship by adhering to the following expectations and responsibilities inherent to the Council-Manager form of government:

CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS' EXPECTATIONS OF CITY STAFF

1. Responsiveness to citizenry
2. Respect for persons in elective and appointed office
3. Availability to discuss issues
4. Honesty in handling of public resources
5. Honest feedback on policy decisions and administration
6. Adherence to Council policy direction
7. Service delivery in the most effective and efficient manner
8. Provide up-to-date information to avoid "surprises"
9. Creativity in finding solutions
10. Adherence to the established Core Values of Respect, Integrity, Excellence, Honesty, Empathy and Trust

CITY STAFF EXPECTATIONS OF CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS

1. Exhibit respect for administrative and legal processes
2. Understand, appreciate and respect the past vision, goals, City policies and plans for the community
3. Respect the vision, goals and plans associated with that vision
4. Show mutual respect for City Staff members and their professionalism
5. Promote civility in all public discourse, both to and from City Council members, City Staff, and the public, and to show zero tolerance for abusive conduct or personal attacks upon the character or motives of public officials, City Staff or members of the public

6. Demonstrate honesty and integrity in interaction with City Staff and the public
7. Defer from temptations to micro-manage
8. Be prepared, engaged and knowledgeable about the issues before the City Council
9. Provide up-to-date information to avoid “surprises”

CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS' RESPONSIBILITIES TO CITY STAFF

1. Fair compensation and recognition of performance
2. Respect for the position and office and for them as individuals
3. Receptivity to input and dialogue
4. Availability
5. Clear direction of objectives and priorities
6. Necessary resources to complete tasks
7. Adequate preparation for City Council meetings
8. Provide a safe work environment that encourages productivity and creativity and is free of harassment, intimidation or retribution

CITY STAFF RESPONSIBILITIES TO CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS

1. Attention to detail
2. Mutual respect for one another, the City Council and the public
3. Honesty and integrity in interactions with the City Council and the public
4. Unbiased administration of policy decisions and adherence to established policies
5. Provide options and solutions for solving difficult problems
6. Creativity for constant improvement and maintaining best practices for service delivery

7. Provide up-to-date information to avoid “surprises”

CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS’ AND CITY STAFF RESPONSIBILITIES TO THE PUBLIC

1. Every member of the public should be accorded an ample opportunity to be heard and to have grievances redressed by his or her City government
2. Every member of the public should be accorded dignity both in public and private discourse related to City business
3. Every member of the public deserves to have their concerns replied to by their City government
4. Every member of the public has the right to expect their taxpayer dollars to be spent fairly, judiciously and only for the improvement of the civic welfare of the community following due public deliberations
5. Every member of the public should expect their government to treat them equitably and fairly

III. City Council Meetings

Meetings of the City Council bring together individuals with a variety of backgrounds, personalities, values, and opinions for a shared purpose: To effectively promote and protect the health, safety and general welfare of the citizens of Lake Forest for the long-term common good.

City council meetings are intended to make decisions that formally set municipal programs in motion, enact ordinances, adopt policy, and authorize the expenditure of city funds. This section discusses the conduct of meetings, preparation for meetings, rules of procedure, and encouragement of citizen participation.

a. Meeting Participation

In addition to the Mayor, who presides over City Council meetings, and the Aldermen, The City of Lake Forest Council meetings will typically include the City Clerk, the City Manager, and the City Attorney. Their specific meeting roles are:

1) Presiding Officer

The Mayor is the presiding officer of the City Council, and is responsible for ensuring that meetings are orderly, conducted in conformity with the rules of procedure, and

progress at an appropriate pace. The presiding officer is responsible for ensuring that council members and citizens have ample opportunity to express their views. The Mayor will recommend one of the Council members to act as presiding officer and to serve in the Mayor's absence.

