

**Buckingham County
Planning Commission
March 18, 2024**

At a work session of the Buckingham County Planning Commission held on Monday, March 18, 2024 at 6:00 p.m. in the Peter Francisco Auditorium located in the Buckingham County Administration Complex, the following members were present: John Bickford, Chairman; James D. Crews, III, Ashley Shumaker, Vice-Chairman Pete Kapuscinski and Dennis Davis, Steve Dorrier, Calvin Bachrach Also present were Cheryl T. “Nicci” Edmondston, Zoning Administrator and E.M. Wright, Jr., County Attorney. Joyce Gooden was absent

Re: Call to Order

Chairman Bickford called the meeting to order.

Re: Invocation and Pledge of Allegiance

The invocation was given by Commissioner Dorrier. The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Commissioner Crews.

Re: Establishment of a Quorum

Chairman Bickford certified there was a quorum, seven of eight members were present and the meeting could continue.

Re: Approval of Agenda

Bickford: Any changes to the agenda?

Edmondston: No sir no changes.

Bickford: Seeing none do I have a motion to approve?

Dorrier: So moved

Kapuscinski: Second.

Bickford: Have a motion and a second. Any discussion? Seeing none. That brings us to comprehensive plan. Before that let me say we have a new planning commissioner Calvin Bachrach, Calvin ill give you the floor if you have anything you'd like to say.

| Commissioner | Yay | Nay |
|--------------|-----|-----|
| Kapuscinski | X | |
| Crews | X | |
| Dorrier | X | |
| Bickford | X | |
| Shumaker | X | |
| Gooden | X | |
| Bachrach | X | |
| Davis | X | |

Commissioner Dorrier moved, Commissioner Kapuscinski seconded, and was carried by the Planning Commission unanimously approve the minutes with changes.

Bachrach: I don't have anything.

Bickford: That's fine. All right, Todd floor is yours.

Fortune: So what I want to do tonight is go over the changes to dress sections three and four from last month's meeting. Tyler is going to go over the changes to the survey summary results report. And I kind of envisioned the rest of the meeting. Depending on how we're doing with time being interactive. You'll notice I got a land use map from the previous plan up over here. And what I'm wanting to do is get some feedback from the group on how we want to amend this map for the upcoming plan. But we'll get to that in a little bit. So for draft section three, you should have in front of you, you will notice that the changes we've made previously are still highlighted in red. We haven't actually accepted those changes yet, but we will do that. At some point this remember this is just a draft. So just keep that in mind. But I wanted to bring your attention in section three to page 52 the map of historic sites and Buckingham County. We did add a Carter G Woodson birthplace historical marker to that map, it's number 22 on the map. And then on page 67, the map with rock mineral types, I've changed the legend to make that more readable. Hopefully that meets with everyone's satisfaction. It's a map 16.

Kapuscinski: Mr. Chairman, before we get too far down the road. Can we make a couple of can we make a couple of comments with regard to our pages earlier than that? Are you interested in? Page 40. When we get back there, there's some there's a strikeout, and I don't quite understand why that stricken out. Is there was there was a problem with that information.

Fortune : I'd have to go back and check my notes. But my read you're talking about with a sewer?. My recollection is we were actually asked by the group to take that stricken language out.

Bickford: You referred to Yogaville?

Fortune : Yes.

Bickford: Yes, we did ask for that Pete I do recall.

Kapuscinski: All right. And then if you'll take a look at page 50. And I'm looking at the dark sky on the James River, the penultimate sentence. That's one before the last one. I think there's an I'm just housecleaning here. I think there's a there's a typo in there. I think you've got retort, was that supposed to be restore?

Fortune : Okay, hold on. let me find it.

Fortune : Yes, that is a typo.

Kapuscinski: Okay. All right. So we'll take care of those two. Right. Okay. And in on page 51, or under spooky hollow drive, there's a V at the end of the statement. I don't know what that is,

Fortune : Needs to be removed. That's a typo as well.

Kapuscinski: Okay. All right. Thank you.