The Mayor may vote only on matters in cases of a tie vote, or where ½ of the Aldermen have voted in favor of an ordinance, resolution or motion even though there is no tie, or where a vote greater than a majority of the Corporate Authorities is required by statute. The Mayor may veto ordinances passed by the City Council, who, in turn, may override his or her veto by a 2/3 vote of all Aldermen holding office.

2) The City Council as a Whole

The City Council will adhere to the City of Lake Forest "Decision-Making Parameters for City Council and Appointed Boards and Commissions" document, adopted by the City Council on June 18, 2018.

3) City Clerk

The City Clerk is the official record keeper. The Clerk is responsible for keeping the official minutes of council meetings. The Clerk's duties also include preparing and distributing the meeting agenda and minutes, keeping other records, preparing and processing correspondence and reports, and managing the city council office. The Clerk will typically make certain that all meetings are advertised in accordance with the Open Meetings Act.

4) City Manager and City Attorney

The City Manager and the City Attorney serve as resources at City Council meetings, whose responsibilities are set forth in the City Code.

IV. General Council Standards of Decorum and Conduct

The City of Lake Forest protocols and procedures for Council meetings have been established to promote that purpose by creating an efficient, effective forum and a positive and professional atmosphere within which the business of the City can be conducted. This shared purpose is acknowledged and affirmed, despite the possible divergent opinions of the individual members of the City Council. Civil discourse is

only fostered if it is modeled by how City Council members and City Staff behave toward one another and toward members of the public.

a. Mayor:

The Mayor has the responsibility and authority to manage the order of the meeting. All members must respect the decisions of the Mayor, who will respectfully manage public comment.

b. New Policy/Project Initiatives:

If a City Council member is interested in having the Council consider a new initiative (which may or may not require the expenditure of staff time), the matter must first be presented at a regular City Council meeting under "Comments from Council Members" following written notification to the Mayor and City Manager.

c. Civility:

City Council members should always be respectful of each other, of City Staff, and of the public. All oral discourse should be polite and civil. Members should never be belligerent, impertinent, threatening or disparaging and when appropriate, should use titles when addressing others. Comments of a personal nature should be avoided. Opinions and positions are more persuasive when expressed civilly.

d. Preparation for Meetings:

City Council members are to prepare for meetings in advance. When possible, background and informational questions should be addressed with City Staff members during working hours rather than during City Council meetings, unless the answers to such questions will have an educational value of broad and general applicability. Thorough preparation improves focus on policy matters and fosters effective, efficient meetings.

e. Focus on Business:

City Council members should keep focus on the matters of business before them, keeping in mind the principal role of the City Council is executory as policy makers rather than administrators. Discussion of matters not relevant to pending business should be avoided. Members should be respectful of the roles of others and should be good stewards of the time spent during City Council meetings.

f. Respect for City Staff:

City Council members should be respectful in every contact and communication with City Staff, recognizing the scope and weight of the City Staff's duties and responsibilities. Positive interactions with others promote greater achievement.

g. Respect for Speakers:

City Council members should be fair, patient, and respectful of all individuals who speak before, or make presentations to, the City Council at public meetings or public hearings. No signs of partiality, prejudice or disrespect should ever be evident on the part of any individual City Council member. Full attention should be given to speaker/presenters and side conversations should be kept to a minimum or not held at all. The City Council members should always be mindful that facial expressions and body language can be just as disrespectful as words. Making the public feel welcomed and heard is an important part of the democratic process.

h. Use of Electronic Technology during Meetings:

Electronic technology devices should be used by City Council members and Staff judiciously and only for purposes related to the meeting at hand. The use of such devices for personal/family situations is understood and should be handled in a manner which is not disruptive to the conduct of business.

V. Meeting Rules and Procedures

a. Parliamentary Procedure:

Meetings will be conducted following these rules of Protocols and Procedures. For all matters not governed by these rules, matters of the City Council will be governed by the most recent edition of Robert's Rules of Order. In the case of an inconsistency or conflict between these rules and Robert's Rules of Order, these rules will apply and control. If a matter is not covered by these rules, then Robert's Rules of Order will apply and control.

b. Questions of Order, Decisions:

All questions of order will be addressed to, and decided by, the Mayor. The Mayor will decide all questions of parliamentary procedure without debate. The decision of the Mayor may be appealed to the City Council by motion stating the question be appealed.

c. The Floor:

A City Council member will not speak until recognized by the Mayor, who will decide who has the floor. City Council members always should act to ensure the meeting is conducted in a smooth and orderly manner. City Council members will address all comments and discussions through the Mayor. All remarks should be addressed to the merits of the pending matter. Council members should not feel obligated to respond to any question or comment offered through public comment. City staff will follow up with the speaker within the next few business days.

d. Roll Call Votes:

A roll call vote of “ayes” and “nays” will be taken and recorded in the minutes of proceedings for all ordinances and for all resolutions or motions that create any liability against or obligation on the part of the City, or for the expenditure or appropriation of City money, and in all other instances where requests therefore are made by any City Council member.

e. Subsidiary Motions:

When a motion is pending before the City Council, no other motion will be considered except one of the following motions:

- 1) To adjourn
- 2) To lay on the table
- 3) To call the previous question, which, if seconded and approved by a 2/3 vote, will end debate on a topic
- 4) To postpone indefinitely
- 5) To postpone to a definite time
- 6) To amend the motion
- 7) To Adjourn: A motion to adjourn is always in order except when:
 - (i) A member is in possession of the floor
 - (ii) A motion on the main question is pending
 - (iii) A motion to reconsider is pending

f. Confidentiality:

City Council members will keep confidential all matters and documents discussed in Executive Session or otherwise designated as confidential. That confidentiality will be kept until the City Council has officially declared the matter or document no longer is confidential. To this end, minutes of Executive Sessions should be reviewed regularly to determine if they should be released to the public.

g. Public Participation

1) Public Comments:

Comments from petitioners, residents, neighboring jurisdictions, the media and guests may be made only when the applicable matter is on the agenda, or during the time on the agenda reserved for public comment, or with the recognition of the Mayor. Upon recognition by the Mayor, Council members may ask clarifying questions but should refrain from debate and argument.

2) Public Hearings:

During public hearings conducted by the City Council, members of the public will be permitted a reasonable opportunity to present relevant testimony and evidence during the public hearing. Interested parties will also be permitted the right to cross-examine witnesses upon request to the Mayor, in writing (on a form provided by the City) prior to the beginning of the hearing.

3) Rules Governing the Scope and Length of Comments:

The City Council may, from time to time, adopt specific rules governing public comment on those matters, provided that such rules be fairly and equally applied to all. Such rules may include, without limitation, requirements for time limits, rules of decorum, and the use of audio or video recording equipment; provided, however, that the use of audio or video recording equipment will not be prohibited. Further, the City Council may set an overall limit at any meeting on the time for public comments. In the absence of any specific rules, the following general rules will apply: Individual comments will be limited to one opportunity per person and to not more than four minutes. The Mayor may also request that speakers not repeat or reiterate what a previous speaker said.

4) Decorum:

No person may be discourteous, belligerent, impertinent, threatening, disparaging, or otherwise uncivil. The Mayor may limit the comments of any person who engages in such conduct. No person may continue to speak after the Mayor has taken the floor from that person.

- 5) **Questions and Statements from the Floor:**
All questions or statements from the floor must be directed to the Mayor. The City Council has no obligation to answer questions during public comment and may refer to staff to follow up with the speaker after the meeting.
- 6) **No Interruption of Speakers:**
No City Council member should interrupt a speaker during a presentation. The Mayor has the right to interrupt a speaker in order to enforce these or other applicable rules.
- 7) **Interruptions and Other Disturbances:**
No person should interrupt the proceedings of a City Council meeting, or public meeting, or cause any other form of disturbance or disruption. The Mayor reserves the right to close public comment if, after issuing a warning, audience members persist in cheering, booing or otherwise being disruptive.
- 8) **Enforcement:**
Any person violating the standards of process and decorum set forth in these rules may be removed from the City Council chamber and City Hall at the order of the Mayor.