Fortune : All right. And then the next page 52 Is the historic sites map, which I mentioned. And then jumping ahead to the rock mineral types map 16, I just basically changed the legend, tried to make it bigger, so you could read it. And the last four or five pages of the section, the wildland urban interface risk index, all I did there was plot the location of the fire stations in the county. And that's maps 18 18 a 18B 18. C. And 18. Actually, on the last page should be 18. D, that's a typo. We need to correct that. So that's what I have for the for the changes. Does anybody see anything else? Or see anything any issues what we change or see anything else that we need to address in section three?

Kapuscinski: I have page 63 Mr. Chairman, page 63 You indicate that there's 79,000 Plus farmland? And what I thought would be extremely interesting was what is the total landmass in Buckingham? What percentage is farmland? And? And is this the 700,000 acres? Is that considered tillable? If there if that information is available, seems to me it should be in in the comp plans.

Fortune : Okay, so what are you asking for? You're asking for total land, what's the language landmass?

Kapuscinski: Tillable land in Buckingham? I thought it was like 33000, but I'm not sure.

Fortune : The total acreage and what was the other one?

Kapuscinski: Well, what's the percentage of farmland and is that tillable? And I'm asking that question, because when you get on page 68, you say timberland consists of 321.3 1000 acres. And I thought that was the land close to the landmass. And in Buckingham, I might be wrong might be we might be around 363. But I'm, I can't remember, but I'm, I'm wondering whether or not

Fortune : Alright, well, we'll look that up. And we'll research that and get back to you next month.

Kapuscinski: Yeah. And I was wondering if you could put in some percentages so that we know basically, the significance of those numbers that would be helpful.

Fortune : And when you say percentage of everything?

Kapuscinski: Well, the percentage of land mass or the percentage of farmland tilled farmland to the total land, percentage of forestry to total land, I think it'd be interesting.

Bickford: Todd should just be able to add an extra column to both of your charts here and put up percentages by the number of farms. Not so much at farms lands, average size.

Kapuscinski: And then I jumped down to page 64. It says, I'm not sure you've gotten a statement in there about poultry. I'm wondering whether that that's still correct, given the fact that we've, we've undergone and by I can have a pretty significant change in that area. And I wonder if there should be a footnote, Mr Chairman? I'm not sure.

Fortune : Are you talking about the note at the bottom of that table?

Kapuscinski: Yes, sir. I mean, we've lost a considerable amount of poultry houses in Buckingham. And as a matter of fact, there's some of the things going on, I understand that they're, they're probably going to try to put an egg, an egg laying business in some of those. And I understand there's turkey in some of those. I don't know what it is. But I don't think the poultry is as significant is what you show on that, on that legend any longer. And you might want to take a look at that.

Davis: I have some information on that, Mr. Chairman, sir. All the farmers got together and they just started Central Virginia, poultry. They got a \$2.7 million grant to do it. So hopefully, all the houses that want to be back up and running, will be a lot of the guys are 70 years old , they just say they are done.

Bickford: But former Co Op, correct?

Fortune : We can actually mention that here in this in the narrative.

Shumaker: It says that table is from the USDA Census of Agriculture looks to be every five years, do you know when they're 2023 census would be available?

Fortune : I do not.

Shumaker: If it's before our comp plan is finalized, we can look to replace some of that information.

Kapuscinski: Then my last comment on page 68 map 17 It's just a question. There's no high ranking reclamation, I'm curious find out is Is that accurate?

Fortune : I mean that's the data that we got from Virginia energy. so I mean we're I mean I I'm not really sure how to I mean, I'm counting on them to provide that data. I'm just I'm basically just mapping it for you.

Kapuscinski: I'm just wondering because I understand that there's some I don't know what they consider to be high ranking but it seems to me that there was some fairly significant conversation going on about reclamation, some of these mines and it would just seem to me to be more than just moderate or low. Maybe Mr. Davis knows I don't know.

Davis: What's that?

Kapuscinski: With regard to the reclamation and mining. We show no high ranking reclamation going on that was a little bit confusing me because I understand there was some but I don't know for sure.

Fortune : Actually, it looks like there are a couple of high ranked ones on this map that's in yellow.

Kapuscinski: Maybe I just didn't see it clearly. I don't know. Okay, let me take a look.