VI. General Rules for City Council Member Conduct

- a. **Other Activities as City Representative:**
City Council members may not act as or represent the official spokesperson or representative of the City at any meeting, event, or forum unless and except when authorized in advance to do so by the City Council or the Mayor. This rule does not limit a City Council member from participating in informal or unofficial meetings so long as it is clear that the City Council member is participating individually and not directly on behalf of the City. City Council members are discouraged from attending any City Board or Commission meeting to advocate in favor of or in opposition to an issue, or on behalf of petitioners unless expressly disclosed and approved by the City Council. This type of activity can serve to pre-empt, and otherwise undermine, the work of the Board or Commission and its citizen volunteer members. Every statement and activity related to City business should be considered carefully, for all of them may have

an impact on the City.

b. Ex-parte Contacts: *MORE DISCUSSION NEEDED*

City Council members are wise to avoid situations that could give rise to claims of impropriety or that could mitigate the position of the City.

City Council members are discouraged from contacts with developers, contractors, petitioners or other individuals or public officials that have, or may have, official business with the City unless otherwise directed by the City Council. Such meetings, if conducted at all, should include a relevant member of the City Staff. At any such meeting, the City Council member must clearly show that he or she is acting individually and not as a representative of the City Council or the City. No confidential information of the City should be disclosed in any communication.

c. Improper Influence:

City Council members should refrain from using their positions to improperly influence the deliberations or decisions of City Staff, Boards, Commissions, or non-City Council subcommittees of the City.

d. Commitment of City Resources:

No City Council member should commit the use of City Staff or other City resource without first coordinating with the City Manager. City resources are limited, and the City Manager is best able to determine the proper allocation of City Staff time and other resources.

e. City Staff:

City Council members should not direct City Staff in the conduct of the duties performed by City Staff. If a City Council member wishes to discuss an operational or administrative matter, it should be discussed with a Department Head or the City Manager.

f. Employee Performance:

Any concerns by City Council members regarding the behavior or performance of City Staff will be directed to the City Manager privately and not shared with anyone other than the City Manager, Mayor or City Attorney.

VII. General Rules for City Staff Conduct

a. **Respect for City Council:**

City Staff should give the proper respect for the functions and membership of the City Council, recognizing the fiduciary duties and stewardship responsibilities borne by its members. City Staff should be open and forthright with the City Council about pending matters of policy and City administration. City Council members rely on the faithful counsel of the City Staff.

b. **Informed City Council:**

The office of the City Manager and all other City Departments, as appropriate, should keep the City Council informed on a timely basis regarding pending matters of policy and City administration. For each matter on which the City Council must act following a hearing before a Board or Commission of the City, the City Council will be provided with thorough information of the proceedings of that Board or Commission and any recommendation thereon. The report should include minority opinions, reports, and recommendations, if any. Good decision-making results from correct and timely information.

c. **Impartiality:**

Information that is provided to a member of the City Council in response to a request regarding pending matters of policy and administration should be made available to all members of the City Council so that all have equal access to relevant information.

d. **Responsiveness to City Council:**

City Staff should be prompt and thorough in following directions of the City Council and implementing policy decisions of the City Council. City Staff should act on such matters irrespective of personal opinions. The City Council relies on the City Staff to faithfully carry out City policy.