Bickford: There's two in the new canton area and one up off 15.

Fortune : Right below 15 and 60 there's a quite a few mines are bunched together I could try and make those dots smaller to make that a little more readable.

Kapuscinski: Either that or some kind of a note that would point out that we have at least two high ranking reclamation projects. and I think that's all I had in that particular section

Bickford: Any other commissioners have anything else at this point in this section?

Fortune : Alright, if nothing else, I jumped to section four and we did have a few changes here. So I got in contact with my folks at vdot contacts v dot and they did provide me with additional shape file data and they though we remapped everything you'll notice on it, I renumbered the pages too, so page 79 The roadway classification you notice 15 down to bottom and 60 over on the right and I have been included in the roadway classification map. Then pages 84 through 89 We re did it all those maps with the new data provided to us from v.so. Take a look at that. I'll give you a minute to take a look at access quite a few maps to look over.

Kapuscinski: Those numbers have changed. Yeah, that's good. Mr. Chairman, I do have a question with regard to pages 88 and 89. With regard to the ratios. Again, on the map, they're just very difficult for me to, to read them. I think I think it's pretty, it's pretty good data. I really do think it's good data. questions I would ask you, with regard to those traffic ratios, I guess its traffic capacity. Is there an ideal rate? Is there some sort of a state recommended rate?

Fortune : Yeah, if you look on page 83, anything less than 0.85 is an inadequate traffic flow. As it gets closer to one, you start to have some issues, and anything higher than one is unstable.

Kapuscinski: I guess that answered it. I guess it should there be, should there be something described? What would it be? At least for the main arteries, would it be helpful to have a chart that shows what our ratios are compared to what the recommendation is for the state or for those same kind of arteries? elsewhere? I mean, I don't know if I guess the question for me is, if our roads are that bad, and nobody wants to come here, that's an issue. If on the other hand, our roads are better, and we're still not getting business here. That's an issue. So what am I comparing it to? Does that make sense Mr. Chairman?

Bickford: Think it's a viable question.

Fortune : So what's the group's pleasure on that? Are you just asking for a legend to be put on these maps?

Kapuscinski: That'd be helpful. I mean, that's my view. I'm only one.

Bickford: Todd you could do just for suggestion, you know, we did the quarters on the others. You might take the county and do the same thing for this. Might be simpler on these maps that are big,

especially with data sort of congregated as do the quarter like it did before. Okay. might help you and then do legend as the legend is very beneficial, any of these congested maps, just because of the way they are maybe could do that. I know it adds a few more pages but might clarify a lot.

Fortune : May want to do the same thing for pages 84 and 85? I'll make a note to change those. And the only other change that I've got to present to you is page 94. The zoom in map for the bike routes in around Buckingham County. I did add the legend for the bike routes that hopefully is readable.

Kapuscinski: So this map is just a piece of the map on page 93.

Fortune : Yes, that's correct. So at this point, I'll turn it over to the group and see what other questions or comments you have on draft section four?

Davis: One question, Mr. Chairman.

Bickford: Yes, sir. Go ahead.

Davis: We tabulating this track data are we tabulating into buggy traffic today now we have a lot of Amish on our roads now. Has that been?

Fortune : I do not know the answer that I'll have to ask vdot if that, if that if those numbers include buggy traffic.

Bickford: I would assume the accident would be.

Davis: We had several accidents with buggies over the past few years and yesterday me and wife came to Dillwyn for lunch after church and we met just as many buggies as we did cars.

Bickford: Coming out of church, I would assume the accident report would reflect that. But now I'm not sure about the traffic.

Fortune : the traffic counts I'd had, I'll have to ask vdot if that's the case. And if not, I'll see if they have an idea where we can get that.

Davis: Amish are buying and steady coming in and they projected by 2045. Well, to me, they need to be tabulated into the road data to.

Fortune : Alright, we'll say we can get on that for you. Anything else?

Bickford: Any other commissioners? Guess not Todd.

Fortune : Hey, Tyler, you still online?

Tyler Henderson: Yep, I'm still here.

Fortune : Okay, so we've gone through sections three and four. Are you ready to go over the your changes to the survey results?

Henderson: Sure. Yeah. There aren't very many changes at all.

Fortune : Okay. Go ahead. Take it away, Tyler.

Henderson: Okay. All right. So the first change is on page six of the report. At the bottom of the text, I added in a note about the margin of error. Just kind of based on the conversation that we had at the end of the meeting to provide some context for interpreting the data.

Kapuscinski: The left hand side access to that is that people that number of people?

Fortune : Figure one. Oh, you talk about the zero 1020 3040. So that's actually give that's for reference. So for instance, James River is a little more than 90 went in the district representation. That's just giving you telling you giving you a frame of reference to determine how many people responded from each district.

Kapuscinski: So that that left hand axis is number of people?

Fortune : Yes, I'm sorry. Yes.

Kapuscinski: Yeah, they're on all of these. You might want to go back and at least define what the axes are. Because I am a little confused on some of them. This is pretty clear to me. But there are other graphs in this survey, I think that are pretty good. I just, I'm curious to find out some of those what reference those axes are.

Henderson: Yep, so add in a description of what the axis are for the graph. And then next change is on page 13 on table three. I tried to add a note in at the bottom to help interpreting the numbers and similar changes on tables eight and nine on page 20. And then those were those were the changes that made. I

can go back and add in a descriptor for the axis on the graph where it could provide help provide a little bit more clarity.

Kapuscinski: Excuse me, that would be on all the graphs Correct? Would you go through and do that?

Henderson: Right, all the all the bar graphs I'm looking at right now.

Kapuscinski: Yeah, that's it. Thank you.

Henderson: Okay, so that's it from, from my, for the survey report.

Bickford: Commissioners have any questions in this section? Concerns?

Davis: I just one question was chairman, why were we doing a scale like, one is one to eight on table eight. And eight is being the lowest priority, but then you come down to the next one, five indicates very important priority. I mean, why are we changing the scale? Shouldn't all of them be one being low to high or number being high? I mean, I just found it confusing.

Henderson: So for that there were actually two different types of questions. For the for the county priorities for improving quality of life, that was asking participants to rank these in order these eight specific categories. So everyone, you know, rank ordered it with each one, getting one ranking. Whereas in table nine, that question, asked them to give a one to five score for each of those questions separately, and then the score on the side is the average score. So it's a little bit different when it's an average score versus an average rank. And it's just based on how the questions were asked.

Fortune : So what we did there with the table now, we actually asked, correct me if I'm wrong, Tyler, to rank, very important, someone important, important, somewhat unimportant or not important at all, whereas with the table eight, we had those eight issues, and we want them all ranked from one to eight. So that's why they're done differently.

Bickford: Comments from the commissioners,

Kapuscinski: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to refer to figure 15, on page 25. Can you just explain what that upper chart means? I don't see. I guess I don't understand the just the descriptors. Can you go ahead and explain that chart?

Henderson: Yes, so the columns are the stack, vote for the different types of growth in those areas. And the yellow base behind it is the amount of votes for no growth in those areas. So when you're looking at

Dillwyn Sprouses corner, the blue part of the column is for business and commercial growth. The orange or red part is for industrial growth; the gray is for residential growth. And the amount that goes up to that yellow area is the amount of votes for no growth. Whereas in Yogaville, you can see that the amount of votes for no growth was almost as much as there were any votes for any type of growth.

Kapuscinski: Okay, so let me if you don't mind, let me let me ask the question. First of all, what those lines represent what, what is the x axis?

Henderson: Number of votes I can add the numbers there and then add the descriptor there as well.

Kapuscinski: Okay, so that would be the number of respondents Correct?

Henderson: Yeah.

Kapuscinski: All right. So what you're saying is, essentially, what you did is you use the line graph to describe the people that really wanted no growth, instead of putting another bar on top of the other bars.

Henderson: Right.

Kapuscinski: Okay I understand. Then in February 6, I'm sorry, in figure 16, I'd be interested in understanding what the left hand axis is you go all the way up to 800. So what is that?

Henderson: That's the total number of both for business and commercial growth across all the different areas. If you were to add all the blue columns together, that would be the commercial column.