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECT EVALUATION SCORING SHEET

PROJECT NAME:		PROJECT #:		PRIORITY STATUS		SCORE	
				1		>= 50	
				2		25-49	
				3		<25	
Rank	Criteria	Weighted Score Range		Score		Comments (if applicable)*	
1	Has existing asset exceeded its estimated useful life (EUL) or is maintenance no longer available?	EUL exceeded by more than 5 years or no maintenance available	15				
		EUL exceeded by more than 1 year	10				
		At estimated useful life	5				
2	Does project present resident safety benefits and/or address mandates or legal liabilities?	Yes	20				
		No	0				
3	Is outside/grant funding secured to finance or does project support an existing revenue stream? Grant must be awarded or funds committed to award points.	50-100 % Grant Funding	15				
		< 50 % Grant Funding or Supports revenue stream	10				
		No Outside Funds	0				
4	Does project improve City's economic development initiatives or provide environmental benefits? (Circle which applies)	Yes	5				
		No Impact	0				
5	Does project support City's Strategic Plan Initiatives or promote City's four cornerstones?	Yes	10				
		No	0				
6	Does project provide efficiencies or improvements in service delivery and/or yield savings in operating budget (ie. avoid or reduce maintenance costs)?	Savings 20% or greater than capital expenditure.	20				
		Savings >10% and <20% of capital expenditure.	10				
		Savings less than 10% of capital expenditure.	5				
		No	0				
7	Intergovernmental/shared services opportunity?	Yes	5				
		No	0				
8	Supports internal operations	Yes	5				
		No	0				
9	Is item a recurring/annual CIP expenditure? (PlanIt project name is denoted with "**")	Yes	5				
		No	0				
		TOTAL SCORE		100	0		

Describe the cost/benefit of rehabilitation/upgrade vs. replacement, including anticipated extension in EUL:

--

OCM Adjusted Score:

--

* Comments required for any category that has points allocated.

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECT EVALUATION SCORING SHEET

PROJECT NAME:		PROJECT #:		PRIORITY STATUS		SCORE	
FY 18 Annual Asphalt Resurfacing		PW-ENG-01-09		1		>= 50	
				2		25-49	
				3		<25	
Rank	Criteria	Weighted Score Range		Score		Comments (if applicable)*	
1	Has existing asset exceeded its estimated useful life (EUL) or is maintenance no longer available?	EUL exceeded by more than 5 years or no maintenance available	15	15	This project concentrates on areas of pavement which has exceeded the EUL by 5 years		
		EUL exceeded by more than 1 year	10				
		At estimated useful life	5				
2	Does project present resident safety benefits and/or address mandates or legal liabilities?	Yes	20	20	This project enhances safety for motorists, pedestrians and bicyclists by eliminating potholes and uneven pavement.		
		No	0				
3	Is outside/grant funding secured to finance or does project support an existing revenue stream? Grant must be awarded or funds committed to award points.	50-100 % Grant Funding	15	0			
		< 50 % Grant Funding or Supports revenue stream	10				
		No Outside Funds	0				
4	Does project improve City's economic development initiatives or provide environmental benefits? (Circle which applies)	Yes	5	0			
		No Impact	0				
5	Does project support City's Strategic Plan Initiatives or promote City's four cornerstones?	Yes	10	10	The Strategic Plan (Community Infrastructure) lists well-maintained roads as both an outcome and desired state.		
		No	0				
6	Does project provide efficiencies or improvements in service delivery and/or yield savings in operating budget (ie. avoid or reduce maintenance costs)?	Savings 20% or greater than capital expenditure.	20	5	Eliminates in-house pavement maintenance in these areas.		
		Savings >10% and <20% of capital expenditure.	10				
		Savings less than 10% of capital expenditure.	5				
		No	0				
7	Intergovernmental/shared services opportunity?	Yes	5	5	MPI Contract		
		No	0				
8	Supports internal operations	Yes	5	0			
		No	0				
9	Is item a recurring/annual CIP expenditure? (PlanIt project name is denoted with "**")	Yes	5	5	Yes		
		No	0				
		TOTAL SCORE		100	60		

Describe the cost/benefit of rehabilitation/upgrade vs. replacement, including anticipated extension in EUL:

Pavement resurfacing is a form of rehabilitation and will extend the EUL for up to 10 years. Full replacement is cost prohibitive.

OCM Adjusted Score:

* Comments required for any category that has points allocated.