Kapuscinski: So would include all the different kinds of commercial growth that were voted on by the four or 500 people that we interviewed?

Henderson: Correct. But it's the same data from this future growth and development. It's just combined into business and commercial rather than separated by area.

Kapuscinski: So the x axis again, are the y axis again, here is number of response, or number of questions responded because we didn't have we didn't have 1000 people responding to this survey.

Henderson: But people could vote for business or commercial growth Dillwyn Sprouses Corner Alpha Scottsville so each person could technically give eight votes for business and commercial growth if they want a business commercial growth and all the different areas.

Kapuscinski: Okay, so that represents the total number of like responses. That's what you're telling me? That would be the y axis.

Henderson: Correct. Yeah.

Kapuscinski: Okay. Maybe some information and that would help to.

Henderson: I think that clarifying the axis is definitely something that'd be helpful.

Kapuscinski: Then on figure 17, the very first the manufacturing bar has three different colors, and then you jump down to green for the rest, I guess. Can you explain that one for me?

Henderson: Yeah, the different colors for the manufacturing was just the represent the different types of manufacturing options we gave. And then the green was just the I guess each one could get its own color. But I just went the rest of them the same color since they were all only one type of bar.

Kapuscinski: So manufacturing, you broke down into three different categories, and the rest had their own category.

Henderson: Manufacturing, yep was that we had three options of different types of manufacturing in the survey.

Kapuscinski: Okay, that's understandable. And then figure 18. I'm assuming the same with regard to the x axis the same situation holds true is I think it was Figure 17 or no figure, Figure 16. So that's just the grand total number of kinds of questions that we responded to obviously don't represent the number of people Correct?

Henderson: Yes, I would have I had to double check that one. But I believe that is true.

Kapuscinski: All right, well, a legend on that particular axis would help to.

Henderson: Yep, Yep, definitely.

Kapuscinski That's it for me. Thank you.

Shumaker: Mr. Chairman. On page three, read. The very first bullet says a total of 496 citizens participated. I think that's a little misleading. I think that should probably say we received 496 responses. Because I can attest that I've seen on Facebook that one person said they responded at least 12 times. So

I don't think that we had 496 unique citizens because this survey didn't have a control so that each citizen could only take at one time or the pleasure of the rest of the committee but I don't think that's 100% accurate.

Fortune : Catch that tyler?

Henderson: Yep. Yeah, I think that's a great point

Bickford: Any other comments concerns? Yes JD

Crews: on table 11 If you go over from economic use of natural resources it says under sample comments support exiting mining I don't know if it's supposed to be existing mining. Table 11 page 26.

Fortune : Yeah, no that should be existing that's a typo Tyler that title that's the second row on table 11 page 26. Economic use of natural resources under the sample comments should say support existing mining

Henderson: Oh, yep. Okay yeah.

Bickford: Great, okay. Any other comment from the commissioners?

Shumaker: So I'll bring it up for discussion, maybe not with CRC right now. But given what I just said about there being no control with the survey and multiple people taking it multiple times the statement in here that says on page six right above the graph, the last in there that we just added about margin of error, it says In other words, the survey results offer a statistically reliable reflection of the broader populations, perspectives and characteristics. With 496 responses Again, that could be not accurate? You know, I don't know if I feel comfortable saying that. It's a reflection of what the greater population wants. You know, of the total 16,000 residents even with 496 I don't know that that would be accurate, but especially given that it might be biased results of multiple people talking multiple times. Page six.

Fortune : Just about the last sentence. Strike that last sentence for right now.

Bickford: And your suggestion is to take that out?

Shumaker: That is my suggestion. Yes.

Bickford: How does the other commissioners feel about that? Everybody in agreement so far here.

Dorrier: I don't feel like it's a good representation of what we have 496 people out of 7000.

Davis: Does that 16,982 does that reflect the prison population to Nicci?

Edmondston: 16,982 does yes.

Davis: Because I know when we redistrict last year, well, we don't count the prison population in our redistricting anymore. Unless they were a resident of Buckingham when they got locked up is what we were told.

Edmondston: You'd have to rely on what the census is doing. Todd may be able to speak more to that or...