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECT EVALUATION SCORING SHEET

PROJECT NAME:		PROJECT #:		PRIORITY STATUS		SCORE	
FY 18 Forest Park Walkway Bluff Stabilization		PW-ENG-06-18		1		>= 50	
				2		25-49	
				3		<25	
Rank	Criteria	Weighted Score Range		Score		Comments (if applicable)*	
1	Has existing asset exceeded its estimated useful life (EUL) or is maintenance no longer available?	EUL exceeded by more than 5 years or no maintenance available	15	0			
		EUL exceeded by more than 1 year	10				
		At estimated useful life	5				
2	Does project present resident safety benefits and/or address mandates or legal liabilities?	Yes	20	20	Movement/instability of the bluff around the walkway causes depressions in the ground movement/failure of the walkway framing.		
		No	0				
3	Is outside/grant funding secured to finance or does project support an existing revenue stream? Grant must be awarded or funds committed to award points.	50-100 % Grant Funding	15	10	The walkway/stairs down the bluff is used extensively for recreational programs/camps.		
		< 50 % Grant Funding or Supports revenue stream	10				
		No Outside Funds	0				
4	Does project improve City's economic development initiatives or provide environmental benefits? (Circle which applies)	Yes	5	5	Repairing and preventing future slides along the Forest Park Bluff is vital to the environmental health of the park, ravine and bluff.		
		No Impact	0				
5	Does project support City's Strategic Plan Initiatives or promote City's four cornerstones?	Yes	10	0			
		No	0				
6	Does project provide efficiencies or improvements in service delivery and/or yield savings in operating budget (ie. avoid or reduce maintenance costs)?	Savings 20% or greater than capital expenditure.	20	5	This work will eliminate the need for continuing in-house walkway and bluff repairs.		
		Savings >10% and <20% of capital expenditure.	10				
		Savings less than 10% of capital expenditure.	5				
		No	0				
7	Intergovernmental/shared services opportunity?	Yes	5	0			
		No	0				
8	Supports internal operations	Yes	5	0			
		No	0				
9	Is item a recurring/annual CIP expenditure? (PlanIt project name is denoted with "**")	Yes	5	0			
		No	0				
		TOTAL SCORE		100	40		

Describe the cost/benefit of rehabilitation/upgrade vs. replacement, including anticipated extension in EUL:

This project is for the repair, monitoring and prevention of bluff instability. Without repair of the bluff, the wooden walk/stairway is threatened with unsafe conditions and continuing maintenance.

OCM Adjusted Score:

* Comments required for any category that has points allocated.

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECT EVALUATION SCORING SHEET

PROJECT NAME:		PROJECT #:		PRIORITY STATUS		SCORE	
FY 18 McCormick Ravine Storm Sewer Repairs		PW-ENG-01-18		1		>= 50	
				2		25-49	
				3		<25	
Rank	Criteria	Weighted Score Range		Score		Comments (if applicable)*	
1	Has existing asset exceeded its estimated useful life (EUL) or is maintenance no longer available?	EUL exceeded by more than 5 years or no maintenance available	15	15	The existing storm sewer system exceeds the EUL by more than 5 years.		
		EUL exceeded by more than 1 year	10				
		At estimated useful life	5				
2	Does project present resident safety benefits and/or address mandates or legal liabilities?	Yes	20	20	This improvement will greatly reduce storm damage caused by erosion and flooding		
		No	0				
3	Is outside/grant funding secured to finance or does project support an existing revenue stream? Grant must be awarded or funds committed to award points.	50-100 % Grant Funding	15	0			
		< 50 % Grant Funding or Supports revenue stream	10				
		No Outside Funds	0				
4	Does project improve City's economic development initiatives or provide environmental benefits? (Circle which applies)	Yes	5	5	The ravine is an environmentally sensitive area. Without repairs the ravine will continue to suffer due to destruction of habitat caused by erosion and flooding.		
		No Impact	0				
5	Does project support City's Strategic Plan Initiatives or promote City's four cornerstones?	Yes	10	0			
		No	0				
6	Does project provide efficiencies or improvements in service delivery and/or yield savings in operating budget (ie. avoid or reduce maintenance costs)?	Savings 20% or greater than capital expenditure.	20	10	This improvement will greatly reduce maintenance and future restoration costs.		
		Savings >10% and <20% of capital expenditure.	10				
		Savings less than 10% of capital expenditure.	5				
		No	0				
7	Intergovernmental/shared services opportunity?	Yes	5	0			
		No	0				
8	Supports internal operations	Yes	5	0			
		No	0				
9	Is item a recurring/annual CIP expenditure? (PlanIt project name is denoted with "**")	Yes	5	0			
		No	0				
		TOTAL SCORE		100	50		

Describe the cost/benefit of rehabilitation/upgrade vs. replacement, including anticipated extension in EUL:

The current infrastructure is in poor condition and must be replaced, rehab is not an option.

OCM Adjusted Score:

* Comments required for any category that has points allocated.

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECT EVALUATION SCORING SHEET

PROJECT NAME:		PROJECT #:		PRIORITY STATUS		SCORE	
FY 18 Dickinson Hall ADA Parking Improvements		PW-ENG-02-14		1		>= 50	
				2		25-49	
				3		<25	
Rank	Criteria	Weighted Score Range		Score		Comments (if applicable)*	
1	Has existing asset exceeded its estimated useful life (EUL) or is maintenance no longer available?	EUL exceeded by more than 5 years or no maintenance available	15				
		EUL exceeded by more than 1 year	10				
		At estimated useful life	5				
2	Does project present resident safety benefits and/or address mandates or legal liabilities?	Yes	20	20		Pedestrian safety will be improved. ADA requirements will be enhanced.	
		No	0				
3	Is outside/grant funding secured to finance or does project support an existing revenue stream? Grant must be awarded or funds committed to award points.	50-100 % Grant Funding	15	0			
		< 50 % Grant Funding or Supports revenue stream	10				
		No Outside Funds	0				
4	Does project improve City's economic development initiatives or provide environmental benefits? (Circle which applies)	Yes	5	0			
		No Impact	0				
5	Does project support City's Strategic Plan Initiatives or promote City's four cornerstones?	Yes	10	10		Community Infrastructure	
		No	0				
6	Does project provide efficiencies or improvements in service delivery and/or yield savings in operating budget (ie. avoid or reduce maintenance costs)?	Savings 20% or greater than capital expenditure.	20				
		Savings >10% and <20% of capital expenditure.	10				
		Savings less than 10% of capital expenditure.	5				
		No	0				
7	Intergovernmental/shared services opportunity?	Yes	5	5		MPI contract	
		No	0				
8	Supports internal operations	Yes	5				
		No	0				
9	Is item a recurring/annual CIP expenditure? (PlanIt project name is denoted with "**")	Yes	5	0			
		No	0				
		TOTAL SCORE		100	35		

Describe the cost/benefit of rehabilitation/upgrade vs. replacement, including anticipated extension in EUL:

This area of the parking lot will be reconfigured to enhance the ADA parking. Rehab is not an option.

OCM Adjusted Score:

* Comments required for any category that has points allocated.

	CIP Targets					
	FY19	FY20	FY21	FY22	FY23	
Road/Bridge	1,700,000	1,700,000	400,000	1,700,000	1,700,000	
Storm Sewer	250,000	250,000	1,550,000	250,000	250,000	
Facilities	300,000	300,000	300,000	300,000	300,000	
Parks/Rec	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	EAB/Tree
Police	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	
Fire	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	
Technology	250,000	250,000	250,000	250,000	250,000	
Cap Equip	450,000	450,000	450,000	450,000	450,000	
Other	150,000	150,000	150,000	150,000	150,000	City Mgr
Sub-Total	3,300,000	3,300,000	3,300,000	3,300,000	3,300,000	
	3,300,000	3,300,000	3,300,000	3,300,000	3,300,000	