Fortune : Yeah, we're going to have to Tyler. the Page Six where he's up talking about the population? Is that the 2020 census? Or is that the most recent American Community Survey estimates?

Henderson: Yeah, I should reference it. To be sure I think it's the census. But yeah, I can go back and **make** sure and then reference it there.

Fortune : The question is 16982 includes the prison population? Is that what I'm hearing? Okay. Tyler, if we can confirm whether that 16,982 includes the prison population. Just make. Make a note of that for the next time.

Kapuscinski: Mr. Chairman, I got one other question.

Bickford: Yes, sir. Go ahead.

Kapuscinski: I'm looking at some of the numbers on these charts. And I know we've got 494 of responses. And some of these charts show in total less than that, or a different number. So I'm assuming that some the numbers that you've tallied here may include may include surveys that either skipped a response in other words, why wouldn't as an example on figure 20 why would those total numbers be less than the 494?

Fortune : What page are you on?

Kapuscinski: Page Number 30 figure 20I think I'm in right, that's 230-430-4384. I'm sorry, 44 versus the 494. So I guess what I'm saying is I'm not. I wouldn't be overly concerned if you said, Well, look, there just weren't that many responses or people just skipped over them. But then the big question would

be, why would they be in? Why would they not be included In my opinion? Seems like the numbers should tie out,

Fortune : Prove me wrong Tyler unsure, no opinion was actually an option. So it's entirely possible there's people that just didn't answer the question. We don't want to assume we don't want to assume and if they're not answering, that means they're unsure or I have no opinion.

Kapuscinski: Okay, all right. Yep. So that would just represent the people that didn't answer any of those questions. Okay.

Bickford: Ashley did we make clear what your change was on six? Got to look into the population, make sure the prison is not in there. You also wanted to... I think it was consensus of the commission to remove that last sentence.

Shumaker: Right, the last sentence of that final paragraph.

Bickford: Okay. You got that, Todd? Just wanted to make sure before we move down. Any other comments or concerns?

Henderson: So the one comment, for the for that comment, at least is that specifically reliable is a little bit different than statistically valid. And what this is saying is just that if we were to do the survey over again, and take another random sample of 400, plus citizens from Buckingham, we could be 95% sure that the value we would get would be within 4% of the value that we got in this survey. But that's not necessarily saying that that value is within 4% of the true value of the population. Which is a little bit different. So just wanted to make that comment.

Kapuscinski: Is there something that you do to determine the statistical significance of the entire study? I mean, if you're looking at 500, out of roughly what 14,000 Excluding the prison population, does that I mean, is that a is that a statistically significant number?

Henderson: Oh, yeah, to have a 95% confidence interval with a margin of error. This low is only possible with a sample size that is this high. Typically, surveys work with around 100 to 200 people. And that's, you know, making generalizations to a much larger population.

Kapuscinski: So you can safely say that the sample that we've got here, pretty much would represent the county.

Henderson: Right, and 95% confidence interval means that we basically there's a 5% chance that this is a you know, a statistical anomaly, but we're 95% Sure.

Kapuscinski: Thank you.

Shumaker: Does CRC keep a record of how many unique logins or devices completed the survey?

Fortune : That I don't know. As far as I'd have to get, I think it was it was Lauren who sent the survey up so she's actually not here. I can actually ask her if she can access that.

Davis: Im worried about that young people are not responding to this to over half the respondents were over 50 years old.

Bickford: All right. We have no other comments or concerns? And we have our changes and edits.

Fortune: So what I envision Next I got the map over here and I'm thinking we could maybe put it here in front of the dais and if the group wants to walk down we can take a look at this map and kind of give me some feedback on how you want to change it or if you want to change it at all this is the future land use map from the previous comp plan so that's great the group's agreeable to that or I can just put it down from the dais right here and move the podium back and let you let y'all look at it from your seat.

Bickford: Probably simpler just for commission to come down and view it I guess only got seven of us.

Fortune : This is kind of how i envisioned the rest of the meeting going like.

Commissioners walked away from mics to view map and became inaudible

Bickford: That closes out our discussion topic and we'll move to commission matters and concerns. Everybody has had time. I know Calvin hadn't because he's just coming on. We can postpone this till next regular meeting next week. Or we can go ahead and look at possible changes that's necessary from a code of ethics and code of conduct. What's the pleasure of the commission is everybody feel comfortable now to go ahead and make, make suggestions and changes and vote on it or wait until next meeting?

Edmondston: I did receive a request from Commissioner Gooden that she'd like to be present for any action to be taken on that. But I'm sure we have discussion regarding that.

Bickford: I'm not opposed to doing that. But I'll ask for the pleasure of the commission with the majority ones. I will say that I did forget to announce that we'd already talked about actually I talked to Mister wright and Joyce about this. On the code of conduct. Number seven, that needs to be deleted, be open minded and patient, that's fine. But the problem is, we say and staff sufficient opportunity to present our views, that goes against our bylaw of three minutes. I think the best thing to do is is take that out, and then own the code of ethics. It's the same thing. It's repeated on number 10. All citizens, colleagues or staff sufficient opportunity to present their views. I can see someone wanting more than our three minutes. I don't want to I think wise thing is delete it. I did want to make those changes. What's the pleasure of the commission, you want to postpone until meeting next week? And we'll go over this at the end of the meeting? Or do you want to go ahead and make the changes? Joyce has asked to be there.

Kapuscinski: Mr. Chairman, you're making a recommendation to take these two out based on your conversation with Mr. Wright?

Bickford: Yes, but If we are going to vote on something lets do it all tonight, or wait until next week. So Joyce can be here. So it's really, whatever the commission wants me to do. Well, y'all are non committal. I tell you what we'll do so she asked so if nobody has heartburn, we'll just do it at the end of the regular meeting next week. Let me make I did want to make one other suggestion. And I'll give you some time to think on it. On the one that was number four, on the Code of Ethics seek to find and use most equitable, efficient, effective and economical means for getting tasks accomplished. I interpret that I read about five times. And I interpreted that is this because it says economical means I can foresee a possible applicant. Well, they're trying to get, they may cut discussion on my application, because they're trying to get the task done as quick as possible. So I'm not saying that everyone would look at it that way. But that's sort of what I saw. So I'm coming from that angle that I think it's probably doesn't need to be in here. I know, I see what they put it there for. But I can see a problem possible problem with somebody thinking, Well, I'm getting shortchanged a little bit, because they're, they're trying to keep moving along, and I may not have got the due diligence that I should have had. So that's just my opinion. And I'm just throwing it out there.

Davis: Doesn't take but one.

Kapuscinski: Chairman, with respect to your comment, my view, the whole thing was I didn't even know what the whole sentence meant. But if there was an insistence on something, I would suggest to say that to the best of our ability, we use a process that allows a fair and effective response to those bringing projects and issues forward to the commission. But I don't know I mean, we've said it a dozen times. So I don't even know that it needs saying.

Bickford: Which one pete?

Kapuscinski: That's number four in the code of ethics.

Bickford: Yeah, that's what I'm talking about.

Kapuscinski: If you need a statement, what your what you've saying in other sentences and throughout your ethics and your conduct it just to the best of our ability, a process that allows fair and effective response to those bringing projects and issues forward to the commission. My point is, I didn't understand the sentence where it was written. I didn't even think it was needed.

Bickford: That's what I was saying so you're, you're in agreement with me. Alright, but anyway, we will decide on that as food for thought. Anyone in the commissioner have anything they want to voice? Seeing none do I have a motion to adjourn?

Kapuscinski: So moved.

Dorrier: Second.

Bickford: All in favor, raise your right hand. And that was unanimous. We are adjourned. Thank you.

| Commissioner | Yay | Nay |
|---------------------|------------|------------|
| Kapuscinski | X | |
| Crews | X | |
| Dorrier | X | |
| Bickford | X | |
| Shumaker | X | |
| Gooden | X | |
| Bachrach | X | |
| Davis | X | |

Commissioner Kapuscinski moved, Commissioner Dorrier seconded, and was carried by the Planning Commission to adjourn the meeting.

Attest:

Cheryl T. “Nicci” Edmondston
Zoning Administrator/Planner

John E. Bickford
Chairman